

# 1 **Comparative Efficacy of Varied Concentrations imidacloprid in** 2 **the Laboratory Management of Termites (*Microtermes natalensis*)**

## 4 **ABSTRACT**

5 Imidacloprid is termiticide that is slow acting timber and soil applied but can be  
6 systematically transferred in the worker castes of termites. The main objective of this study is  
7 to determine efficacy of imidacloprid in the control of termites on sand. Termiticides were  
8 tested at Imidacloprid 200g/l concentrations (2ml/l, 4ml/l, 6 ml/l and 8ml/l). Sand was used as  
9 the only mode of application in the control of *Microtermes natalensis*. The experiment was  
10 laid out in Randomized Block Design carried out on laboratory with five treatments and three  
11 replicates. Data analysis was performed using special statistical software called STATA  
12 version 13. Pearson's Chi square test was performed to compare proportions between factors.  
13 The results were reported in terms of tables and figures. However, termites attacked all  
14 untreated wood blocks regardless of wood species. It was concluded that Imidacloprid at  
15 concentration of 6 ml/l serves as the best concentration threshold required in the control of  
16 termites on sand in the management of termites. It was recommended that soil is effective  
17 mode of applying imidacloprid termiticide integratedly given that the right concentration  
18 levels are utilized.

19 *Keywords: Comparative; efficacy; concentrations; imidacloprid; management; termites.*

## 21 **1. INTRODUCTION**

22 Termites are an essential member of the soil ecosystem and are found throughout the world.  
23 Their presence is particularly noticeable in tropical and subtropical regions where they  
24 represent a significant portion (10%) of the animal biomass [1], when the estimate is refined  
25 to include only soil insect biomass this value rises to 95%. The natural activities of termites  
26 help to improve soil pH, organic carbon content, water content and porosity [2]. By  
27 improving and adjusting these soil parameters termites assist in creating conditions conducive  
28 to primary production, in this process they cause considerable losses to crops, trees and  
29 wooden work in buildings [3]. These termites are classified as serious pests which cost  
30 millions of dollars in annual control [4]. Insecticide application is an effective strategy for  
31 termite control.

32 Soil termiticides are used to treat soil to establish a toxic zone against termite  
33 penetration [5]. Termites remain alive for days on imidacloprid-treated sand and if termites  
34 are removed from the treatment, are able to recover. [6] Reported that, this species are the one  
35 cause's serious damage to buildings, agricultural crops and trees.

## 36 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 37 **2.1 Description of Study Site**

38 The research was carried out at the Forest Products Research Centre of the Kenya Forestry  
39 Research Institute (KEFRI) located at Karura Forest, Nairobi.

### 40 **2.2 Experimental Design**

41 The experiment was carried out in Aug 2017. The experiment was laid out in a Randomised  
42 Block design carried out in the laboratory with five treatments and three replicates. Testing  
43 was carried out using imidacloprid at the mass concentration of 200 g/l and fipronil 25 g/l  
44 with the latter being the experimental standard. The Protocols for Assessment of Wood  
45 Preservatives; A production of the Australian Wood Preservation Committee (AWPC) (2007  
46 revision) was used. The test species used were *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Grevillea robusta*.  
47 The treatments using imidacloprid at 200 g/l mass concentrations were carried out at four  
48 concentrations (2 ml/l, 4 ml/l, 6 ml/l and 8ml/l) and fipronil 25 g/l mass concentration was  
49 carried out at 10 ml/l concentrations.

### 50 **2.3 Study Sample**

51 The test chemical, imidacloprid 200 g/l was tested at four concentrations – 2 ml/l, 4 ml/l, 6  
52 ml/l and 8ml/l. imidacloprid 200 g/l were tested against an approved and registered chemical  
53 known as Fipronil 25 g/l that is used at concentrations of 10 ml/l. A total of 72 wood samples  
54 were used in the study.

### 55 **2.4 Laboratory Experimentation (Protocol)**

56 The *E. grandis* and *G. robusta*, timber were sawn into cubes of about 1 cm<sup>3</sup> cubes. The cubes  
57 were labelled by giving each code number, weighed and recorded. The numbers of wooden

58 blocks were 72cubes. After that the cubes were subjected into a temperature of 161°Cin oven  
59 for 24 hours. Then the weights were recorded. Sand were treated with imidacloprid 200 g/l  
60 with concentrations of 2ml/l, 4ml/l,6ml/l and 8 ml/l, Fipronil 25 g/l concentration of 10 ml/l  
61 whereby the sand were treated with twenty millitres, at 3 cm radius . Untreated sand serves as  
62 control .Untreated wood blocks measuring 1cm<sup>3</sup> were put onto the treated sand in each of the  
63 bottles. Then subterranean termites of the species *natalensis* , from a single colony  
64 comprising of 360 females and 40 males were introduced according to a procedure adapted  
65 from AWPA E1-97 standard (Standard method laboratory for evaluation to determine  
66 resistance to subterranean termites, 1997).The test bottles were then kept in an incubator at  
67 temperatures between 25-28 °C for one month .Out of untreated wood blocks, the samples  
68 that were exposed to termites were 3 at each concentration.

