Original Research Article

NUTRITIONAL QUALITY DIFFERENTIAL, GROWTH AND ECONOMICS EFFICIENCY OF SOME SELECTED COMMERCIAL FLOATING FISH FEEDS IN SAKI WEST OYO STATE NIGERIA

Abstract

Nothing is more important than quality nutrition and adequate feeding of fish in captivity. Undernourished fish in terms of nutrient deficiency, cannot maintain its health for proportionate growth regardless the intense of feeding and quality of the environment.

A 49 day-feeding trial was carried out to investigate nutritional quality differential, growth and economics efficiency of some sampled commercially extruded floating feeds, based on frequency of usage among fish farmers in the study area. The sample feeds were sourced from respective distributors covering the zone of study. The feeds were designated as Fd_1, Fd_2, Fd_3, Fd_4, Fd_5 and Fd_6 (control). The examined growth performance, feed utilization and economic efficiency of feeds followed particular trend pattern and significantly different ($p<0.05$) across the sampled feeds (FW, MWG, SGR, TPI, PER and FCR). Finally, the control diet was least consumed, sustained positive allometry growth pattern and concomitant marginal profits; than feed 1 and 2 which had sharp drops in the growth pattern of fish after four(4) weeks.

Key Words: Allometry growth, Economic efficiency, fish farming, feed utilization, Differential, nutrition

Introduction

Aquaculture is one of the fastest animal based food producing sectors, particularly in developing countries. However, success in aquaculture depends on the ability of a farmer to cost effectively meet the nutritional demand of the cultured fish species. This is because feed type as well as feed quality may have consequences on both growth efficiency and feed utilization (Tsevis *et al.,* 2000). Good nutrition in animal production system is essential to economically produce a healthy and high quality product. In fish farming, nutrition is critical because feed represents 50-60% of the production costs, Jamiu and Ayinla (2003). The development of new species, specific diet support the aquaculture (fish farming) industry as it expands to satisfy increasing demand for affordable, safe and high quality fish.

As aquaculture production become more and more intensive in Nigeria, fish feed will be a significant factor in increasing the productivity and profitability of aquaculture (Akinrotimi, 2007). The need to intensify the culture of the fish, so as to meet the ever increasing demand for fish has made it essential to develop suitable diet either in supplementary form for ponds or as complete feed in tanks (Olakunle, 2000). The contribution of fisheries to the national economy is very significant in term of employment, income generation, poverty alleviation, food security, foreign exchange earnings and provision of raw materials for the animal feed industry (Alatise *et al*.,2014). Catfish (*Clarias* sp) are the major commercially species in Nigeria, for good market and culture (management) reasons (Anetekhai *et al.,* 2004).

Since 2000 there has been a rapid expansion in urban aquaculture and a significant development in high density catfish culture. As a result of this intensification in catfish culture, the aqua feed industry has grown and concerted effort has been focusing on research in fish nutrition and fish diet which start at Nigeria Institute for Oceanography and Marine Research (NIOMR). Laboratory size pellet mill and about 12 commercial aqua feed producers were established in Nigeria to complement companies that import high quality floating feed (Hect, 2007; Ayinla, 2007)**.** As such, there is currently in the market assortment of both imported and locally manufactured pelleted floating catfish feed brands.

Feed trial studies have been carried out on *Clarias gariepinus* to evaluate their growth response to different readily available protein sources (Ayinla and Akande, 1988; Achionye- Nzeh *et al.,* 2002; Fagbenro and Arowosoge, 2002; Otubusin *et al.,* 2009; Amisah *et al.,* 2009; Sotolu, 2009 and Sotolu, 2010;). The submission of their findings were not conclusive and the variations in conclusion of these afore-listed authors is a source motivation to further expand the body of knowledge regarding the nutritional quality differential, growth and economics efficiency of some selected floating feeds.

Materials and Methods

Study Area / Experimental Site

35 practicing fish farmers in Saki – West L/Gvt. were sampled based on their preferred floating fish feeds abound in the market. The experiment was carried out in the Fisheries Laboratory of Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology Igbo-ora, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Experimental Feeds (Sampled Feeds)

There are diverse of fish feeds which offer fish farmers' opportunity to choose out of the available floating fish feeds in the market. In this experiment, only five types of fish feeds were chosen based on frequency of usage by sampled fish farmers. They include, CF, AF, RF, VF, DF and CLF (Smoked fish waste meal) designated as Fd_1, Fd_2, Fd_3, Fd_4, Fd_5 and Fd_6 (control). The control diet was formulated using smoked fish waste (smoked fish waste meal) while other feed stuffs were purchased.

