Original Research Article

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SNAIL MARKETING IN IBADAN NORTH EAST LOCAL

GOVERNMENT AREA OYO STATE, NIGERIA

Abstract

This paper reports findings from a study carried out to investigate the profitability of snail marketing in Ibadan North East Local Government area of Oyo State. Structured questionnaires were used to obtain data from seventy snail marketers, randomly selected from three major markets which are Oje, Agodi gate and Agugu market;. The data collected were analyzed using frequency table, percentage and gross margin analysis. Majority (94.3%) of the respondents were female while 5.7% were male. 31.5% were between the ages of 51-60years with mean age of 54.9 years. It was also shown that 50% source their capital through personal savings. The cost and return analysis revealed that total revenue was \$\mathbb{A}\$1, 457,700.00k and total cost was \$\mathbb{A}\$1, 285,320.00k while gross margin was \$\mathbb{A}\$172, 380.00k and benefit-cost ratio was 1.13 which implies that for every \$\mathbb{A}\$1.00 invested the marketer will make a return of \$\mathbb{A}\$1.13k on every snail sold, Marketing efficiency was 88%. Problems militating against snail marketing in the study area include poor market patronage, numerous sellers and finance. Storage techniques for snail meat should be more advanced to reduce loss in storage. Snail marketers should be encouraged to form co-operative bodies and micro credit facilities should be made available to finance their marketing activities.

21 Keywords: Economic, Ibadan North-East, Marketing, Oyo State, Profitability, Snail

Introduction

Snails are invertebrates and hermaphrodites which belong to phylum mollusca. They are one of the micro livestock that have recently attracted attention among farmers in Nigeria as an aftermath of the alarm raised by the Food and Agriculture Organization on animal protein deficiency among Nigerians (Adesope, 2000). The consumption of snail meat by rural communities is governed more by culture than by social status Ebenso (2003), Snail has great potentials in the tropics where it is widely used in human nutrition. Murphy (2001) analyzed and reported snail meat to be high in protein (37.51%) compared to that of guinea pig (20.3%), poultry (18.3%), fish (18%), cattle (17.5%), sheep (16.4%). Snails are low in fat, protein rich and a good source of variety of essential vitamins and minerals including magnesium, vitamin E and phosphorous (Akinnusi, 2002). It also has some medicinal purposes such as in the cure of heart and kidney diseases, stroke, high blood pressure, liver, fat related ailment, poor eye sight, small pox, ulcer, constipation and asthma (Ayodele and Asimolowo, 1999. Snails have been and are still a much sought after food and come to the table as a gastronomous delight. Snails can be found in a very wide range of environments, including ditches and the bathyal depths of the sea. (Robinson, Elizabeth, 2005).

37 Snail marketing could serve as a source of income and returns to the marketers in the study area.

Marketing Concept and Marketing Efficiency

The American Marketing Association (2013) explained marketing as the communication between a company/ producer and the consumer. It is also an activity set of institutions and processes for creating communication delivery and exchanging offerings that have value for customers. Marketing concept therefore includes the assemble preparation for consumption and the final distribution. Kotler *et al.*, (2010), also defined market concept as achieving organizational goals which depend on knowing the needs and wants of target market and delivering the desired satisfaction. Marketing efficiency can be defined as the maximization of the ratio of output to input in marketing. Efficient marketing optimizes

the ratio between inputs and outputs. Marketing inputs here include the resources used in marketing of products whereas marketing output is the benefit or satisfaction created or the value added to the commodity as it passes through the marketing chain. Therefore, for this study, value added by respondents is computed as price in naira received by the respondents (price paid by the consumers) less the price received by the preceding marketer in the supply chain. Therefore: M.E= [(Total revenue – purchase cost of snail) ÷ (Total cost of marketing)] x 100% as used by Ugwumba *et al.*, (2016). This study therefore examined the socio-economic characteristics, cost and returns, and marketing efficiency of snail marketing in Ibadan North-East Local Government Area, Oyo State

