



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50594
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EFFECT OF TWO ANTI-DIABETIC PLANTS: <i>Cataranthus roseus</i> and <i>Nauclea latifolium</i> ON SOME BIOCHEMICAL INDICES OF STREPTOZOTOCIN INDUCED DIABETIC ALBINO WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduce the abstract to about 250 words, limit the abstract to key findings. 2. Table 1; specify if the insulin group was diabetic or normal e.g. "Diabetic + insulin" 3. Line 224, should be table 2 not 1. 4. The title of the study implies comparative effects, however the comparative part is lacking on the conclusion. 	<p>We have revise the manuscript as per the comments</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments	Ready for publication after edition.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	