



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_50171
Title of the Manuscript:	A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MULTI-REGIME FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Citations/References: Out of the 14 citations, 9 are very old – 1961, 1967, 1959, 1935, 1955, 1995, 1956, etc. The question is that, are there no improvements on these authorities (Models) till date? If there are, then author(s) should update the citations ranging from 1935 – 1995, and modify the paper accordingly.</p> <p>2. Citations in Results and Discussions are required to corroborate your work. At least 3 citations needed.</p> <p>3. Conclusion: In conclusion you start what you did, the methodology used, your results and how your results contribute to the body of knowledge. As it stands, your conclusion is part of discussions. You need to rewrite the conclusion as stated above</p>	<p>1. There are no improvement up to date.</p> <p>2. Citations have been provided.</p> <p>3. The following suggestions have been captured</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Figure 1: Characteristic Profiles for Edie's Model: The font size is different from the rest of the work. Check Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4.</p> <p>2. The main variables that characterize[d] vehicular traffic are speed, density and flow.</p>	<p>1. This is because of the template.</p> <p>2. Corrected.</p> <p>Thanks for the review.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	