



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48068
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTI – DIARRHEAL ACTIVITY OF <i>AEGLE MARMELOS</i> – A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Page 1: TITLE- Incomplete ABSTRACT – Omitted- i.e. the caption “Abstract” not written Line 3: Consider using – due to its various medicinal properties Alternate and NOT Alternative arrangement. Consider the following order of presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aim • Introduction, which includes • Plant name • Where found • Description – It has alternate leave arrangement, Its flowers are greenish white etc. • Claims in relation to Diarrhoeal diseases • Methods • Results • Conclusion <p>- Bitter, astringent taste etc. as stated are not pharmacological properties. - Should be: Data was collected from and NOT “by” - Should be: internet NOT “website” - Delete “in order to do the main research” - The conclusion “The above information suggests that” is not coherent with the statements referred to.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider revising the abstract <p>KEYWORDS- I suggest you include the word “Siddha”</p> <p>Pages 2 - 8: CITATIONS-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-arrange in order of use eg. [1] the next should be [2] and not [43] then [21], [30]. • This affects the bibliography as well. Please adjust. <p>PARAGRAPHING Consider paragraphing the article as illustrated below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.0 INTRODUCTION 2.0 PATHOGENESIS OF INFECTIOUS DIARRHOEA 3.0 CHARACTERISTICS OF AEGLE MARMELOS 3.1 CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS 3.2 PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTION 3.3 NUTRTIONAL PROPERTIES 3.4 BIOACTIVECOMPOUNDS <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3.4.1 Alkaloids 3.4.2 Carotenoids 3.4.3 Coumarins 3.4.4 Polysaccarides 	<p>Correct it Thank you for your valuable comments.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>3.4.5 Tannins 4.0 TRADITIONAL USES 5.0 HOME REMEDIES 6.0 TOXICITY STUDY 7.0 PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES 7.1 Antiulcer activity 7.2 Antibacterial activity 7.3 Anti-diarrhoeal and anti-dysenteric activities 8.0 DISCUSSION 9.0 CONCLUSION</p> <p>* The content of the pharmacological action is not appropriate. It should include the MOA, P'dynamics, P'kinetics etc.</p> <p>Page 3: Nutritional Aspects of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delete – “also reported” Start with The Riboflavin content <p>Page 4: Carotenoids:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal NOT “principle” <p>Traditional Uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pharmacological properties NOT “pharmacology properties” Bitter, astringent taste, hot potency etc. are not pharmacological properties. Consider revising. <p>Page 5: Right column, Paragraph 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 6: “action & Knowles the chief” ?. Consider revising the sentence <p>Page 6: Toxicity Studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 7- should be extract did not SHOW <p>Page 8: Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 2: “infectious diseases IN worldwide” Delete the word IN <p>Page 9: Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Last three lines should be revised. Dwell more on the anti-diarrhoeal activities to conclude and NOT “The above collected information suggests ...” Same should be reflected in the abstract when re-phrased. The needed information are found in pages 7 and 8 of the article <p>Bibliography [26] : Not found in the body [31]: Not found in the body</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Commendable Article with timely contribution to the field and general audience. It also has good content and supporting evidence.	Thank you madam / sir

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	