SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SARJNP_45603**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOL AND AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF Annano muricata LEAVES.**
New title of the Manuscript:	**PHYTOCHEMICAL SCRENNING AND MICROCIDIAL ACTIVITY OF THE ETHANOLIC AND AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF *Annona muricata* AGAINST SOME PATHOGENIC BACTERIA**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
The authors made some suggested corrections, but some points were not clarified as: 1- The authors do not mention how many times the experiments were performed, I suggest that at least triplicates be performed and the results be presented as mean and standard deviation.) The authors did not cite the figures in the results and discussion section, just as the figure captions need to be more elucidative about methodology and data. The microorganisms used were clinical isolates or some collection of microorganisms (eg ATCC). 2- Conclusion: the paper presents a different conclusion from the abstract. Justify how the work results led to these conclusions "The results Thus support the use of the plants traditionally to treat chronic diarrhea, fever, diabetes, malaria and suggest its usage in the formulation of new antibacterial drugs", and only evaluated the antimicrobial activity against some bacterial species.	**It was mention in the materials and methods "Determination of Antimicrobial Activity", is just that I only used the average mean. Noted and corrected.** **Noted and Corrected**