Methodology

The study was carried out in Ibadan North East local government area, one of the LGAs in Ibadan metropolis of Oyo State. Ibadan is the capital of Oyo State, the largest city in Nigeria and Sub-Saharan Africa. It has a population of 330,399 at the 2006 census, and has the land mass of 125km² with twelve (12) wards. It is located on the northern part of Ibadan lying between latitudes 7°N and 9°N of the equator and longitude 3°E and 5°W Greenwich meridian. It has an average rainfall of between 1250mm and 1800mm and the temperature range is between 27°C and 32°C with relative humidity of 75% - 90% (NPC 2006).

Sampling Procedure

Ibadan North-East was purposively selected out of the five (5) local government areas in Ibadan metropolis of Oyo State because of the concentration of the snail marketers in the area, a well structured questionnaire and oral interview were administered on snail marketers in three major snail markets (Oje, Agodi gate and Agugu) where 30, 15 and 25 respondents were selected accounting for 10% of the population making a total of seventy (70) snail marketers sampled in all.

71

72

Data Analysis

- 73 The statistical tools used for the analysis of the data are descriptive statistics, frequency table,
- 74 percentage and gross margin analysis.. Gross margin was used to determine profitability of snail among
- 75 the marketers. The formula used to compute gross margin(GM) and marketing efficiency(ME) are
- expressed in equations described by Oyewo et al., (2013) given below

$$GM = TR - TVC$$

- 78 Where,
- GM = Gross margin
- TR (Total Revenue) = from the sales of snail = $(P \times Q)$
- Where P = Price of Snail
- 82 Q = Quantity of Snail sold
- TVC (Total Variable Cost) = Fixed cost + Transportation cost + Other expenses cost
- 84 TC= Total Cost
- 85 Marketing Efficiency (ME)
- $ME = \frac{TC}{TR} \times \frac{100}{1}$

89 90

88 Results and discussion

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Variable N=70	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean
Age (years)			
21-30	1	1.4	
31-40	6	8.5	
41-50	18	25.8	
51-60	22	31.5	
61 and above	23	32.8	54.93
Gender			
Female	66	94.3	
Male	4	5.7	

Religion			
Christian	39	55.7	
Islam	27	38.6	
Traditional	4	5.7	
Marital status			
Married	42	60.0	
Widow	18	25.7	
Widower	2	2.9	
Divorced	8	11.4	
Educational status			
None	16	22.9	
Primary	45	64.3	
Secondary	9	12.8	
House hold size			
0-4	11	15.7	
5-9	40	57.1	
10-14	18	25.7	
15 and above	1	1.5	7.67
Other job			
None	48	68.6	
Farming	4	5.7	
Trading	18	25.7	
Source of Credit	10	20.7	
Personal Savings	35	50.0	
Bank Loan	1	1.4	
Cooperative	14	20.0	
Friends/Relative	2	2.9	
Daily Contribution	18	25.7	A
Income	10	3.7	
1,000-10,000	1	1.5	
11,000-20,500	34	48.4	
21,000-30,000	34	48.6	
31,000 and above	1	1.5	20,824.29
Initial Capital		1.3	20,024.29
1,000-10,000	11	15.8	
11,000-20,000	50	71.3	
21,000 and above	9	12.9	17,050.00
Preservation		12.9	17,030.00
Sack and Basket	61	87.1	
Metal Basket	9	12.9	
		12.9	
How long can they	/		
survive (days)	22	22.0	
7	23	32.9 57.1	
14	40	57.1	
30 Y	7	10.0	
Years in business	10	17 1	
0-4	12	17.1	
5-9	33	47.1	
10-14	15	21.6	