Experimental Design

The experimental design was in triplicates of eighteen plastic bowls for a period of 7 weeks, (April to June, 2018). Level of water in each bowl was maintained at 30 litres and the renewal of water was weekly (every seven days) at ratio 1:1 to avoid the shock as a result of the seemingly new environment when the whole water is changed.

Experimental procedure

One hundred and eighty (180) African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) juveniles of average weight 38.8g, was obtained from a reliable source. The fish were acclimatized for 2 days and were fed with control diet feed (Fd_6) at 5% of their body weight twice daily; morning and evening. The fish were starved for 24 hours in order to empty their stomach and prepared their appetite for the new feed trials. The feeding ration and diet per meal were prepared at 5% body weight and two time feeding regimes.

Growth performance parameters of test organism juveniles fed different Feeds

Data on growth performance were collected weekly using the following nutrient utilization and growth parameters:

Main Weight gain = Final weight – initial weight

$$\text{Average weight} = \frac{\text{Total weight}}{\text{No of fish}}$$

$$\text{Specific growth rate} = \frac{\ln \text{final body weight} - \ln \text{initial body weight}}{\text{Time (days)}} \times 100$$

ADWG = MWG / Period of the experiment

%WG = MWG / Initial mean weight x 100

$$\text{Protein Gain} = \frac{\text{MTPI g}}{\text{Culture Time (days)}}$$

Total Protein Intake (TOi) = Total feed consumed x % CP in the feed

$$\text{PER} = \frac{\text{Net weight gain (g)}}{\text{Amount of protein fed (g)}}$$

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR)} = \frac{\text{Total feed intake}}{\text{Total wet weight gain}}$$

$$\text{Total fish production: } \frac{\text{Final weight g x Survival rate}}{1000}$$

Statistical analysis of Data

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the effects of diets on growth and nutrient utilization indices using 16,0 version of SPSS (1999) statistical package. Significant differences between individual means were identified using the Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955). Mean differences were considered significant at $p< 0.05$.

Water quality Management

The water quality variables such as Temperature, Hydrogen- Ion Concentration (pH) were measured with a combined digital pen-type daily meter, while dissolved oxygen (mg/l) was measured using Winkler's method and conductivity by a digit conductivity meter (APHA/ AWWA/ WPCF, 1999).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differential nutritional quality, growth Response and economic efficiency

Table 1: Average Mean Values Proximate Composition in Experimental Feeds

PARAMETER	DT_1	DT_2	DT_3	DT_4	DT_5	DT6
Crude Protein	28.70	50.75	27.65	35.35	29.05	40.02
Ash	6.17	4.02	5.26	5.50	5.90	6.28
Crude Fibre	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.35
Lipid Either Extract	6.50	7.50	6.70	7.10	6.80	4.65
Moisture Dry Meter	91.99	92.67	92.39	91.70	92.15	93.76
NFE	58.62	37.72	60.37	52.04	58.24	48.7
Gross Energy	463.92	512.26	467.05	480.18	467.16	389.72
Digestible Energy ($kcalg^{-1}$)	361.27	370.39	362.34	363.38	360.60	348.18
Energy/Protein ratio	12.6	7.3	13.1	10.3	12.4	8.7

Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE) = 100-(Crude Protein+Crude lipid+crudefibre+total ash). Gross energy: Caloric value of protein 5.65, NFE 4.1 and lipid 9.45 kcal g^{-1}, Digestible energy: caloric value of protein 3.5, NFE 2.5 and lipid 8.1 kcal g^{-1}(Adedokun et al., 2017)

Water quality parameters (WQP)

The mean water quality of the plastic trough system at weekly intervals during the study is presented in **Table 2.** Throughout the feeding trials, the water quality was keenly

monitored. The observed water quality parameters were within the acceptable ranges of APHA/AWWA/WPCF (1999), Ajani and Akinwole (2001).

