



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_44924
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Anxiolytic Effect of Aqueous Extract of Valeriana officinalis Root with Buspirone in Mature Male Mice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract part, please write in same font as the rest of the manuscript. 2. References should be in numbered style rather than author-date style. 3. Please align paragraph ends. 4. Line 73 and elsewhere in the manuscript, <i>valeriana</i> latin name should be <i>italic</i>. 5. Line 103, the word effectively is repeated. 6. Lines 107 to 145 are better situated in discussion part than the introduction part. 7. Please add aim of the study at the end of the introduction part. 8. Small details as glassware and cotton etc are not usually written in materials and methods part. 9. No need for figure 1. 10. Details of dosage calculation are not necessary. 11. Figure 2, it is called bar chart rather than column chart. 12. Tables should be without inner or side borders. 13. There is no need for statistical analysis details. 14. In references part, abbreviate journal names. 	<p>Corrected References have been numbered and mentioned in the text Alignment done Scientific name Italised Correction done</p> <p>Correction done</p> <p>Figure caption has been modified</p> <p>Table corrected Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	