



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50553
Title of the Manuscript:	Relaxant Activities of Extracts from Uvaria rufa Blume and Caesalpinia sappan L. on Excised Rat's Prostate Strips
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	--	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract was out of journal proposed guidelines. The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. Follow the journal guidelines strictly.	We have already corrected the abstract (not exceed than 300 words) as mention from the journal guideline.
Optional/General comments	The research MS titled " Relaxant Activities of Extracts from Uvaria rufa Blume and Caesalpinia sappan L. on Excised Rat's Prostate Strips " was presented in a scientific manner, perfectly followed journal guidelines and generated the data that was statistically and scientifically fit for the selected study and then for publication into the respective journal.	Thank you for your valuable reviews and comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes, there was an ethical issue in this manuscript. The details of animal ethics as follows: All of the animal procedure used in the present study were carried out in accordance with the reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University (ID: Re. 004/13).