



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_50766
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIETAL PERSPECTIVE OF COST ANALYSIS OF AN EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAMME FOR AUTISM CHILDREN AND ITS CONTRIBUTING FACTORS IN KLANG VALLEY MALAYSIA, 2019
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I used track changes so the author's should check the main manuscript.</p> <p>In the methodology, you must indicate how all the predictors were measured. Also the coefficients are too big which is not the best. The authors may consider dividing the numeric figures by a common denominator before running the regression. Also, since it is linear regression, tests such as heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and multicollinearity should be conducted to ascertain the robustness of the results.</p> <p>In addition, the authors need to work on grammar and comprehension.</p>	<p>The assumptions for regression were checked and were added in the manuscript (the part was highlighted in yellow).</p> <p>The grammar and comprehension also were checked and corrected accordingly as suggested.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is novel and very interesting and I hope the authors would work on the comments to improve upon the paper.</p>	<p>Thank you for the constructive feedback, really appreciated.</p>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	