



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_48002
Title of the Manuscript:	First case of qnr B6 and qnr B7 genes in enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 2, use uppercase for E in the Enterobacteriaceae. Italicize the scientific names in the result section of abstract and in the line 150-151. In the result section of abstract, it should be cefoxitin. Manage it throughout the manuscript. Use was for is in the line 28. Line 56, make corrections for extended. Make correction throughout. Line 100-101, single sentence cannot be a paragraph. Line 103, Table 2 should not be bold. Line 112, put comma after parenthesis. Line 114, italicize <i>E</i>. Line 161, it should be enterobacteriaceae. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> the name is corrected Scientific names are wrote italicized Cefoxitin is used throughout the manuscript The word "is" is replaced with "was" The word "extented" is replaced with "extended" throughout the manuscript. another sentence is added to the paragraph Table 2 is not bold Comma is put after parenthesis The name is wrote italicized The name is corrected
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 129-130, objective is repeated several times, better to remove it. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The sentence is ommited

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	