



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_50337</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIAN FOOD AND BEVERAGE COMPANIES</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper needs some rewriting before it can be considered for publication. First, the literature review should be completely rewritten so that it is presented as a series of themes rather than a series of papers. Put together those papers which explore a similar theme and do not just list what other people have done. Make it clear what is known about the subject and, therefore, what is not known – which you can then address with the current research.</p> <p>A discussion section is needed which considers the research results in the light of filling the gaps in knowledge identified at the end of the literature review. It is necessary in this section to demonstrate that a contribution to academic knowledge has been made.</p> <p>The conclusion should incorporate the research limitations and suggestions for future research.</p> <p>The recommendations are not really supported by the research. Either rephrase these in such a way that it is clear that the research does support them or, preferably, delete them altogether.</p>	The manuscript has been modified
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	