

2 **Contribution of home gardening to household dietary intake among peri-urban**
3 **households of Imo State, Nigeria**

4 **Abstract**

5 Rapid urbanization, industrialization, land grabbing and dwindling land resources is fast
6 eroding the practice of home gardening in peri-urban areas. This has altered the dietary intake
7 pattern of peri-urban households who hitherto could augment their meals from products of
8 gardens around their homes. The study assessed home gardening contribution to dietary
9 intake among households in peri-urban areas of Imo State. Specifically, the study sought to
10 ascertain the types of home gardening practiced, the contribution of home garden to the
11 household dietary intake and identify the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing
12 home gardening. Multistage sampling technique was adopted in the selection of sample.
13 Okigwe, Orlu and Owerri-West Local Government Areas (LGAs) were purposively selected
14 from each of the agricultural zones in Imo State for their peri-urban nature. Four communities
15 were randomly selected from the three LGAs. A survey was conducted to find out households
16 which practiced home gardening. Ten households each were then randomly selected from
17 these communities, making a total of one hundred and twenty (120) respondents for the
18 study. Data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics (mean, frequency and
19 percentage). The result showed that majority (54.2%) of the respondents practiced mixed
20 crop home gardening while 43.3% practiced mixed farm home gardening. The level of
21 contribution of home gardening to dietary intake among households was (53.3%). A fairly
22 high number (47.5%) of peri-urban households expressed experiencing high constraints to
23 practicing home gardening. It is recommended that peri-urban households should be educated
24 on other methods of home gardening where land is in limited supply to improve household
25 dietary intake.

26 **Keywords:** Home gardening, Dietary intake, Peri-urban households.

27 **Background of the Study**

28 In most developing countries, agriculture is an essential sector and a great proportion
29 of agricultural activities take place in the rural areas more than in urban and peri-urban areas.
30 Since agriculture has been said to be the backbone of the rural economies, many countries
31 rely upon it for survival (Todaro and Smith, 2000). For many generations, small plots of land
32 near the homestead have been used as home gardens while livestock keeping has also been
33 practiced in Africa (Sigot, 2001). This has been done to facilitate direct access by households
34 to a diversity of nutritionally rich foods, which include roots, tubers, green leafy vegetables,
35 condiments, nuts, legumes, fruits and livestock products (FAO, 2001, Shrestha *et al.*, 2001).
36 In recent years, governments and other development organizations in both developed and
37 developing countries are emphasizing the importance of building local food production
38 systems in peri-urban areas as a means to ensure consumption of mostly fresh vegetables and
39 as an integrated strategy and a safety net for food security. Today the world faces a
40 fundamental challenge of ensuring that millions of households living in hunger have access to
41 enough food to maintain a healthy life. This can be achieved by home gardening.

42 There are different definitions of home garden however, Kumar and Nair (2004)
43 defined home gardens as the intimate, multi-storey combination of various trees and crops in
44 association with domestic animals around homestead. Furthermore, Odebode (2006) referred
45 to home gardening as a cultivation of small portion of land which may be at the back of a
46 home or within a walking distance from home. This is especially important in peri-urban
47 areas where people have limited land for cultivation and poor access to markets. A peri-urban
48 area is not only a zone of direct impact experiencing the immediate impacts of land demands
49 from urban growth and pollution, but is also a wider market-related zone of influence that is
50 recognizable in terms of the handling of agricultural and natural resource products (Simon,
51 McGregor and Thompson 2006). A well-developed home garden has the potential, when
52 access to land and water is not a major limitation, to supply most of the non-staple foods that
53 a family needs every day of the year, including roots and tubers, vegetables and fruits,
54 legumes, herbs and spices, animals and fish. Landon-Lane (2011) stated that home gardening
55 offers immense benefits to peri-urban households which include improved food security,
56 increased availability of food and better nutrition through food diversity, income and
57 enhanced rural employment through additional or off-season production, decreased risk
58 through diversification, environmental benefits from recycling water and waste nutrients,
59 controlling shade, dust and erosion, and maintaining or increasing local biodiversity.

60 The broad objective of the study is to assess home gardening contribution to dietary
61 intake among households in peri-urban areas of Imo State. The specific objectives include to:

- 62 i. ascertain the type of home gardening practiced
- 63 ii. determine the contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake;
- 64 iii. identify the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.