15-19	6	8.5	
20-24	3	4.2	
25 and above	1	1.5	8.77

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

From table 1, it can be revealed that 94.3% of the respondents were female while 5.7% were male. This indicates that snail marketing is essentially an activity carried out by women folks. 32.9% were between the ages of 61 years and above and 31.5% are between the ages of 51-60 years with mean age of 54.9 years. This implies that people who engage in snail marketing were within the adult population. It was also found that 55.7% were Christians, 38.6% were Muslims while traditional religion worshipers were only 5.7%. 60% of the marketers were married; this shows that married people were more involved in snail marketing in the study area probably to increase household income. The result also showed that 22.9% had no formal education, 64.3% had primary education while 12.9% had secondary education. This means that education may add value to the operation of the business. Majority of the respondents (57.1%) had household size between 5-9 with mean household size of 5.39 in the study. This may be used as family labour. 68.6% relied solely on snail marketing and this implies that they can provide for their family and live well through the business. It is obvious that most of the respondents 50.0% use their personal savings as their source of capital. The table also shows that 48.6% of the respondent had income between \(\frac{\text{\tin}}\text{\tin}}\text{\tin}\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\tetx{\ti}\tinttit{\text{\texi}\tilie\ttit{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\ is a profitable business which people can engage in. Majority (71.3%) started the business with an initial capital of between \$\frac{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\te}\tint{\texi}\text{\text{\texitex{\text{\texi}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}}}}}}}}}}}} \eximiniminnemet{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}}}}}}}}}}} \eximiniminimini earn profit. Years of experience in the business varied with 47.1% of the respondents had 5-9 years marketing experience; this implies that majority of the snail marketers had above 5 years marketing experience. The number of years in the business of snail marketing could enable them to know the best ways to make profit, the little secrets of the business.

112

113

Table 2: Snails Marketing Channels in Ibadan North East LGA., Oyo State

Variables (N=70)	Frequenc	y Percentage (%)
Kind of Marke	ter		
Wholesaler		60	85.7
Retailers		8	11.4
Assemblers		2	2.9
Source of Snail			
Producer		60	85.7
Hunters/Gathere	ers	5	7.1
Wholesalers		5	7.2
Sale target			
Retailer		10	14.3
Consumer		60	85.7
Measurement of	of		
Purchase			
Pieces		46	65.7
Congo		16	22.9
Basket		8	11.4
Measurement of	of Sale		
Pieces		36	48.6
Congo		34	51.4

Majority (85.7%) of the marketers were wholesalers, 11.4% were retailers while only 2.9% of the respondents were hunters/gatherers (Table 2). 85.7% were snail producer and sold directly to consumers. This could be due to the richness in protein and other medicinal values in snail consumption. It is important to note that snail is not a commonly consumed meat but rather on need for meeting some nutritional or medical requirements and also, because of affordability mainly by the rich in the study.

140141142

143144

Table 3: Cost and Return on Snail Marketing

Variable	Amount (₦)
Total revenue (TR)	₩1,457,700.00k
Average Total Revenue	₩2,462.57k
Fixed cost (FC)	₩1,193,500.00k
Other expenses	₩23,570.00k
Transportation cost	₩68,250.00k
Total cost	₩1,285,320.00k

145 GM= TR – TVC

146 TVC = Transportation Cost + Fixed Cost + Other Cost

147 = \mathbb{N} 1, 285,320.00k

148 TR = \mathbb{N} 1, 457,700.00k

 $149 \qquad GM = TR - TC$

150 = $\mathbb{N}1$, 457,700 - $\mathbb{N}1$, 285,320

151 GM = \$172, 380.00K

Benefits cost ratio (BCR) = $\underline{\text{Total revenue}}$

Total cost

154 BCR = $\frac{11,457,700.00}{155}$ B.C.R = $\frac{11,457,700.00}{11,285,320.00}$ B.C.R = $\frac{11,13}{11,285,320.00}$

156 Marketing Efficiency (ME)

157 $ME = TC \times 100$

158 TR 1

159 Therefore ME =
$$\frac{1}{1}$$
, 285,320.00k x 100

160 $\frac{1}{1}$ 1,457,700.00k 1

161 ME = $\frac{1}{1}$ 88.1745

From the result of cost and return analysis it was revealed that total revenue was $\[mu]$ 1, 457,700.00k and total cost was $\[mu]$ 1, 285,320.00k, the gross margin was $\[mu]$ 172, 380.00k and also the benefit cost ratio was $\[mu]$ 1.13k. This result reveals that for every $\[mu]$ 1.00k invested in snail marketing, the marketer will make a return of $\[mu]$ 1.13k. The marketing efficiency result shows that the snail marketing in the study area attains an optimal level of efficiency of 88%.

