SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_50577**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Itoikin Irrigation Scheme Using performance Indicator**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- **The manuscript details a case study of irrigation in the Itoikin region. To be considered as a research paper the authors should make major modifications: Statistical evaluation must be made to discuss the significance of the results. The number of references is very low and they must be updated.**	Modification has been done, References has been added and updated as suggested.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	