1

2 3 # Phenylthiocarbamide Taste Perception among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

4

5 6 7

8 ## ABSTRACT

9

Background: Taste perception has been associated with some diseases, disorders and treatment medications. **Aim:** To determine whether PTC taste blindness was associated with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (DM) and possible relationship between intake of treatment medications and PTC taste sensitivity. **Methodology:** The study participants consisted of 100 type 2 DM patients on treatment (group 1) and 100 newly diagnosed type 2 DM patients not on drugs treatment (group 2). Apparently healthy individuals served as control (group 3). Informed consent was obtained from each participant at the commencement of the study. Tasters and non-tasters were determined using phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) taste strips (0.0143 mg/strip). **Results:** In group 1, 66% were non-tasters; group 2 60% were non-tasters while 37% in group 3 were non-tasters. Phenylthiocarbamide taste perception varied significantly among the 3 groups studied ($p < 0.001$). Non-tasters of PTC in groups 1 and 2 were not significantly different ($p = 0.38$). Non-tasters of PTC in groups 1 and 2 ($p < 0.001$; OR 3.30 and $p = 0.001$; OR 2.55 respectively) were significantly higher than non-tasters in the control (group 3). **Conclusion:** This study shows that inability to taste PTC is associated with type 2 DM. However, intake of DM treatment medications does not appear to have any significant influence on PTC taste sensitivity.

***Keywords**: Diabetes Mellitus, Phenylthiocarbamide Taste Perception, Tasters, Non-tasters*

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disorder which poses a major health challenge to humans. About 422 million people were reported to have diabetes globally [1]. It can be classified into 4 types namely; type 1 which is more common in children and adolescents than in adults is an autoimmune disease where the body forms antibodies against its beta cells of islet of Langerhans in the pancreas; type 2 which is associated with adults, characterized by peripheral insulin resistance and inadequate insulin secretion by the pancreas; Secondary DM is caused by another disease or disorder and lastly, gestational DM caused by pregnancy.

Type 2 DM is reported to make up about 90% of all cases of DM [2, 3]. There are reports of higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes in men compared to women which has been associated with sex-related differences in visceral fat mass [4]. The disorder can be asymptomatic in an individual for many months and years.

Taste has influence on one’s choice of food. It allows one to choose the food one likes most. Some diseases such as liver diseases, tumour and lifestyle such as consumption of alcohol together with the use of drugs, head trauma, upper respiratory tract infections and exposure to toxic substances have been reported to significantly influence taste [5-7]. It is thought that understanding factors related to taste perception will provide opportunity to evaluate the feeding behaviour of patients with chronic diseases [8].

Phenylthiocarbamide taste sensitivity is correlated strongly with the ability to taste other naturally occurring bitter substances [9, 10]. Bitter taste perception occurs through bitter taste receptors located on the surface of taste cells of the tongue [11] and is thought to be a conserved chemical sense in mammals against the ingestion of naturally toxic substances [12]. Taste sensitivity impairment may make an individual to ingest greater quantities of substances which in turn may adversely tamper with the health of the individual. A number of

UNDER PEER REVIEW

previous studies had been carried out on relationship between diabetes and PTC taste perception. Some of these studies reported positive interactions between inability to taste PTC and DM [13, 14]; others reported lack of an association between PTC taste blindness and DM [15].

In Nigeria, PTC taste perception has been studied in relation to some common diseases such as malaria, tuberculosis and HIV infection [16-18]. Type 2 DM is also quite common in Nigeria but to us, there is no known investigation that has related PTC taste perception with DM. Therefore, this study was carried out to determine whether there was any association between type 2 DM and PTC taste perception and to ascertain whether the taste perception was influenced by the intake of DM treatment medications.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out in Osogbo and Ogbomoso, Southwestern Nigeria. Participants were drawn from patients attending diabetes clinics of Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH) Teaching Hospitals in Osogbo and Ogbomoso, Nigeria. A total of 300 individuals participated in this study. The study participants consisted of 100 type 2 DM patients who had been diagnosed for not less than six months and on metformin treatment (group 1), 100 newly diagnosed type 2 DM patients not on drugs treatment (group 2) and 100 apparently healthy individuals as control (group 3). Informed consent was obtained from each participant at the commencement of the study after explaining the essence and procedure of the test. The criteria for diagnosis of DM included fasting blood glucose test: ≥ 126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l). Two fasting glucose measurements ≥ 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl) were considered diagnostic for diabetes mellitus. Patients who had other health conditions in addition to diabetes were excluded from the study. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the College of Health Sciences, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH), Osogbo.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

