



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50272
Title of the Manuscript:	Why don't Cancer survivors attend cancer support groups in Toronto
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please use brackets for reference numbers after full stop. 2. Line 30: you may use semicolon after 2017 just to avoid confusion. "In 2017; 2,06,200 new cases..." 3. Line 43 & 46: please use 'are' instead of 'were'. "... issues are provided." and "...psychologists are involved.....". Similarly at other places use present tense in Introduction section 4. Lines 58-59: you may add 'only a' to get better meaning of the sentences; ".... concluded that only a few cancer survivors...." 5. Conclusion section: You may add more ideas to improve the participation. You may suggest need for further studies in the field, as the sample size of your study is small. 	<p>Changed</p> <p>Revised.</p> <p>Revised.</p> <p>Revised.</p> <p>Revised.</p>
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References are not checked/cross checked. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>None declared</p>	Added at the last page
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	None declared	Added at the last page