

# Screening of Heavy Metal Tolerant Jute Seeds by Germination Test

## Abstract

Present study was the part of an ongoing green plant based contaminated soil remediation technology. The experiment was conducted in two phases. The 1<sup>st</sup> phase of the experiment was carried out in the laboratory of the department of Agricultural Chemistry, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensing with a view to screening different varieties of jute seeds against the single and combined toxicity of heavy metals viz. As, Pb, Cd by germination test to select heavy metal tolerant jute seeds. Initially 10 treatments were considered viz. 0.5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 50, 70, 100 and 150 ppm for As, Pb, Cd separately and in combination with As, Cd and Pb. The increased levels of heavy metals significantly decreased the germination percentage, seedling height, shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight of five jute varieties. Among five varieties height germination percentage seedling height, shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight were observed with BINA deshi pat-2 but the seedlings survived up to 100 ppm single and combined doses of As, Cd and Pb. Germination and seedling vigor of other four varieties were much less resistance of heavy metal treatments. After screening, BINA deshi pat-2 was used as a phytoremediant in the second phase of bioremediation process which such carried out in the net house from October 2009 onwards. Other two varieties namely BADC deshi pat (CVL-1) and atom pat-38 were selected from experiment conducted by other researcher. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> phase number of treatments were reduced to six from ten such as 0, 15, 40, 70, 100 and 150 ppm single treatments of the experiment revealed that, seeds of the three varieties germinated in toxic soil environment but their primary growth was not satisfactory. Reasons behind the stunted growth might be due to off-season and unfavorable environmental condition. But our idea was to grow jute in successive seasons in a year. BINA deshi pat-2 though photosensitive variety but in pot trial in off season from October, 2009 onwards failed to grow at minimum height not only at toxic condition but also in non toxic soil i.e. in control treatment.

**Keywords:** Heavy Metal, Jute Seeds and Germination Test

## Introduction

Contamination of agricultural soil by heavy metals viz. As, Pb, Cd, Cr is a great concern in Bangladesh and as well as over the globe. The term heavy metals indicate metal having density more than five times than that of water, air, plant and animals in their tissue.

34 Arsenic (As) is a toxic heavy metal, widely encountered in the environment, ecological  
35 component and abundance in the earth crust. Arsenic contamination in Bangladesh is probably  
36 geological in nature, originating from the fine alluvial sediments of the Ganges Delta.

37 In Bangladesh arsenic contamination of ground water was first confirmed in 1993 at  
38 Chapai Nawabganj district. At present 59 districts across the country are affected by arsenic  
39 poisoning. Consequently 80 million people are now exposed to the threat of arsenic and 10,000  
40 people have shown the symptoms of arsenicosis (Zaman *et al.*, 2005).

41 According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 1999) about 80 million people in Bangladesh  
42 are threatened by arsenic poisoning.

43 The oxidation of arsenopyrite or ferrous hydroxide minerals may be responsible for the release of  
44 arsenic oxide in solution to the groundwater. Groundwater contamination by Arsenic (As) in  
45 Bangladesh appears to be the longest mass poisoning in the world. Arsenic can cause  
46 contamination in surface soil through arsenic contaminated groundwater irrigation which in turn  
47 enhances the levels of soil arsenic. The presence of high concentration arsenic in surface soil  
48 may result in high concentration of arsenic in cereals, vegetables and agricultural products and  
49 contaminate the food chain affecting human health. According to WHO (1999), 0.01 mg As for  
50 drinking water, 0.2 mg As L<sup>-1</sup> for livestock, 0.1 mg As L<sup>-1</sup> for irrigation are recommended  
51 where 100 times more than that in different regions are found. High concentration of Cd in soil  
52 represents a potential threat to human health because it is incorporated in the food chain mainly  
53 by plant uptake (Alvarez-Ayuso, 2008).

54 The toxicity of heavy metal is a part of ecological, evolutionary and environmental reasons  
55 (Nagajyoti *et al.*, 2008). Germination of seed is the vital phase for successful crop production. If  
56 germination is affected in any way then production will fall drastically. Negative effects of Pb  
57 toxicity on seed germination and seedling growth were examined (Iqbal *et al.*, 2004). Lead (Pb)  
58 produced highly significant effects on shoot, root lengths and dry biomass of *Lythrum salicaria*  
59 (Joseph *et al.*, 2002). Presence of excess amount of heavy metal in the growth media affects seed  
60 to germinate and subsequent seedling growth. To meet the challenge of food security of 21<sup>st</sup>  
61 century research emphasis should be given on pollution free water and soil of Bangladesh.  
62 Heavy metal contaminated underground water is randomly used for irrigation in Bangladesh  
63 agriculture which ultimately pollutes the soil. Growing of edible crops in contaminated soil is of  
64 ultimate threat to the human health as well as livestock. So, emphasis should be given on  
65 remediation of toxicant from contaminated soil is brought under cultivation by non-edible crops.  
66 This income generating technology will clean the heavy metal contaminated soil as well as  
67 farmers will be economically benefited.

