



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_50551
Title of the Manuscript:	Friction Coefficients of Local Food Grains on Different Structural Surfaces
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line no. 48 write for instead of of - Line no. 51 write exile instead of exilis - Line no. 53 write for instead of on - Line no. 61 write flow ability instead of flowability - Line no. 66 write for instead of of - Line no. 68 write not instead of noted - Line no. 70 write of instead of between - Line no. 73 write of instead of between - Line no. 74 write of instead of in - Line no. 80 write in instead of of grains - Line no. 83 write not instead of noted - Line no. 88 write onto instead of on - Line no. 90 write post harvest instead of postharvest - Line no. 92 write onto instead of in - Line no. 94 write on instead of of - Line no. 108 write are instead of is - Line no. 112 write from instead of on - Line no. 119 write of instead of at - Line no. 120 write of instead of at - Line no. 135 write for instead of on - Line no. 137 write prepare instead of prepared - Line no. 140 write to began instead of began - Line no. 140 write into instead of down - Line no. 140 write forces instead of force - Line no. 142 write were instead of was - Line no.157 write was instead of were - Line no. 182 write depicted instead of depict - Line no. 184 write from instead of with - Line no. 185 write contents instead of contents - Line no. 187 write of instead of for - Line no. 191 write of instead of with - Line no. 191write from instead of in - Line no. 224 write is instead of are - Line no. 235 write in instead of for - Line no. 237 write of instead of on - Line no. 240 write in instead of on - Line no. 243 write in instead of for - Line no. 247 write was instead of were - Line no. 247 write plying instead of ply - Line no. 250 write was instead of were - Line no. 254 write was instead of were - Line no. 254 write plying instead of ply - Line no. 264 write shows instead of show - Line no. 278 write of instead of in 	All the correction have been corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line no. 279 write in the instead of of the - Line no. 299 write in the instead of of the - Line no. 310 write on instead of from - Line no. 311 write in instead of of 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Accept As Is Minor Revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	