Table 4: Constraints to Snail Marketing in the Study Area

Variables	Frequency	Percei	ntage (%)
Poor Market patronage	61	87.1	1 st
Numerous Seller	58	82.9	2 nd
Weather	57	81.4	3 rd
Finance	55	78.6	4 th
Price	42	60.0	5 th
Perishability	33	47.1	6 th

Snail marketing in the study area was hindered by several factors including lack of market, numerous seller, weather, finance, price, perishability (Table 4). The finding shows that poor market patronage was mostly identified probably because people eat snail on recommendation to meet some nutritional or medical needs, for cultural or traditional uses since it is a bit costlier than other meat sources. So, snail is ordinarily consumed by relatively rich people in the study. This however supports the work of Ugwumba *et al.*, 2016) that seasonal nature, high and unstable price of product, poor sales and lack of capital are the constraints to the marketing of African giant snail in their study.

Conclusion

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

191

194

195

196

197

201

Snail marketing is a profitable agribusiness in the study area. The level of profitability can equally be increased if there is a better marketing system and injection of more finance into the business which can in turn increase the level of income. The following are therefore recommended: that storage technique is more advanced for snail meat to curb losses, snail marketers are encouraged to form co-operative bodies and; micro credit facilities are made available to finance their marketing activities.

Refrences

- 1. Adesope, O.M. (2000). "Attitude of Household in Niger Delta Zone toward Snail Meat Consumption". In Ukachukwu S.N *et al.*, (eds). Animal production in the new millennium: Challenges and options. Zaria: NSAP Secretariat.
- Akinnusi, O. (2002).Introduction to Snail and Snail Farming Triolas publishing company,
 Abeokuta3rd edition, pp70,
- 3. American Marketing Association (2013). Retrieved from www.ama.org/aboutama/pages/definition-of-marketing.aspx 2015-12-05 Definition of marketing pp 24
 - 4. Ayodele I.A and Asimolowo A.S (1999). Essential of Snail farming. Agape print U.I. pp 51.
- 5. Ebenso, I.E (2003). Nutritive potentials of white snail (*Archachatina marginata*) in Nigeria. Discovery innovation, 15, 156-158.
 - 6. Robinson, Elizabeth, J.Z (2005). The impact of NTFP sales on rural household's cash income in India's dry deciduous forest". *Environmental Management* 35(3) 258-265.
 - 7. Kotler, Philip, Gray Armstrong, Veronica Wong, John Saundew (2010). Principle of marketing 5th edition Retrieved from www.amazon.co.uk/principle 2009-10-23
- 8. Murphy B. (2001). Breeding and Growing of Snails Commercially in Australia. A report for the a. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation (RIRDC) Publication No. 00/188 pp51
- 9. National Population Commission (2006) Census Provisional result Nigeria.
- 203 10. Oyewo.I.O, Falodun .E.O; B. H. Ugege; A. M. Aderemi and Rotowa T. O. (2013) Economic 204 Analysis of Egg Marketing in Ibadan South West and Ido Local Government Area of Oyo State. 205 International journal of agricultural innovations 3(2) pp 122-129

11. Ugwumba C.O.A, Obiekwe J.N and Ozorm.U (2016).Marketing of Africa Giant Snail (*AchatinaAchatina*) in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Journal of Dental and Medicinal Sciences* (IOSR-JDMS) 15(6) pp 57-66.