65 **METHODOLOGY**

66 **Study Area**

67 The study was carried out in Imo State which is one of the 36 states of Nigeria. It lies to the
68 southeastern area of Nigeria and shares common boundaries with Abia State on the East and
69 Northeast, Rivers State on the South, and Anambra state on the West and Northwest, with
70 Owerri as its capital. Imo state is made up of three (3) Agricultural Zones, which are Orlu,
71 Owerri and Okigwe Agricultural Zones. The state is made up of twenty-seven (27) Local
72 Government Areas. Multistage sampling technique was employed in the selection of sample.
73 The first stage was a purposive selection of the three Local Government Areas (Owerri-West,
74 Okigwe and Orlu), one from each of the three agricultural zones because of their peri-urban
75 nature. The second stage was a purposive selection of four (4) communities that practice
76 home gardening from each of the selected Local Government Areas. At this stage also, a
77 survey was carried out to know the communities that practice home gardening. A list of
78 households that practice home gardening was collected from each of the selected
79 communities. The third stage is a random selection of ten (10) households that practice home
80 gardening from each of the selected communities, making a total of one hundred and twenty
81 (120) respondents for the study. Data was collected using structured questionnaire and was
82 analysed using descriptive statistics.

83 In order to ascertain the types of home gardening practiced, the respondents were presented
84 with a list four possible home gardening types. Respondents were to indicate (a) Yes if he/she
85 practices that particular type of home gardening and (b) No if not. It was analysed using
86 simple percentage.

87 The contributions of home gardening to the household dietary intake was measured using an
88 array of eleven (16) possible questions that can help determine the contributions of home
89 garden to the household dietary intake. Respondents were asked to express their disposition
90 on a four point Likert scale. The highest possible score was 64.0 and the lowest possible
91 score was 16.0.

92 The result for the 120 questionnaire was computed, using the summary of scores, the mean
93 was calculated. Based on the mean score of 52.6, respondents were categorized into two.
94 Respondents with scores equal to or above the mean score were categorized as agreeing that
95 home garden has made a high contribution to household dietary intake while the respondents
96 with scores below the mean score were categorized as agreeing that home gardening had low
97 contribution to household dietary intake.

98
99 In order to ascertain the constraints encountered by peri-urban households in practicing home
100 gardening, the respondents were presented with a list of fourteen (14) possible constraints.
101 Respondents were asked to express their disposition on a four point Likert scale. The highest
102 possible score was 56.0 and the lowest possible score was 14.0.

103 The result for the 120 questionnaire was computed, using the summary of scores, the mean
104 was calculated. Based on the mean score of 42.4, respondents were categorized into two.
105 Respondents with scores equal to or above the mean score were categorized as indicating
106 high constraints while the respondents with scores below the mean score were categorized
107 as indicating low constraints to home gardening.

108

109 **Results and discussions**

110 **Types of home gardening practiced**

111 The result on Table 1 shows that a large percentage (54.2%) of the respondents
112 practiced mixed crop home gardening followed by 43.3% that practiced mixed farm home
113 gardening. This is in line with the statement of Kumar and Nair (2004) who referred to home
114 gardening as an intimate, multistory combinations of various trees and crops, sometimes in
115 association with domestic animals, around homesteads.

116 **Table 1 Types of Home Gardening (HG) Practiced**

GH Type	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Single crop HG	1	0.8
Mixed crop HG	65	54.2
Livestock garden	2	1.7
Mixed farm HG	52	43.3

117

118 **Contributions of Home Garden to the Household Dietary Intake**

119 Table 2a shows contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake. A large
120 percentage (87.5%) of the respondents strongly agreed that home garden has contributed to
121 their household dietary intake by being an easy source of fresh food. This is in line with the
122 statement of Marsh (1998) that home garden provide easy day-to-day access to an assortment
123 of fresh and nutritious foods for the household.

124 From the result also, 65.0% of the respondent strongly agreed to having better
125 nutrition as a result of home gardening. This is in line with the statement of Talukder *et al*
126 (2000) that through home gardening, households can have better access to a diversity of plant

127 and animal food items that lead to an overall increase in dietary intake and boost the
 128 bioavailability and absorption of essential nutrients.

129 Majority (78.3%) strongly agreed that they generate income from sales of produce
 130 from their farm (home garden). This implies that practicing home gardening can help
 131 improve livelihood. This corroborates the findings of Calvet-Mir *et al* (2012) that
 132 bibliographic evidence suggests that home gardens contribute to income generation,
 133 improved livelihoods, and household economic welfare.

