



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47644
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Transmission Dynamics of Anthrax in Animal Population. A modeling Approach
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a good topic, authors deal with some interesting issues, nonetheless they must improve the whole manuscript in order to be published. Here are the some issues to be taken into account.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> References have to be enumerated, in this case they are not, so is impossible to know which citation refers to when mentioned, in other words, it is not known what [1], [2], and so on are. Proof of Theorem 1 in the present form is pretty confusing, I suggest rewrite it in more details and coherence. In lines 79 and 107 (Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 respectively) is impossible to understand what the statements of those are. This manuscript needs numerical implementation in order to assure results are correct and describing the real phenomenon. In almost all model of this type numerical implementation is a must. 	<p>References have been enumerated</p> <p>Some changes have been done on the proof of theorem 1</p> <p>Parameters used in Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are stated in the parameters description</p> <p>Results to show model was tested provided</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Once these remarks are incorporated to the manuscript I will recommend its publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>