



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50548
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF PROPAGATION LOSSES DUE TO RAIN ATTENUATED SIGNAL ON TERRESTRIAL RADIO LINKS OVER JOS, PLATEAU STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article has series of grammatical errors which seems to render the write up unsatisfactory. The author needs to allow an English expert to proof read for proper corrections All the equations were not written in a professional manner as befitting international standards. The author should use equation editors to rewrite the all equations. The headings of the tables should be reframed as it was too long and muddled up The tables and figures were not sufficiently discussed. Implications of the figures should be explicit in the discussion. References should be numbered 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The grammatical errors observed by the reviewer were corrected accordingly. The equations were written using the equation editor The heading of the tables were reframed The tables and figures were discussed accordingly References were numbered as suggested by the reviewer
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The concept of the research is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Nil

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>