67 Phenylthiocarbamide taste strips (0.0143 mg of PTC/strip) used were obtained from Carolina
68 Biological Supply Company, North Carolina, USA. Each participant was given a PTC taste
69 strip and a filter paper (as control) and was asked to put each on their tongue and allow to
70 be soaked in their saliva before describing their perception to each strip. Taste description of
71 each participant was recorded. Questionnaire was administered to each participant to obtain
72 relevant information such as age, sex, the drug being received for those on diabetes
73 medication and the like. Data were analysed using percentages. Differences in percentages
74 were tested by Chi-square test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

75 **3. RESULTS**

76
77 Results from the study (Table1) showed that the distribution of the participants across the
78 three groups with respect to age ($\chi^2 = 0.20$, df = 2, p = 0.90) and sex ($\chi^2 = 0.51$, df = 2, p =
79 0.77) were not statistically significantly different.
80 In group1 (> 6 months DM patients), 47% were males (15% tasters plus 32% non-tasters)
81 while 53 were females (19% tasters plus 34% non-tasters). Also, of the 100 newly diagnosed
82 diabetic patients (group 2), 45% were males (18% tasters plus 27% non-tasters) and 55%
83 were females (22% tasters plus 33% non-tasters). In addition, of the 100 control subjects,
84 42 were males (26% tasters plus 16% non-tasters) and 58 were females (37 tasters plus 21
85 non-tasters). Phenylthiocarbamide taste perception varied significantly among the 3 groups
86 both in males ($\chi^2 = 8.54$, df = 2, p = 0.01) and in females ($\chi^2 = 10.29$, df = 2, p = 0.01).
87 Further Chi-Square tests showed that differences observed in the male groups were
88 between > 6 months DM group and controls ($\chi^2 = 8.03$, df = 1, p = 0.005) and between
89 newly diagnosed DM group and controls ($\chi^2 = 4.17$, df = 1, p = 0.04). Similarly, the
90 differences observed in the female groups were between group 1 and controls ($\chi^2 = 8.65$, df
91 = 1, p = 0.003) and between group 2 and controls ($\chi^2 = 6.41$, df = 1, p = 0.01).
92 Also, the distributions of the study participants with respect to PTC taste perception are
93 given in Table 1. Sixty-six percent (66%) of the diabetic group of > 6 months were non-
94 tasters, 60% of the newly diagnosed diabetic group were non-tasters while 37% of the

UNDER PEER REVIEW

95 control group were non-tasters. Phenylthiocarbamide taste perception varied significantly
96 among the three groups (χ^2 = 18.89, df = 2, p < 0.001). Further Chi-Square tests showed
97 significant differences between the diabetic group on medication and control group (χ^2 =
98 16.84, df = 1, p < 0.001; OR 3.30, CI 1.86 – 5.85) and between the newly diagnosed diabetic
99 group and control group (χ^2 = 10.59, df = 1, p = 0.001; OR 2.55, CI 1.45 – 4.47). There was
100 no significant difference in taste sensitivity between groups 1 and 2 (χ^2 = 0.77, df = 1, p =
101 0.38).

102

103 **Table 1: Distribution of the Study Participants by Age, Sex and Phenylthiocarbamide**
104 **Taste Perception**
105

Variable	DM Patients Group 1 n=100	DM Patients Group 2 n=100	Non-DM Subjects Group 3 n=100	p
Age (years)				0.90
36-45	15	17	18	
46-55	33	35	32	
≥56	52	48	50	
Sex				0.77
Male	47(15T; 32NT)	45(18T; 27NT)	42(26T;16NT)	0.01
Female	53(19T; 34NT)	55(22T; 33NT)	58(37T;21NT)	0.01
PTC Tasting				<0.001
Taster	34	40	63	
Non-Taster	66	60	37	

