



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_50556
Title of the Manuscript:	The validity of Wagner's law in India: A post-liberalisation analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The literatures used consulted in this study are out dated. This is 2019, but the most recent literature cited herein is 2010. The author(s) has/have to update this study with more recent citation between 2015 and 2019. 2. The VAR technique requires the Impulse response and Variance decomposition analysis. This is absent in the study. 3. All tables should be presented in modern format as shown in Table 1. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A total of 17 new references have been added in which 13 are between the years 2015-2019. Further, seven (7) out of 17 are from Indian context only which provide recent literature on Indian context. 2. Since all the variables of the model are integrated of order one and they also cointegrated, we have used VECM technique (not VAR) to compute the long-run and the short-run estimates of coefficients. The Granger causality method is based on the augmented VAR technique, which necessarily does not require the use of impulse response and variance decomposition analysis. 3. All tables have been formatted in modern format as prescribed.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check for grammatical corrections. 	Grammatical errors are minimized if any.
Optional/General comments	<p>I recommend the authors consult with English expert for thorough proof reading. This would enhance the quality of the article.</p> <p>Good Job!</p>	Authors appreciated the advice given by the respected reviewer and proof reading has been done by a doctorate in English Language.



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Not applicable.