68 Research work relating this topic is very scanty in the world and as well as in Bangladesh.  
69 However, an effort has been made to conduct a study with the following major objectives:

- 70 i) To screen different varieties of jute seeds against single and combine toxicity of heavy  
71 metal by germination test.
- 72 ii) To observe the germination and seedling growth of different varieties of jute seeds in  
73 heavy metal contaminated soil in pot culture.

## 74 **Materials and Methods**

75 Present study is the part of ongoing green plant based remediation technology. This chapter  
76 contains a brief description of experimental site, treatment, soil, climate, pot preparation,  
77 transplanting of phytoremediators, fertilizer application, intercultural operations, data recording  
78 and statistical analysis.

### 79 **3.1 Experimental site:**

80 An experiment was conducted in two phases. In first phase, screening of heavy metal tolerant  
81 different varieties of jute seeds was done by germination test in the laboratory of the Department  
82 of Agricultural Chemistry, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensing from July to August,  
83 2009.

84 After screening the jute seeds asphytoremediants the second phase of the experiment was  
85 conducted in the net house of the Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Bangladesh  
86 Agricultural University, Mymensing, from October 2009 onwards.

### 87 **3.2 Test Seeds:**

88 Following five different varieties of jute seeds were used to germination test under increased  
89 levels of single and combined toxicity of arsenic (As), lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd).

- 90 a) Tosh pat (0-9897)  
91 b) BINA deshi pat-2  
92 c) 400 GYP-15(2)  
93 d) 400 GYP-65(2)  
94 e) 400 GYP-198(2)

### 95 **3.3 Experimental procedure of the first phase of the experiment:**

96 Germination test of different varieties of jute seeds were conducted in this phase under different  
97 levels of single and combined toxicity of heavy metals viz. As, Cd and Pb. Ten(10) different  
98 treatments were used such as 0.5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 50, 70, 100 and 150 mgL<sup>-1</sup> separately for As,  
99 Pb, Cd and combination of As, Cd and Pb. Twenty seeds of each test cultivars were placed in  
100 each petridish filled with cotton. Desired concentration of As, Cd and Pb were calculated from  
101 sodium arsenate (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>), Cadmium nitrate [Ca(NaO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 4H<sub>2</sub>O] and lead nitrate [(Pb(NaO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]  
102 respectively. 10 ml of test solution was placed in each petridish. The cotton was kept moist  
103 constantly with distilled water. The experiment was arranged in a Completely Randomized

104 Design (CRD) with three replications at room temperature ( $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ). Data were recorded after  
105 seven days from sowing the seeds in petridish.

### 106 **3.4 Parameter studied:**

107 Studied in this phase:

- 108 a) Number of seed after 7 days
- 109 b) Germination percentage(%)
- 110 c) Seedling height (cm)
- 111 d) Shoot length (cm)
- 112 e) Root length (cm)
- 113 f) Fresh weight (g)
- 114 g) Dry weight (g)

### 115 **3.5 Experimental data:**

116 Experimental data were collected on the following parameters:

#### 117 **3.5.1 Seed germination:**

118 Germinated seeds were counted from the beginning of the seed germination up to complete  
119 germination.

#### 120 **3.5.2 Plant height (cm):**

121 The plant height was recorded at the time of germination. The height was measured from the  
122 internal base of petridish to tip of the main stem in cm.

#### 123 **3.5.3 Shoot and Root length of seedlings:**

124 The shoot and root length of seedlings were measured after 7 days of the respective  
125 germination setting.

126

#### 127 **3.5.4 Fresh weight of seedlings:**

128 After 7 days of seedlings were harvested and immediately after harvesting fresh weight of the  
129 seedlings were recorded.

#### 130 **3.5.5 Dry weight of seedlings:**

131 The dry weights of seedlings were measured after 7 days of the germination settings.  
132 Immediately after harvesting seedlings were sun dried followed by oven drying, the actual  
133 weight was measured thereafter.