106 DM: Diabetes Mellitus T: Taster; NT: Non-taster. Whole figures are in percentages
107
108
109
110 **4. DISCUSSION**
111
112 In this study, diabetes patients were more likely to be non-tasters of PTC than non-diabetes
113 individuals. This is in line with the studies of some other researchers who had reported that
114 inability to taste PTC or PTC taste blindness was associated with diabetes mellitus [13, 14].
115 The observation that non-taster status was significantly associated with diabetes in this

UNDER PEER REVIEW

study could suggest that the gene for PTC might directly or indirectly interact with that of diabetes to confer susceptibility to DM individuals. Polymorphism in TAS2R38 had been linked with differences in ingestive behaviour of tasters and non-tasters which might be associated the development of pre-diabetes and type 2 DM [19].

This study showed that the use of metformin did not influence the association reported since there was no significant difference with respect to taste blindness between the participants on medication and the newly diagnosed diabetic patients. This implied that unlike in HIV infected persons where medication had been reported to alter taste [18, 20]; taste alteration induced by medication in diabetes was insignificant.

It had been reported that taste perception appeared to regulate food consumption and had also been linked with circulating metabolic hormones [21]. Bhatia and Sharma [22] reported a decrease in palatability of glucose solution between tasters and non-tasters. Elevated blood glucose levels resulted in a concentration dependent impairment of taste perception in type 2 DM patients due to adaptation of the sensory cell to increased blood glucose [23].

Dais et al. [24] observed that the average thresholds to detect sweet taste were higher for diabetic patients compared to non-diabetes showing a decreased or loss of sensitivity in diabetics. This loss of sensitivity might contribute to increase in sugar consumption among diabetics. Loss of taste perception in individuals with type 2 DM had been related to hyposalivation, xerostomia and low salivary flow [25]. Wang et al [21] reported higher levels of TNF-alpha, IGF-1 and leptin in tasters than in non-tasters and a positive correlation between plasma glucose level and body mass index in non-tasters. The deficiency or absence of taste interfered with salivation and maturation of the taste buds, causing changes in the perception of taste [26].

4. CONCLUSION

We conclude that PTC taste blindness is significantly associated with type 2 DM and that DM medication has no significant influence on PTC taste sensitivity.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

145
146
147 **COMPETING INTERESTS**
148
149 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
150
151
152
153 **REFERENCES**
154
155 1. WHO (World Health Organisation). Global Report on Diabetes. 2016.
156 http://who.int/bitstream/10665/204871/1/9789241565257
157
158 2. Chen L, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ. The worldwide epidemiology of type 2 diabetes
159 mellitus: present and future perspectives. Nature Rev Endocrinol. 2014; 8: 228-236.
160
161 3. Baynest HW. Classification, Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management of
162 Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Metabol. 2015. 6. 5: DOI: 10.4172/2155 –
163 6156.1000541.
164
165 4. Nordstrom A, Hadrevi J, Olsson T, Franks PW, Nordstrom P. Higher Prevalence of
166 Type 2 Diabetes in Men than in Women is Associated With Differences in Visceral
167 Fat Mass. J Clin Endocrinol and Metabol. 2016; 101(10): 3740-3746.
168
169 5. Hummel T, Landis BN, Hüttenbrink KB. Smell and taste disorders. GMS Curr Top
170 Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg., 2011; 10: 04.
171
172 6. Lampuré A, Schlich P, Deglaire A, Castetbon K, Peneau S, Hercberg S, Mejean C.
173 Sociodemographic, psychological, and lifestyle characteristics are associated with a
174 liking for salty and sweet tastes in french adults. J Nutr. 2015; 145(3): 587-594.
175
176 7. Silva CS, Dias VR, Almeida JA, Brazil JM, Santos RA, Milagres MP. Effect of heavy
177 consumption of alcoholic beverages on the perception of sweet and salty taste.
178 Alcohol, 2016; 51(3): 302-306.
179
180 8. Loper HB, Sala ML, Dotson C, Steinle N. Taste perception, associated hormonal
181 modulation, and nutrient intake. Nutr Rev. 2015; 73(2): 83–91.
182
183 9. Tepper BJ. 6-n-propylthiouracil: A genetic marker for taste, with implications for food
184 preference and dietary habits. Am J Hum Genet. 1998; 63: 1271-1276.
185
186 10. Tepper BJ, Koelliker Y, Zhao L, Ullrich NV, Lanzara C, d'Adamo P, Ferrara A, Ulivi
187 S, Esposito L., Gasparini P. Variation in the bitter-taste receptor gene TAS2R38 and
188 adiposity in a genetically isolated population in Southern Italy. Obesity. 2008; 16(10):
189 2289-2295.
190
191 11. Adler E, Hoon MA, Mueller KL, Chandrashekar J, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS. A novel
192 family of mammalian taste receptors. Cell. 2000; 100: 693–702.
193
194 12. Ueda T, Ugawa S, Yamamura H, Imaizumi Y, Shimada S. Functional interaction
195 between T2R taste receptors and G-protein alpha subunits expressed in taste
196 receptor cells. J Neurosci. 2003; 23: 7376–7380.
197
UNDER PEER REVIEW

