



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_50877
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTHROPOMETRIC PARAMETERS AFFECTING OCULAR AXIAL LENGTH IN NIGER DELTA REGION OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>(1) a. Please create Materials and Methods. separate your Methodology from the Results. Possibly, replicate the Methodology as seen in your Abstract and elaborate on it.</p> <p>b. Give full details of your methodology (the study design, sampling technique and the anthropometric parameters with their measurements).</p> <p>c. Kindly elaborate on how you obtained your Socio demographic data (eg "data was obtained through an interviewer based proforma and included age, sex and tribe").</p> <p>(2). In your methodology, kindly include the names and models of the instruments used for the ocular examinations (measurements of VA, applanation tonometry, ophthalmoscopy and Axial length (AL) which was measured using Amplitude (A) scan ultrasonography (SONOMED PACSCAN 300AP).</p>	<p>1. A. Done</p> <p>1. B. Done</p> <p>1. C. Done</p> <p>2. Done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>(1). Please review your citations in the text. They should be indicated by normal reference number, and not superscript. They should preferably be enclosed in brackets [ ], as stated in the authors' guidelines at <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/authors-instruction">www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/ authors-instruction</a>. Refer for further clarifications.</p> <p>(2). Explain your data analysis and test statistics under Result. This should interpret the <i>p</i> values of the test statistics for any significant relation/association of the measured parameters with AL in your findings.</p> <p>(3). <i>P</i> should always be italicized and capitalized. Zero "0" should not be placed in front of the decimal in <i>P</i> value. Refer to guidelines for reporting <i>P</i> values on the authors guidelines at <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/authors-instruction">www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/ authors-instruction</a>, for further clarifications.</p>	<p>1. Noted, done.</p> <p>2. Other reviewers prefer it before the results</p> <p>3. Noted, done.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments  See manuscript  Red highlights signifies: delete / make corrections  Green highlights signifies: add / guide to correction	Comments:  The Abstract was well written, tables and figures were self explanatory, but there was no clear defined Methodology of the work in the text, except in the Abstract.	THANK YOU



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	No ethical issues