



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46178
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Irrigation Solutions on Coronal Microleakage in Endodontically Treated Teeth (An In Vitro Study)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>English language – spelling mistakes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 15 “ Salivary microorganism” ... do you mean microorganisms? Line 17 “out of the” ... it would be better without “the”. Line 17 “ becuase” ... please correct it. Line 18 “So,The “ ... please correct it. Line 20. There is duple space after the words “microleakage”, “at”, “crown”, “of”, “endodontically”, “treated” and “teeth”... please correct it. Line 35 “treatment.It” ... please correct it. Line 46 “used.The” ... please correct it Line 53 “remov” ... please correct it. Line 64 “wax.After” ... please correct it. Line 65 again duble gap after “all”, “teeth”, “were”, “treated”, “in”, “2%”, “methylene”, “blue”, “dye” Line 77 “groups,in” please correct it. Line 84 “power.The” ... please correct it. Line 87 “group,respectively” ... please correct it. Line 97 “contravesy” ... please correct it. Line 103 “This results” ... do you mean these? Line 107 “groups.Also” ... please correct it. Line 109 “microleakage.They” ... please correct it. Line 112 “results;Vivacque” ... please correct it. Line 118 “).Moreover,Prado” ... please correct it. Line 119 “material.The” ... please correct it. Line 127 “irrigaton” ... please correct it. Line 128 “microleakage.Although,there” and “solution.But” ... please correct it. 	These are corrected and highlighted in paper.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 26. You say that “Glass ionomer cements (GIC) are restorative materials with many uses in dentistry and contain calcium, strontium aluminosilicate glass powder (base) and water-soluble polymer (acid)”. ... I can't understand why this is important. Please provide more information about the reasons made you choose this material please (and not another one.) Line 125. Please rewrite the conclusion, because it is confusing. It needs to be more clear Please add some more bibliography. For example, at the end of the last paragraph of introduction it is need more bibliography to support the reasons of continuing to this study. Same at discussion part. 	These is corrected
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting paper which can add useful laboratory information based on scientific data. Minor revision is need.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	