134 **Table 2a: Contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake**

Contributions Options	SA		A		D		SD	
	F	%	F	%	f	%	F	%
Easy source of fresh food	105	87.5	15	12.5	0	0	0	0
Ease emotional stress	47	39.2	35	29.2	17	14.2	20	16.7
Better nutrition	78	65.0	40	33.3	1	0.8	0	0
Reduction in family food budget	77	64.2	42	35.0	1	0.8	0	0
Conservation of medicinal plants	57	47.5	52	43.3	3	2.5	7	5.8
I generate income from sales of produce from my farm	94	78.3	15	12.5	2	1.7	9	7.5
Pleasure/hobby	41	34.2	14	11.7	25	20.8	39	32.5
Environmental beautification/keeps business away	53	44.2	34	28.3	7	5.8	24	20.0
Relieve mental fatigue	44	36.7	32	26.7	19	15.8	21	17.5
Growing cries own food as much as possible makes best economic sense	75	62.5	41	34.2	2	1.7	1	0.8
Home gardening helps in attaining the MGDs of food security, nutrition, health	51	42.5	57	47.5	2	1.7	8	5.7
Source of nearly all the food crops we need	52	43.3	43	35.8	2	1.7	23	19.2
Home gardening contribute significantly to daily family food need	80	66.7	33	27.5	0	0	5	4.2
Home gardening	69	57.5	43	35.8	2	1.7	4	3.3

produces food almost at all seasons of the year										
Reduce weeds and bush around the home	72	60.0	35	29.2	6	5.0	5	4.2		
Food reserves for emergencies and special occasions	63	52.5	52	43.3	1	0.8	3	2.5		

135

136 **Categorization of contribution level of home gardening to household dietary intake**

137 The result on Table 2b shows that the level of contribution of home gardening
 138 (53.3%) to dietary intake among households was relatively high. This implies that house hold
 139 gardening has highly contributed to dietary intake of households in the area. This is in line
 140 with the findings of Michell and Hanstad (2004) that multiple social benefits of home
 141 gardening include enhancing food and nutritional security in many socio-economic and
 142 political situations, improving family health and human capacity. This also corroborates the
 143 statement of Landon-Lane (2011) that home gardening offers immense benefits to peri-urban
 144 households which include improved dietary intake, increased availability of food and better
 145 nutrition through food diversity.

146 **Table 2b Categorization of contribution level of home gardening to household**
 147 **dietary intake**

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Low	56	46.7
High	64	53.3
Total	120	100

148

149 **Constraints of Peri-Urban Households in Practicing Home Gardening**

150 Table 3 shows that constraints peri-urban dwellers encounter in keeping home
 151 gardens. Majority (71.7%) of the respondents strongly agreed to prevalence of pests as a
 152 constraint. This implies that the major constraints to home gardening in the areas is
 153 infestation by pests. Likewise, 55.8% agreed strongly that disease infestation is a constraint.
 154 This is in concord with the findings of Pandey *et al* (2007) that damage due to insect pests,
 155 diseases are constraints to home gardening.

156 Some of the respondents (42.5%) agreed strongly to lack of improved planting stock
 157 as an impediment to home gardening. Likewise, 39.2% of the respondents strongly agreed to
 158 lack of ready market for produce as an impediment. Some (35.8%) strongly agreed that
 159 inadequate fund is a constraint to home gardening. This is in agreement with some of the
 160 constraints encountered in home gardening as identified by Mitchell and Hanstad (2004) as
 161 access to capital or credit, access to water, seeds and planting materials, weak extension and
 162 advisory services, access to labour, and access to markets.

163 **Table 3a Constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.**

Constraints Options	SA		A		D		SD	
	F	%	F	%	f	%	F	%

Inadequate funds	43	35.8	33	27.5	5	4.2	38	31.7
Destruction of animals	74	61.7	28	23.3	2	1.7	16	13.3
Land scarcity	61	50.8	28	23.3	12	10.0	18	15.0
Prevalence of pests	86	71.7	21	17.5	7	5.8	5	4.2
Inadequate storage facilities	43	35.8	27	22.5	11	9.2	39	32.5
Lack of ready market for produce	47	39.2	33	27.5	7	5.8	29	24.2
Weed infestation	77	64.2	28	23.3	7	5.8	5	4.2
Disease infestation	67	55.8	40	33.3	7	5.8	5	4.2
Inadequate fertilizer/chemicals	53	44.2	19	15.8	22	18.3	24	20.0
Damage by weather	80	66.7	27	22.5	5	4.2	7	5.8
Lack of improved planting stocks.	51	42.5	28	23.3	26	13.3	24	20.0
Drought	41	34.2	28	23.3	16	13.3	34	28.3
Wrong panting time	41	34.2	22	18.3	18	15.0	36	30.0
Pilfering (Theft)	57	47.5	46	38.3	4	3.3	13	10.8

164

165 **Categorization of constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.**

166 The result on Table 3b shows that a fairly high number of respondents considered the
167 constraints to home gardening in peri-urban areas as high. Abugu *et al* (2013), ascertain there
168 are quite a number of constraints in production of vegetables in areas where urbanization is
169 creeping in. Despite these constraints, home gardening still has high contribution to dietary
170 intake in the study area (see Table 2b). This implies that addressing constraints in the practice
171 of home gardening will improve dietary intake in peri-urban households.

