



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50576
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Management Model In Digital Era, Using SAST (Strategic Assumption Surfacing and Testing) and the Exponential Comparison Method (ECM) : A Case Study in Jakarta
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper aim to determine the most appropriate mitigation strategy by involving the community using SAST and ECM	Thank you for your attention, SAST was used to identify the most important and certainty aspects, to involve the community in handling disaster in digital era; While ECM was used to select the strategy in accordance with real condition. This is a simple method to select some alternative, as complex method : AHP.
Minor REVISION comments	Some mayor typo, and the question: 1. Is it a relation with the usually 5 years flood in Jakarta with this paper? 2. Is it the information centre does not overlapping with the BNPB information centre?	1. Yes... this result will be input for policy maker related flood mitigation in 4.0 era 2. This information center is designed by involving BNPB, BMKG and local government. Its function is to deliver official news digitally through Social Media; but it different with BNPB which delivered official news via TV. and TV news is only given every hour, while official news via social media at any time. So this center will not overlap with BNPB.
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	