13. Ali S.G, Azad-Khan AK, Mahtab H, Khan AR, Muhibullah M. Association of phenythiocarbamide taste sensitivity with diabetes mellitus in Bangladash. Hum Hered. 1994; 44: 14-17.

14. Baruah M, Joshi A. Comparative Study of Taste Sensitivity to Phenylthiocarbamide in Normoglycaemics and in Diabetes Mellitus Type 2. Int J Pharm Bio Sci. 2013; 4(4): 727-730.

15. Sriram K. Balaraman VT. Usha J. The association between taste sensitivity to PTC and Diabetes Mellitus. Indian J Med Res., 1975; 63(3): 390-395.

16. Igbeneghu C, Owoeye Y, Akanni EO. Association between phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) taste perception and falciparum malaria infection in Osogbo, Southwestern Nigeria. Ann. Res. Rev. Biol., 2014; 4 (14): 2295-2301.

17. Igbeneghu C, Gabriel BA, Onuegbu JA, Olisekodiaka JM, Adesiyan AA. 2016. Phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) Taste Perception among Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients in Southwest Nigeria. Schl J App Med Sci. 2016; 4 (6F): 2248-2251.

18. Igbeneghu C, Oluwatunbi TB, Aina OA, Olisekodiaka MJ. 2017. Phenylthiocarbamide Taste Perception among HIV-infected Patients on Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy in Southwestern Nigeria. Bri J Med Med Res. 2017; 19 (10): 1-7.

19. Dotson CD, Shaw HL, Mitchell BD, Munger SD, Steinle NI. Variation in the gene TAS2R38 is associated with the eating behaviour disinhibition in older Amish women. Appetite. 2010; 54(1): 93-99.

20. Mattes RD. The chemical senses and nutrition in aging: challenging old assumptions. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002; 102 (2): 192-196.

21. Wang R, van Keeken NM, Siddiqui S, Dijksman LM, Maudsley S, Derval D, van Dam PS, Martin B. Higher TNF-alpha, IGF-I and Leptin Levels are Found in Tasters than Non-tasters. Front Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 2014; 5: 125.

22. Bhatia S, Sharma KN. Taste impairment for glucose in diabetes PTC tasters and non-tasters. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 1991; 12(3): 193-199.

23. Bustos-Saldana R, Alfaro-Rodriguez M, Solis-Ruiz Mde L, Trujillo-Harnandez B, Pacheco-Carrasco M, Vazquez-Jimenez C, Calis-de la Rosa Ade J. Taste sensitivity diminution in hyperglycemic type 2 diabetics patients. Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc. 2009; 47(5):483-488.

24. Dias VR, Brazil JM, Almeida JAR, Silva CS, Milagres MP. Evaluation of the sensory perception of sweat taste in people with diabetes mellitus type 2. Rev Rene. 2016; 17(4): 483-489.

25. Lopez-Pintor RM, Casarias E, Gonzalez-Serrano J, Serrano J, Ramirez L, de Arriba L, Hernandez G. Xerostomia, Hyposalivation and Salivary flow in Diabetes Patients. J Diabetes Res. 2016; ID 4372852, 15 pp

26. Negrato CA, Tarzia O. 2010. Buccal alterations in diabetes mellitus. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2010; 15; 2: 3.

UNDER PEER REVIEW