134 **3.6 Statistical analysis:**

135 The collected data on various parameters were statistically analyzed. The means for all  
136 treatments were calculated and analysis of variance for all chapters performed by F-test. The  
137 significance of difference between the pairs of means was calculated by Duncan's Multiple  
138 Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

139 **3.7 Experimental procedure in the second phase of the experiment:**

140 After screening desired varieties viz. BADC deshi pat (CVL-1), BINA deshi pat-2 and Atom  
141 pat-38 were used as a phytoremediant in the second phase of the study. Experimental  
142 procedure is as follows:

143 **3.7.1 Collection and preparation of soil:**

144 For second phase of the study soil was collected from the surroundings of the KarimBhawan  
145 of BAU, campus at 0-15 cm depth.

146 **3.7.2 Pot preparation:**

147 An amount of 15 Kg soil was taken in a series of plastic pot each pot was 30 cm deep with 27  
148 cm diameter at the top and 22 cm diameter at the bottom. Top surface area of each pot was 22  
149 cm<sup>2</sup>. The total no of pots used in this study was 36.

150 **3.7.3 Treatments:**

151 In the second phase of the experiment, treatment numbers were reduced from ten to six viz. 0,  
152 15, 40, 70, 100 and 150 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> soil separately for As and combination of As, Cd and Pb. Urea,  
153 TSP, MoP and Gypsum were added at the rate of 135 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, 70kgha-1  
154 respectively each pot. Above recommended doses of fertilizer were applied three times in the  
155 soil.

156 **3.7.4 Intercultural operations:**

157 Necessary intercultural operations such as weeding, watering, fertilizing and pesticide  
158 application were done as and when needed.

159

160

161

## **Results and Discussion**

162 This chapter represents the description and discussion of the results obtained from the study.  
163 The data were tabulated for 3 parameters viz. germination percentage, seedling height and  
164 biomass.

## 165 **4.1 Effect of heavy metal on germination of different varieties of jute seeds**

### 166 **4.1.1 Effect of arsenic on germination**

167 Increased levels of As significantly decreased the percentage of germination against the seeds  
168 of all the cultivar under test. Response of As on germination were identical at 5ppm As  
169 treatment and in control in case of Tosha pat (0-9897) and 400Gy P-15(2). Seeds of rest of the  
170 varieties showed negative effect at 5ppm As and onwards. The trend of germination reflected  
171 that the seeds of 400 Gy P-198(2) showed 0 percent germination at 70ppm As followed by  
172 500 Gy P-65(2) at 100ppm As. But at 150ppm As treatment not a single seed of any variety  
173 germinated. With the increasing levels of As germination percentage gradually declined in all  
174 five varieties.

### 175 **4.1.2 Effect of lead on germination**

176 A significant negative relationship was obtained lead and germination of different varieties of  
177 jute seeds. Germination percentage ranged from 70-0, 75-0, 70-0, 70-0 and 65-0 in case of  
178 Tosha pat (0-9897), BINA deshi pat-2, 400 GyP-15(2), 500 Gy P-65(2) and 400 Gy P-198(2)  
179 variety respectively. Highest germination (15) and germination percentage (75) was found in  
180 case of BINA deshi pat-2 variety at controlled treatment while lowest was observed in Tosha  
181 pat (0-9897) and BINA deshi pat-2 variety at 100ppm of lead.

### 182 **4.1.3 Effect of cadmium on germination**

183 Negative impact of cadmium on germination of different varieties of jute seeds. Variety BINA  
184 deshi pat-2 and line 500Gy P-65(2) showed decreasing trend in case of germination. The  
185 highest germination percentage was found in BINA deshi pat-2 (75%) followed by Tosha pat  
186 (0-9897), 400 Gy P-15(2) and 500 Gy P-65(2) having 75% germination at controlled  
187 treatment. Reason behind the decreasing trend of germination might be due to the detrimental  
188 effect of cadmium on physiology and cell division of jute seeds.

### 189 **4.1.4 Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on germination**

190 Germination of different varieties of jute seeds significantly decreased due to the combined  
191 toxicity of arsenic, lead and cadmium. Among the five varieties only BINA deshi pat-2 has  
192 showed its highest level of combined toxicity tolerance capacity at 100ppm arsenic, lead and  
193 cadmium. In fact the highest (60) and lowest (5) germination percentage was found in BINA  
194 desh pat-2 variety at 0 ppm and 100 ppm concentration respectively.

