



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_50416
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-occurrence of polychlorinated biphenyls, cyanotoxins and trace elements in commercial fish species from a freshwater protected area (Pertusillo Lake, Southern Italy).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article is interesting but, some modifications refers to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More recent references for introduction. - Some references for Materials and method (to evidence de study applicability and to have some study credibility). - Some clear indication of the legislative concentration can be represented also in the graphs. - Some conclusions with data. - Figure 4 is unclear and without legend. - Figure 7 without legend. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The references of "Introduction" have been actualized. - Some references for Materials and Methods have been added. - There is no legislative concentration admitted in Italy for MYCs, and limits for the different trace elements make it difficult to represent them on their graphic at the same time; PCB levels in the figure are expressed as lipid weight due to the extraction method, while legislative limits are given in wet weight. For all these reasons we thought it best to deal with the limits in the text. - Conclusions have been re-written. - Figure 4 has been clarified, legends of figures 4 and 7 are present.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	