



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_50294
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Salmonella Typhimurium from commercial poultry and handlers in Nasarawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The article is giving good scientific information on Antibiotic resistance. In the manuscript, the authors did not reported the prevalence of Salmonella in</b> poultry droppings, flesh feed, handlers' faeces and hand swabs. They are only speaking about 1500 samples analyzed but what was the prevalence of Salmonella in these samples, before testing the antibiotic susceptibility. The authors reported also that they have done serotyping for salmonella? Please add the percentage of salmonella typhimurium from all the serotypes find in 1500 samples.	The prevalence aspect for the serotype has already been published. The appearance of the term "prevalence" was an oversight by the author. However the prevalence of S.Typhimurium was found to be 7.1%
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	