## 195 **4.2 Effect of heavy metal on seedlings height of different varieties of jute**

### 196 **4.2.1 Effect of arsenic on seedlings height**

197 Seedlings height decreased gradually with increased level of arsenic concentration. Seedlings  
198 height ranged from 5.9-0, 4.2-0, 4.3-0 and 4.2-0 for Tosha pat (0-9897), BINA deshi pat-2 and

199 400 Gy P-15(2); 500Gy P-65(2) and Gy P-198(2) respectively. Highest (5.9 cm) and lowest  
200 (0.7 cm) seedling height was obtained both in Tosha pat (0-9897) variety at 0 ppm and 100  
201 ppm arsenic concentration respectively.

#### 202 **4.2.2 Effect of lead on seedlings height**

203 Increased level of lead concentration gradually refunded the seedlings height of different  
204 varieties of jute seeds as showed in the table 1. Among the 5 varieties the tallest seedling (5.1  
205 cm) was obtained in the control treatment of BINA deshi pat-2 variety. While the lowest  
206 seedling height (0.7 cm) was found in Tosha pat (0-9897) at 100 ppm Pb concentration.

#### 207 **4.2.3Effect of cadmium on seedlings height**

208 Unlike arsenic and lead increased level of cadmium toxicity gradually declined the seedlings  
209 height of different varieties of jute seeds. Among the 5 varieties the tallest seedling (4.9 cm)  
210 was obtained in BINA deshi pat-2 variety at controlled treatment. While the lowest seedling  
211 height (0.7 cm) was obtained in Tosha pat (0-9897) at 100 cadmium toxicity. Seedlings height  
212 ranged from 5.7-0, 4.8-0, 4-0, 4.8-0, and 4.8-0 for Tosha pat (0-9897), BINA deshi pat-2, 400  
213 Gy P-15(2), 500Gy P-65(2) and 400 Gy P-198(2) respectively.

#### 214 **4.2.4 Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on seedlings height**

215 Seedlings height of different varieties of jute seeds drastically declined due to combined  
216 toxicity of arsenic, lead and cadmium. The highest seedling height (4.7 cm) was observed in  
217 Tosha pat (0-9897) and BINA deshi pat-2 variety both at controlled treatment. While the  
218 lowest seedling height (0.7 cm) was obtained in 500 Gy P-65(2) at 70ppm combined toxicity.  
219 Seedlings height ranged from 4.7-0, 4.7-0, 3.7-0, 4.1-0 and 1.8-0 for Tosha pat (0-9897),  
220 BINA deshi pat-2, 400 Gy P-15(2), 500 Gy P-65(2) and 400 Gy P-198(2) respectively. Reason  
221 behind such declined trend might be due to combined toxic effect of As, Pb and Cd on  
222 physiology and cell division of jute seedlings.

### 223 **4.3 Effect of heavy metal on shoot and root length of different varieties of jute seeds**

#### 224 **4.3.1 Effect of arsenic on shoots and roots length**

225 Shoots and roots length also significantly decreased with increasing level of arsenic  
226 concentration. The reason behind such declined trend might be due to combined toxic effect of  
227 As, Pb and Cd on physiology and cell division of jute seedlings.

#### 228 **4.3.2 Effect of lead on shoots and roots length**

229 A significant response in respect of shoots and roots length of different varieties of jute  
230 seedlings was observed at 1% level of probability. Shoots and roots length decreased  
231 gradually with the increase of Pb concentration (Table 2).

232

233 Table 1. Effect of Arsenic on shoots and roots length of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mgAsL <sup>-1</sup> )	Tosha pat 0-9897		BINA deshi pat-2		400 Gy P-15(2)		500 Gy P-65(2)		400 Gy P-198(2)	
	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)
0	5.6a	1.9a	4.6a	1.95a	4.0a	1.90a	4.0a	1.9a	3.9a	1.9a
5	5.0b	1.8ab	4.6a	1.85b	3.9a	1.85b	3.8a	1.8a	3.6a	1.8a
10	4.9b	1.8ab	4.4ab	1.75c	3.5ab	1.55c	3.5ab	1.6ab	3.1b	1.6ab
15	4.8b	1.7b	4.3b	1.65d	3.2b	1.45d	3.4ab	1.5abc	2.7bc	1.2b
20	4.5bc	1.6bc	4.2b	1.6e	2.0bc	1.15e	3.0b	1.2b	2.5bcd	1.0bc
30	3.5c	1.4c	4.1bc	1.5f	1.5c	0.7f	2.6c	1.0bc	2.1b	0.7bcd
50	2.1d	1.0d	2.1c	1.0g	1.0cd	0.5g	1.45cd	0.8bc	1.9bc	0.4b
70	0.7e	0.5e	1.4d	0.7h	0.8d	0.5h	0.9d	0.4c	1c	0.3bc
100	0.4ef	0.2ef	1.0e	0.6i	0.7e	0.4i	0e	0cd	0d	0abc
150	0f	0f	0f	0g	0f	0j	0e	0cd	0d	0abc
SE±	0.17	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.12	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.06
CV(%)	13.11	20.07	12.14	17.95	16.70	22.94	15.55	23.95	15.99	27.67
LSD	0.45	0.20	0.30	0.02	0.54	0.05	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54