Table 2: Water quality parameters of the Experiment

Parameters	**Dietary Sampled Feeds**					
	Fd_1	**Fd_2**	**Fd_3**	**Fd_4**	**Fd_5**	**Fd_6**
Temperature	27.58	27.57	27.46	27.40	27.40	27.46
DO (mg/l)	6.20	5.40	5.20	5.40	5.10	4.80
P^H	6.40	6.80	6.70	6.60	6.60	6.60
Conductivity (µhom/cm^3	580	582	580	583	585	420

Table 3: Growth Response Efficiency of *Clarias gariepinus* Juveniles

Parameters	**DT1**	**DT2**	**DT3**	**DT4**	**DT5**	**DT6**
Total Feed	141.5	153.2	116.0	141.3	130.0	72.6
Final Weight	899.5	973.8	581.6	878.6	881	339.4
% Survival	80%	86.6%	66.6%	86.6%	86.6%	90
% Mortality	20%	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%	10
Average Wt.	37.5	37.5	29.1	33.8	33.9	12.6
MWG	860.7	935	542.8	839.8	842.2	300.6
ADWG	17.6	19.1	11.1	17.1	17.2	6.1
% WG	2218.3	2409.8	1399	2164.4	2170.6	774.7
SGR	17.6	19.1	11.1	17.1	17.2	6.1
TP Intake (TP1)	40.6	77.8	32.1	50.0	37.8	29.1
PER	22.2	12.5	18.1	17.6	23.3	11.7
Total Fish Produced	21.6	25.3	11.6	22.8	22.9	9.2
Feed CR	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.14	0.22



176

177 **Figure 1a: Weekly Feeds sampled consumed**



178

179 **Figure 1b: Pattern of diff. feeds consumed and weight gained**

180 The amounts of sampled feeds consumed were compared with weight gained by the fish.
181 The graph shows wide variation in the sampled feed consumed (fig. 1a) but relative little

variation in the body weight gained (fig. 1c) by the fish sampled. The wide range between quantity of feed consumed and weight gained ratio is shown in figure 1(b).



Figure 1c: Level of sampled feeds consumed

Acceptability and palatability of feed is a function of the processing methods.

The feed conversion ratio (FCR) depends on many factors such as feed palatability, fish breed and species, energy content, level of fibre inclusion, crude protein content, mineralization etc.



Figure 2a: Pattern of feed conversion ratio with diff. feeds sampled



196

197 **Figure 2b: Feed conversion ratio of sampled fish feeds**

198

199 Figures 2a and 2b show the pattern of feed conversion ratio with different feeds sampled.
200 The descriptive analysis revealed that diet 6 has highest feed conversion ratio (FCR)
201 though less than 0.5 of total dry feed consumed divided by the wet weight of fish
202 harvested. While diet 5 had the lowest feed conversion ratio. Theoretically, among other
203 factors that affect ultilizaiton nutrient inlude digestiable protein content of the feed,
204 enegy-protein ratio, mineral and vitamin. Moreover, it was observed that the fish
205 consumed less quantity of diet 6 due to easy distrigration and sinking tendecy of the feed
206 sample. But the little quantiy of diet 6 consumed was well ultilized.

207 Figure 3 shows the length -weight relationship of the test organism in the experiment. It
208 was obvious tha fish had relatibvely unform and steady lengh-weight relationship in the
209 first two weeks. After which there were sharp drops in the growth perttern of fish in
210 floating types. The sinking type progressively sustained the positive allometry growth
211 better than floating feeds. This may be attributed to the percentage crude protein content
212 of each feed relative to the size of fish.

213

214 **Figure 3: Length-weight relatioships**

215 The analyis was also carried out on the cost per tonne of different feeds sampled. Fig. 4
216 showed that diet 6 had the least cost per tonne while diet 5 had the highest cost. Relating
217 the cost of individual diet with feed convesion ratio, it was discovered that diet 6 had the
218 least cost and highest feed conversion ratio while diet 5 had the highest cost with least
219 feed conversion ratio. It could be deduced that diet 6 is most ecomomical diet than other
220 extruded floating types with concombitant marginal profits.

221



Figure 4: Cost per tonne of different feeds

.

Conclusion

The results of this study had shown that there are falsifications in crude protein percentages. The actual crude protein percentage in each feed was established through proximate analysis, response of fish growth and feed utilization efficiency. Generally, floating feeds performed excellently well in terms of weight gained and length-weight relationships for the first two weeks as evidenced in the experiment. However, the body weight gained dropped sharply and did not commensurate with the total feeds consumed over time. The control diet (DT_6) was least consumed with steady body weight gained and positive weight length-weight relationship and had best feed conversion ratio.

