



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46178
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Irrigation Solutions on Coronal Microleakage in Endodontically Treated Teeth (An In Vitro Study)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Although the experimental part of the study has been adequately conducted</p> <p>The manuscript contains confusing statements; e.g. "Dye penetration was seen in 0 %, 5% and 15% of EDTA, Alcohol and Saline group, respectively."</p> <p>This sentence does not reflect the real situation. In fact, the dye penetration was seen in 95%, 95% and 100% of the EDTA, alcohol and saline groups, respectively.</p> <p>The English language in the submitted article is not clear and correct.</p>	It is corrected as follows: the dye penetration was seen in 95%, 95% and 100% of the EDTA, alcohol and saline groups, respectively.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	