



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_44924
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Anxiolytic Effect of Aqueous Extract of Valeriana officinalis Root with Buspirone in Mature Male Mice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Authors need to seriously work on the English and grammar of this manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract is full of such mistakes. Authors please explain the use of "Chemical medicine". Either it should be allopathic medicine or any other system of medicine but chemical medicine is irrelevant.</p> <p>Abstract line 4. "have had a special place" Check again for the mistake here. It should be "has had".</p> <p>"The present study is an experimental study with control and experimental groups." This sentence in itself is irrelevant to mention in the abstract because any study will have blank and control groups.</p> <p>Why only male mice were used for the study?</p> <p>"Anxiolytic effects were evaluated 10 days after receiving by using maze". Receiving what and which maze? Authors should clarify.</p> <p>Keywords: "Valeriana officinalisd" It should be in italics and rather should be deleted as it is already present in the title.</p> <p>The whole manuscript is aligned centrally. Is this a requirement by the journal? Authors please stick to the journal guidelines.</p> <p>Authors are advised to first properly format the manuscript and seek the help of a native English speaker who can help to improve the manuscript in terms of language and grammar. The scientific aspects of the manuscript can be reviewed later. First it needs to be presented in a proper well presentable manner.</p>	<p>Grammatical mistake corrected</p> <p>Comments are considered and effected in the revised form</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Scientific name in the whole manuscript has been italicized</p> <p>Manuscript formatted as per the journal guideline</p> <p>We have checked and corrected the grammatical errors</p>
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	