Recommendation

According to the experiment conducted, it revealed that floating feeds specifically (DT1) and (DT2) are good and preferable to be used in early 2-4 weeks after which compounded feed is recommended for least feed consumption, steady body weight-gained and for high cost effectiveness.

References

Achionye –Nzeh C.G; Qgidiolu, O., Salmi S, (2002). Growth response of juveniles of *Clariasanguilaies*to diet formulated, Ciriunaforda within laboratory. *Nigerian journal of pure and Applied Science*s, 17, 1253-1256

Adedokun, M. A., Tairu, H. M., Adeosun, O. and Ajibola, O. (2017). Assessment of the Optimal Replacement Levels of Maize with Water Lettuce Leaf (*Pistia stratiotes*) based Diets for *Clarias gariepinus*. *Jour. Of Fisheries Sciences.com* 11 (2): 028-035.

Ajani, E. K. and Akinwole, O. A. (2001). Recommended water quality for warm water Fishes in Fish Farming. University of Ibadan. *Agric Res. 3, 19-23*

Akinrotimi, (2007). Locally produced fish feed, potentials for aquaculture development in sub-sharan*African Journal of Agricultural Resources*vol: 297

Alatise, S. P., Adedokun, M. A., Adelodun, O. B., and Ajiboye. G. E. (2014). Effects of Boiled Jatropha Kernel Meal as a Substitute for Soyabeans Meal in Diet of African Mud Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Jour. Of Fisheries and Aquatic Scien.*ISSN 1816-4927 / DOI: 10, 3923/jfas.

Amisah, S. Oteng, M.A and Ofori, J.K. (2009 Growth performance of the African Catfish *Clarias garipinus* Fed varying inclusion level of *Leavcaena leaucocephala* leaf meal.*Journal of applied science and environmental management* 13(1), 21-26)

Anetekhai, M.A., Akin-Oriola, G.A, Aderinola, O.J and AkintolaS.L(2004). Steps ahead for aquaculture development in sub-saharan Africa-the case of Nigeria.*Aquaculture*, 239, 237-248.

APHA/AWWA/WPCF(1999). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewaters. The 20th Edition. American Public Health Association American Water works. Association and water Pollution Control Federation; Washington Inc, 460-472.

Ayinla, O.A. and Akande, G. R. (1988). Growth response of *Clarias garieppinus* (Burchell, 1822) on silage base diets.NIOMR. Technical Paper,37,19.

Ayinla, O. A. (2007). Analysis of feeds and fertilizer for sustainable aquaculture development in Nigeria. In M. R Hassan, T, Hecht S. S De Silua and A. G. J. Tacon (eds) Study and analysis of feed and fertilizer for sustainable Aquaculture development. FAO Fisheries Technical paper No. 497. Rome. FAO, 453-470.

Fagbenro, O.A. and I.A. Arowosoge, (2002). Replacement value of some household wastes as energy substitute in low rearing catfish, in south-western Nigeria.*BioresourceTechnol* 37:197-203.

Hect, T.(2007) . Review of feed and fertilizer for sustainable Aquaculture in Sub-saharan Africa. In M.R. Hasan, T Hecht, S.S De Silva and A.G.J Tacon (eds). Study and analysis of feed and fertilizer for sustainable Aquaculture development. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No/ 497 Rome FAO. 77-109

Jamiu D.M Ayinla O.A (2003). Potential for the development of aquaculture in Africa. NAGA, 26 (3), 6-13.

Olakunle, O. (2000). Homestead Pond Management, Department of Wild Life and Fisheries Management University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Otubusin S.O; Ogunleye F.O andAgbebi, O.I (2009). Feeding trials using local protein sources to replace fish meal in pelleted feed in catfish *Clarias garipinus* (Burchell, 1822) culture. *European journal of scientific research*, 31(1), 142-174

Sotolu A.A (2009) Comparative utilization of fish waste meal with imported fish meal by Africa cat fish (*Clarias gariepinus)American Europeans journal of scientific research* 4(4): 225-289

Sotolu A.O (2010) feed utilization and biochemical characteristic of *Clariasgariepinus* (Qurchz//, 1% 22) finger ling fed diet containing fish oil and vegetable oil as a total replacement. *World Journal of fish and marine science*, 2 (2), 93-98.

SPSS (1999). Software Program of Statistical Analysis. Version 8.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL., USA

Tsevis A.A. and Azzaydi T.A (2000): Effect of feeding regime on selected species of fish Article Publicaiton of FISON, Fed, 20000 Agora site.