234 Note: P(≥0.01) means significant at 1% level of probability.

235 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

236

237

238

239

240

241

242 Table 2. Effect of Lead on shoots and roots length of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mgPbL <sup>-1</sup> )	Tosha pat 0-9897		BINA deshi pat- 2		400 Gy P-15(2)		500 Gy P-65(2)		400 Gy P-198(2)	
	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)
0	3.5a	1.5a	4.3a	1.6a	3.5a	1.7a	3.3a	1.2a	3.5a	1.2a
5	3.6a	1.3ab	4.1a	1.4ab	3.3ab	1.4b	3.2a	1.1ab	3.4ab	1.1ab
10	3.4a	1.2abc	3.6ab	1.2b	2.8b	1.2bc	2.8b	1.0b	2.8b	1.0b
15	3.2ab	1.1b	2.5b	1.0bc	2.4c	1.1c	2.7bc	1.0b	2.6bc	0.9bc



20	3.1b	0.9bc	1.7c	0.9c	1.9d	1.1c	1.9c	0.9bc	1.9c	0.7c
30	2.1bc	0.9bc	1.2cd	0.7cd	1.0e	0.5d	1.6d	0.7c	1.6cd	0.5d
50	1.9c	0.8c	1.1d	0.5d	0.6f	0.4de	1.1e	0.6cd	1.1d	0.4de
70	0.6d	0.5cd	1de	0.7cd	0.6f	0.4de	0.6f	0.5d	1.6cd	0.3e
100	0.4e	0.2d	0.8def	0.2e	0.5fg	0.3def	0g	0e	0e	0f
150	0f	0de	0e	0f	0g	0e	0g	0e	0e	0f
SE±	0.11	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.03
CV (%)	15.27	23.79	17.03	24.89	19.16	26.14	18.38	26.38	17.03	30.58
LSD	0.27	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.16	0.22	0.16	0.11	0.27	0.11

243 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

244 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

245

### 246 4.3.3 Effect of cadmium on shoots and roots length

247 Shoots and roots length of different varieties of jute seedlings declined gradually with the  
 248 increase of Cd concentrations. The highest root length (1.9 cm) was recorded with BINA deshi  
 249 pat-2 and 400Gy p-15(2) both at control treatment. Up to 5ppmCd concentration root length was  
 250 statistically identical with all the five varieties (Table 3).

### 251 4.3.4 Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on shoots and roots length

252 Increased level of combined toxicity also significantly decreased the shoot and root length of  
 253 different varieties of jute seedlings. Significant negative response also found on the root length  
 254 of different genotypes with increased levels of combined toxicity. Reasons behind such decline  
 255 trend might be due to the detrimental effects of As, Cd and Pb on meristematic root tip  
 256 development (Table 4).

257

258 Table 3. Effect of Cadmium on shoots and roots length of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mgCdL <sup>-1</sup> )	Tosha pat 0-9897		BINA deshi pat-2		400 Gy P-15(2)		500 Gy P-65(2)		400 Gy P-198(2)	
	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)
0	5.4a	1.7a	4.6a	1.9a	3.9a	1.9a	4.5a	1.8a	4.6a	1.8a
5	5.0b	1.6a	4.5ab	1.8b	3.7ab	1.8a	4.3ab	1.7ab	4.2ab	1.7a
10	4.7bc	1.6a	4.3b	1.7c	3.5ab	1.5ab	3.5b	1.5b	3.9b	1.6ab
15	4.6c	1.5ab	4.1bc	1.6d	3.2b	1.4b	3.4bc	1.4bc	3.2bc	1.2b
20	4.4cd	1.4ab	4.1bc	1.5e	2.0c	1.1bc	3.1c	1.0c	2.5c	1.0bc
30	3.4d	1.3b	3.8c	1.4f	1.3d	0.75c	2.7d	1.0c	2.1cd	0.7c
50	1.9e	1.0bc	2.1d	1.0g	0.8e	0.55cd	1.5e	0.8d	1.9d	0.4cd
70	0.6f	0.5c	1.4e	0.7h	0.8e	0.5cde	0.9f	0.4e	1e	0.3cde
100	0.4fg	0.2d	1.0f	0.6i	0.7ef	0.4d	0g	0f	0f	0d

