



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_50643
Title of the Manuscript:	BEHAVIOR OF COLUMNS CONFINED WITH FRP FABRICS UNDER REPEATED LATERAL LOADS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Suggested to write the abbreviations like GFRP or CFRP</p> <p>Line 69 -- cross-sectional area of 25x25 cm---- figures are showing dimensions in mm, suggested to use one type of unit, change writing as 250x250 mm</p> <p>Line 69 -- height of 150 cm -- change as suggested</p> <p>Line 72 -- Figure (1) – check style of writing Figure and correct such mistakes throughout the paper</p> <p>Line 87 -- Table (1) --- check style of writing Table and correct</p> <p>Table.2 -- cm³ correct as cm³, remaining such corrections to be done throughout the paper</p> <p>Line 127 -- height at Levels (0.25, 0.5, and 0.75) -- write units, are they are % of the total height ?.</p> <p>Figure 3 and 4 are not used in the text.</p> <p>Line 174 --. Figures 6 to 16 – I think it is Figures 6-14 – correct</p> <p>Section 5.2 – Figures 15-19 are not used in the text – these are good results, need proper explanation in the text of section 5.2.</p> <p>Line 407 -- ANSYS values are always higher--- what are the reasons for higher ANSYS values ?</p> <p>Figures 31-35 are not used in the text. Authors can write some analysis based on these figures</p> <p>References:</p> <p>Check style of writing references for example – Line 531- Shuenn-Yih Chang et al. It is required to cite all authors, writing et al is appropriate here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Done as in line 11,12 - Has been carried out - Has been carried out - Has been carried out - Has been carried out - Has been carried out - They are % of the total height (ratios, are dimensionless) - They were added in the text - Has been corrected - The figures were added in the text, and the analysis of results was improved in section 5.2. - No reason however the deference is not big. - The figures were added in the text, and the analysis of results in those figures was improved lines 522 to 524. - The style of references were modified (cited all authors)
Optional/General comments	Good work.	



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	