172 **Table 3b Categorization of constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home**
173 **gardening.**

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Low	63	52.5
High	57	47.5
Total	120	100

174

175

176

177 **Conclusion and Recommendation**

178 Evident from the study is that the contribution of home garden to the household dietary
179 intake was relatively high. Some of the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing
180 home gardening as noted in the study were, prevalence of pests and weed infestation. The
181 study therefore recommends that: Households be introduced to alternative methods of

182 home gardening as land is in limited availability to improve dietary intake and also
183 improve food security.

184

185 **REFERENCES**

186 Abugu, R.O., Chah, J.M., Nwobodo, C., Asadu, A.N and Igbokwe E.M (2013): Agricultural
187 extension needs of farmers in Telfairia production and marketing in Enugu State,
188 Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension* Vol.17(1) June, 2013:49-57

189

190 Calvet-Mir, L., Gomez-Bagetthun, E and Reyes-Garcia (2012): Energy and economic flow in
191 homegardens in subtropical Yunnan, SW China: a case study in Vall Fosca, catalan
192 Pyrenees, Northeastern Spain. *Ecol Econ* 74: 153-160

193

194 Food and Agriculture Organization, F.A.O. (2001). Food and Agriculture Organization of the
195 United Nations. The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture FAO, Rome Italy.

196

197 Kumar, B.M and Nair, P.K.R (2004). The enigma of tropical home gardens.
198 *AgroforSyst*, 61:35–152. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.

199

200 Landon-Lane, C., (2011). Livelihoods Grow in Gardens – Diversity Rural Income
201 Through Home Garden. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the
202 United Nations.

203 Marsh R. (1998): Building on traditional gardening to improve household food security.
204 *Food Nutr Agr*, 22:4-14

205 Mitchell, R. and Hanstad, T. (2004). Small Home Garden Plots and Sustainable
206 Livelihoods for the Poor. Rome, Italy: LSP Working Paper 11.

207

208 Odebode, O.S (2006): Assessment of home gardening as a potential source of household
209 income in Akinyele Local Government Area of Oyo State. *Nig J Horticulture Sci*,
210 2:47–55.

211

212 Pandey, C. B., Rai, R. B, Singh, L. and Singh, A. k. (2007). Home gardens of Andaman and
213 Nicobar, India. *Agricultural Systems* 92:1-22

214

215 Shrestha P, Guatam R, Rana B. R and B Sthapit (2001). Home Gardens in Nepal, status and
216 scope for research and development. In WJ. Watson & PB. Eyzaguirre (Eds). Home
217 Gardens and in situ conservation of plant genetic resources in farming systems.
218 Proceedings of the Second International Home Gardens Workshop. Rome, 105-117.

219

220 Sigot, A.J (2001). Indigenous Food System: Creating and Promoting Sustainable Livelihood
221 Proceedings of the International Conference on Indigenous Knowledge Systems:
222 African Perspectives. Thohoyandou, South Africa, 1-6.

223

224 Simon, D., McGregor, D., and Thompson, D. (2006). Contemporary perspectives on the peri-
225 urban zones of cities in development areas, In Peri-Urban Interface: Approaches to
226 Sustainable Natural and Human Resource Use, edited by D. McGregor, D. Simon,
227 and D. Thompson, pp. 3-17. London, UK: Earthscan Publications Ltd.

228

229 Talukder, A., Kiess, L., Huq, N., De Pee, S., Darton-Hill, I., and Bloem, M.W (2000):
230 Increasing the production and consumption of vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables:
231 lessons learned in taking the Bangladesh homestead gardening programme to a
232 national scale. *Food Nutrition Bulletin*, 21(2):165-172
233
234 Todaro, M.P., and Smith, S.C. (2000). *Intermediate Microeconomics* (9thed.). Alan, USA.
235 PLC.
236
237
238
239

UNDER PEER REVIEW