



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50759
Title of the Manuscript:	The Signs and Symptoms Comparison of Gastrointestinal Diseases between Classic Medicine and Iranian Traditional Medicine A Hybrid Qualitative Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please recheck for spelling and grammar mistakes. • Font type, size and line spacing must be uniform throughout the manuscript. • Authors are requested to go through the author's guidelines in the journal web site and in Science Domain International website. Also to go through one original article published in the latest issue of the journal JPRI. • Word count for abstract should exceed 300. • Duration of study has to be mentioned in Abstract and in main text also. • Data in abstract, results and discussion do not tally with that in Table 1. • Key words must be 4-8 in number in MeSH terms other than those found in title in alphabetical order. • Reference numbers of in text citations must be within square brackets before punctuation. Please correct it throughout the text. • References list should be in Vancouver style. For full details on this refer to the following link to university of Queensland (http://www.library.uq.edu.au/training/citation/vancouv.pdf) <p>----- Please see the corrected manuscript with track changes.</p>	All corrections have been done accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledgements, Authors' Contributions levels, Ethical clearance details, Consent details (where ever applicable) and limitations of the study if any are to be mentioned. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good manuscript provided all the corrections and suggestions mentioned above are carried out by the authors. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	