150	0g	0e	0g	0j	0f	0de	0g	0f	0f	0d
SE±	0.17	0.05	0.13	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.13	0.05	0.13	0.06
CV (%)	13.45	20.83	12.26	18.15	17.20	23.72	15.25	23.80	15.55	27.81
LSD	0.35	0.28	0.30	0.05	0.54	0.54	0.27	0.11	0.54	0.54

259 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

260 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

261

262

263

264

265 Table 4. Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on shoots and roots length of different  
266 varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mg As, Pb and Cd L <sup>-1</sup> )	Tosha pat 0-9897		BINA deshi pat-2		400 Gy P-15(2)		500 Gy P-65(2)		400 Gy P-198(2)	
	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)
0	4.4a	1.8a	4.6a	1.9a	3.6a	1.7a	3.8a	1.8a	3.9a	1.9a
5	4.3a	1.7a	4.5a	1.8a	3.5b	1.6a	3.8a	1.7a	3.9a	1.8a
10	4.2ab	1.6ab	4.3a	1.7a	3.4ab	1.5ab	3.7ab	1.6ab	3.8a	1.7a
15	4.1b	1.5b	4.1ab	1.6a	3.3b	1.1b	3.6b	1.5b	3.6ab	1.6ab
20	3.9bc	1.3bc	3.8b	1.5ab	2.5bc	0.8bc	3.0bc	1.3c	2.5b	1.0b
30	3.0c	1.2bc	3.5bc	1.0b	2.0c	0.7c	2.1c	0.9d	2.0bc	0.9b
50	2.8cd	1.0bcd	3.2c	0.7bc	1.6d	0.6cd	1.8cd	0.8de	1.5c	0.8b
70	1.6d	0.7c	2d	0.5bc	0e	0d	1.6d	0.7e	1.5c	0.7bc
100	0e	0d	1e	0.2bcd	0e	0d	0e	0f	0d	0c
150	0e	0d	0f	0c	0e	0d	0e	0f	0d	0c
SE±	0.14	0.05	0.13	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.12	0.05	0.12	0.06
CV (%)	13.06	21.47	11.49	22.37	17.44	29.04	14.65	22.23	15.41	23.41
LSD	0.3	0.38	0.58	0.54	0.27	0.30	0.11	0.11	0.54	0.54

267 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

268 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

269

#### 270 4.4 Effect of heavy metal on fresh and dry weight of different varieties of jute seeds

##### 271 4.4.1 Effect of arsenic on fresh and dry weight

272 Data from Table 5 revealed that increased level of arsenic significantly decreased the fresh and  
273 dry weight of different varieties of jute seedlings. Fresh weight of different varieties of jute  
274 seedlings declined gradually due to increased level of arsenic toxicity (Table 5).

275 **4.4.2 Effect of lead on fresh and dry weight**

276 Results from Table 6 showed that fresh weight of different varieties of jute seedlings was  
 277 significantly affected by increased level of Pb. The fresh weight reductions were statistically  
 278 significant with 1% level of significance.

279

280

281

282 Table 5. Effect of arsenic on fresh weight of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mg As L <sup>-1</sup> )	Fresh weight (g)				
	Tosha pat o-9897	BINA desh pat-2	400 Gy P- 15(2)	500 Gy P- 65(2)	400 Gy P- 198(2)
0	2.9a	2.8a	2.0a	2.0a	2.0a
5	2.8a	2.6b	1.9a	1.9a	1.9a
10	2.7ab	2.5bc	1.9a	1.8a	1.8a
15	2.6b	2.3c	1.8a	1.6ab	1.6ab
20	2.1bc	2.2cd	1.7ab	1.3b	1.1b
30	1.8c	1.8d	0.9b	1.0bc	1.0bc
50	1.3d	1.4e	0.7bc	0.9bc	0.8bc
70	0.9e	0.7f	0.6bc	0.7bcd	0.5bcd
100	0.7ef	0.6g	0.5bcd	0c	0c
150	0f	0h	0d	0c	0c
SE±	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06
CV (%)	15.93	16.37	20.71	22.18	23.43
LSD	0.23	0.11	0.54	0.54	0.54

283 Note: P (≥0.01) means significant at 1% level of probability.

284 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

285 Table 6. Effect of lead on fresh weight of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mg Pb L <sup>-1</sup> )	Fresh weight (g)				
	Tosha pat o-9897	BINA desh pat-2	400 Gy P- 15(2)	500 Gy P- 65(2)	400 Gy P- 198(2)
0	2.7a	2.7a	2.4a	2.5a	2.3a
5	2.5ab	2.5ab	2.2b	2.2b	2.1b
10	2.4abc	2.2abc	2.0c	2.0bc	1.9c
15	2.2b	2.0b	1.9d	1.9c	1.4d

