



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<u><a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a></u>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_50048</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STIGMASTEROL AND <math>\beta</math>-SITOSTEROL FROM THE ROOT OF <i>Mangifera indica</i> AND THEIR BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES AGAINST SOME PATHOGENS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>2., 2.1., 2.1.3 are unnecessary. Refer to "SDI_Paper_template_2003"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 45: specify GPS coordinates of the harvesting location</li> <li>- 200, 201 Authors should correct the following sentence</li> </ul>	SDI template has been followed and the sentences corrected. The location of the plant sample collected was specified in the paper and where I am at the moment is far for me to go and take the coordinates.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 105, 106, 108, 125, Separate the units from the numbers</li> <li>- 225-263 Authors must review the form</li> <li>- Same as for image 2 and 3</li> </ul>	The units has been separated as directed.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I am very happy for evaluating study regarding health. Authors obtained valuable results that the isolation and characterization of mixture of stigmasterol and $\beta$ -sitosterol. Manuscript is well-designed, well-equipped with information, fluent and deducible. Calculations are true and very interesting. Figures and References are enough for this study.	Thank you for your kind review.

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	