



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50701
Title of the Manuscript:	SARIMA MODELLING OF THE FREQUENCY OF MONTHLY RAINFALL IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article can be published without restriction, it is an excellent work to understand how this important climate variable for the environment and agriculture behaves.	Thank you for appreciating the effort I put in this research work
Minor REVISION comments	The author could have adjusted some theoretical probability model such as the Gaus Curve or Gamma, which has a much wider range of action, is more flexible, and is a more powerful statistical inference tool.	
Optional/General comments	Important article to guide other researchers	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	