20	1.9bc	1.9bc	1.6e	1.5d	1.0e
30	1.5c	1.7bcd	1.4f	1.2e	1.9f
50	1.3cd	1.4c	1.0g	0.9f	0.7g
70	0.8d	0.7d	0.8h	0.5g	0.5h
100	0.7ed	0.6de	0.5i	0h	0i
150	0e	0e	0j	0h	0i
SE±	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07
CV (%)	16.73	17.23	18.07	21.11	22.14
LSD	0.31	0.56	0.11	0.27	0.16

286 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

287 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT

288

289 Table 6 also showed that dry weight production of different varieties of jute seedlings  
 290 decreased significantly with increased level of Pb concentration. Possible reasons for such  
 291 declining trend might be due to the increased detrimental effect of Pb on growth of jute  
 292 seedlings.

293

#### 294 4.4.3 Effect of cadmium on fresh and dry weight

295 A significant response in respect of fresh and dry weight of different varieties of jute seedlings  
 296 was observed at 1% level of probability. Fresh and dry weight production decreased gradually  
 297 with increased level of Cd concentration.

#### 298 4.4.4 Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on fresh and dry weight

299 Fresh and dry weight of different varieties of jute seedlings was significantly affected by  
 300 increased level of combined toxicity of As, Pb and Cd. Decreasing trend in terms of fresh and  
 301 dry weight of seedlings due to increased level of toxicity was observed in all 5 varieties.

302 Table 7. Effect of cadmium on fresh weight of different varieties of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mg Cd L <sup>-1</sup> )	Fresh weight (g)				
	Tosha pat o-9897	BINA desh pat-2	400 Gy P- 15(2)	500 Gy P- 65(2)	400 Gy P- 198(2)
0	2.8a	2.8a	2.5a	1.9a	2.0a
5	2.7a	2.7ab	2.1b	1.8a	1.9a
10	2.6a	2.5b	2.0bc	1.7a	1.7a
15	2.5ab	2.3c	1.8bcd	1.6ab	1.5ab
20	2.1b	2.1d	1.7c	1.3b	1.4b
30	1.8bc	1.7e	1.4d	1.0bc	1.0c
50	1.3c	1.4f	1.0e	0.9bcd	0.8c
70	0.8d	0.7g	0.8ef	0.5c	0.5cd
100	0.7de	0.6h	0.5f	0cd	0d

150	0e	0i	0g	0cd	0d
SE±	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06
CV (%)	16.22	16.51	18.19	22.94	23.09
LSD	0.37	0.11	0.27	0.54	0.54

303 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

304 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

305

306

307

308 Table 8. Combined effect of arsenic, lead and cadmium on fresh weight of different varieties  
309 of jute seeds

Varieties Treatments (mg As, Pb and Cd L <sup>-1</sup> )	Fresh weight (g)				
	Tosha pat o-9897	BINA desh pat-2	400 Gy P- 15(2)	500 Gy P- 65(2)	400 Gy P- 198(2)
0	2.5a	2.6a	1.9a	1.7a	1.9a
5	2.4ab	2.4a	1.8ab	1.6ab	1.8a
10	2.4ab	2.2a	1.7b	1.5b	1.7a
15	2.3b	2.1a	1.6bc	1.2c	1.6ab
20	2.2bc	2.0ab	1.1c	0.9d	1.1b
30	2.1bcd	1.8b	0.9d	0.7e	1.0b
50	2.0c	1.7bc	0.7e	0.65ef	0.9d
70	0.9d	1.0bcd	0f	0.6f	0.8bc
100	0.7de	0.7e	0f	0g	0c
150	0e	0d	0f	0g	0c
SE±	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06
CV (%)	15.09	15.97	25.50	24.90	22.40
LSD	0.23	0.60	0.11	0.11	0.54

310 Note: P ( $\geq 0.01$ ) means significant at 1% level of probability.

311 In a column figures with dissimilar letters differ significantly according to DMRT.

312

313 The experiment was conducted in the net house of the Department of Agricultural Chemistry,  
314 BAU, Mymensingh from October 2009 onwards to observe the growth performance of  
315 previously screened three jute varieties viz. BADC desh pat (clv-1), BINA desh pat-2 and Atom  
316 pat-38 in heavy metal contaminated soil. Results generated out of the second phase of the  
317 experiment revealed that, seeds of three varieties germinated in the toxic environment but their  
318 primary growth was not satisfactory. Reasons behind the stunted growth might be due to the  
319 unfavorable environmental....that prevailed during the growing season since off-season....trial

320 with jute seeds were made to have jute in two seasons. We know bright sunshine coupled with  
321 rainfall is favorable for growth of jute plants but such environment was totally absent in the  
322 winter season.

323 Only primary growth of jute was studied in this phase of the experiment. Growth of different  
324 varieties of jute seedlings stunted severely in combined toxicity of heavy metal viz. As, Cd and  
325 Pb than single As contaminated soil. BINA deshi pat-2 though a photosensitive variety but in pot  
326 trial in off-season from October 2009 onwards failed to grow at minimum height not only at  
327 toxic condition but also under non-toxic soil as in control. If uptake of heavy metal by jute plants  
328 from contaminated soil is analyzed in the next season, then the heavy metal accumulating  
329 potentiality of jute could be assessed. This income generating phytoremediation technology will  
330 be easily taken up by the farmers to eliminate heavy metal, build up in soil.

331

### 332 **Summary and Conclusion**

333 Based on the results generated out of the first phase of the experiment following of jute seeds  
334 were made.

335 A. Grading of jute seeds against As, Pb and Cd toxicity

Sl. no.	Varietal class	Name of the jute variety
1.	Highly tolerant	BINA deshi pat-2
2.	Moderately tolerant	Tosha pat (0-9897)
3.	Tolerant	400 Gy P-15(2)
4.	Low tolerant	500 Gy P-65(2)
5.	Very low tolerant	400 Gy P-198(2)

336

337 B. Grading of jute seeds based on varietal potentiality considering the results of control  
338 treatment only

Sl. no.	Varietal class	Name of the jute variety
1.	Highly tolerant	BINA deshi pat-2
2.	Moderately tolerant	Tosha pat (0-9897)
3.	Tolerant	400 Gy P-15(2)
4.	Low tolerant	500 Gy P-65(2)
5.	Very low tolerant	400 Gy P-198(2)

339

340 The experimental findings of first phase revealed that BINA deshi pat-2 variety might have the  
341 potentially to serve in the toxic environmental conditions than the other four varieties. BINA  
342 deshi pat-2 variety was screened as the best variety to be used as a phytoremediant in the second  
343 phase of bioremediation process of removal of toxic heavy metal from contaminated soil.

344 Experimental findings of second phase of the experiment revealed that due to off season (from  
345 October 2009 onwards) pot trial seedlings of all the varieties failed to grow at minimum height  
346 not only at toxic environmental but also under non-toxic soil as in control. Unfavorable climatic  
347 conditions interrupted the primary growth of jute seedlings in pot culture. Only primary growth  
348 was studied in this phase. Heavy metal absorption by jute plants will be determined in the  
349 succeeding growing season. Metal accumulating efficiency of jute will be evaluated and jute will  
350 be recommended as phytoremediant for the removal of toxic heavy metals from contaminated  
351 soil.

### 352 **References**

353 Alvarez-Ayuso, E. 2008. Cadmium in soil-plant systems: an overview. *International Journal of*  
354 *Environment and Pollution*, 33(2-3): 275-291.

355 Iqbal, M.Z. and Y. Shazia. 2005. Reduction of germination and seedling growth of  
356 *Leucaenalucocephala* caused by lead and cadmium individually and combination.  
357 *Ekologia (Braslava)*, 23(2): 162-168.

358 Joshep, L. U., L. C. Andrea and T. K. Mal. 2002. Effects of lead contamination on the growth of  
359 *Lythiumsalicaria*. *Environmental Pollution*. 120(2): 319-323.

360 Nagajyoti, P. C., N. Dinkar, T. N. V. K. V. Prasad, C. Suresh and T. Damodharam, 2008. Heavy  
361 metal toxicity: Industrial Effluent Effect on Groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.)  
362 Seedlings. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 4(1): 110-121.

363 WHO (World Health Organization). 1999. Arsenic in drinking water URL:  
364 <http://www..who.int/inf-fs/en/fact.210.Htm>

365 Zaman, M. W., Mollah, M. O. G., Rahman, M. M. and Nizamuddin, M. 2005. Identification of  
366 arsenic hyper accumulating weeds for the remediation of arsenic contaminated soil.  
367 Abstract. 9<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Soil and Plant Analysis, 29 January- 04  
368 February, Cancun, Mexico, p.14.

369