### 69 **2.5Data Analysis**

70 Descriptive statistics for measures of central tendency such as mean and standard deviation  
71 was used in summarizing continuous variables which assume normality distribution. Data  
72 analysis was performed using special statistical software called STATA version 13. Pearson's  
73 Chi square test was performed to compare proportions between factors. The results were  
74 reported in terms of tables and figures.

### 75 **3. Results**

76 In this research there was a total 72(100%) woods categorized into two equal numbers of  
77 wood species, each assuming 36(50%) proportion, All wood blocks were proportionally  
78 divided into six groups where 60 (83%) different woods species were tested on treated sand  
79 under five different levels of concentrations and the remaining 12(16.7%) different woods  
80 species tested under untreated sand were regarded as control group. All those woods were  
81 factored out into three identified replicates that is, S1, S2 and S3, whereby each replicate had  
82 captured a total of 24 (33.33%) wood blocks .

83 **Table 1:** Displays the distributions of various Characteristics studied.

Characteristic studied		Sample (%)
Wood replicates	S1	24(33.33%)
	S2	24(33.33%)
	S3	24(33.33%)
	Total	72(100%)
sand treatments	T1 or 2mls/lit	12(16.67%)
	T2	12(16.67%)
	T3	12(16.67%)
	T4	12(16.67%)
	T5	12(16.67%)
	T6 or control group	12(16.67%)
	Total	72(100%)

84  
85 Descriptive analysis presented the mean weight loss for wood blocks under treated sand as  
86 follows *E.grandis* 0.003(Std:0.02) and *G. robusta* 0.013(Std:0.04) while the mean weight  
87 loss for untreated woods under untreated sand were as follows *E.grandis* was 0.1(std:0.06)  
88 and *G. robusta* was 0.216(std:0.147) .There was significant evidence to suggest that at least  
89 one of the treatment concentrations which had been used to control termites from woods  
90 block attack was different from the responsiveness of other treatment. From that it was noted  
91 that at least one of untreated wood under treated sand had been slightly attacked by *M.*  
92 *natalensis* termites, P-value=0.0308. But when the adjustment of replicates was applied then  
93 the results changed to be insignificant, P-value=0.6325

94

95 **Table 2: Summary statistics on weight loss of wood species exposed to *M. natalensis***  
96 **termites.**

Wood species	Mean	Std	Sample	P-value
Treated <i>E.grandis</i>	0.003	0.02	30	0.0308
Treated <i>G. robusta</i>	0.013	0.04	30	
Control <i>E.grandis</i>	0.1	0.06	6	
Control <i>G. robusta</i>	0.216	0.147	6	

97

98 From the results above woodblocks treated with 2ml/l of imidacloprid were slightly attacked  
99 but the one treated with 4ml/l and above were not attacked.

100

#### 4. DISCUSSION

101 Despite recent advances in the treatment of woods against subterranean termites by using bait  
102 technologies more destroyed woods and greater deforestation was found to prevail, a problem  
103 which enforced the researcher in this study to exploit other methods of treatment application  
104 on woods. Furthermore, this research investigated on an appropriated concentration threshold  
105 to apply during control of termites. An effective concentration threshold was found to be 4  
106 mills per liter (4 MI/L) when imidacloprid was applied.

107 In this study it was found that sand were effective in controlling all termites species from  
108 destroying wood an information which contrasts the use of bait technologies as suggested in  
109 [7-8]. Finding in this study seems to support an earlier study which found that termite control  
110 largely depends on the use of soil termiticides for the prevention and treatment of structural  
111 infestations [9].

112

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

113 In laboratory test, imidacloprid at concentration rate of 2 MI/L were ineffective in the control  
114 of *M. natalensis*. There was insignificant difference in weight loss among timbers treated

115 with different concentration level of imidacloprid at the rate of 200g/l, although the *E.grandis*  
116 timbers treated with a concentration level of 2 mills per litre of water were destroyed by  
117 termites. Imidacloprid at concentration of 4 MI/L serves as the best concentration threshold  
118 required in the control of *M. natalensis* termites treated sand in the laboratory management of  
119 termites. However, termites attacked all untreated wood blocks regardless of wood species.  
120 Soil were found to be the most effective mode of application in the control of *M. natalensis*  
121 termites.

## 122 **6. RECOMMENDATION**

123 In this research it was suggested that the best concentration threshold to be used to control  
124 and even prevent any termites from destroying woods was 4 milliliters (4MI/L) of  
125 imidacloprid, That level of concentration was found to the cheapest and more effective, hence  
126 stops termites from destroying woodblocks this can be achieved so long as recommended  
127 concentrations threshold would be applied. It was also discovered that 4 milliliters per litre  
128 (4MI/L) were the best concentration threshold required to prevent and control *M. natalensis*,  
129 on treated sand in the laboratory management of termites.

## 130 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

131 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.  
132  
133

## 134 **REFERENCES**

- 135 1. Donovan, S.E., P. Eggleton, W.E. Dubbin, M.Batchelder and L. Dibong, 2001. The  
136 effect of a soil-feeding termite, *Cubitermes fungifaber* (Isoptera: Termitidae) on soil  
137 properties: Termites may be an important source of soil microhabitat heterogeneity in

- 138 tropical forests. *Pedobiologia*, 45:1-11. DOI: 10.1078/0031-4056-00063 EPA, 1993.  
139 National Primary Drinking.
- 140 2. Dawes, T.Z., 2010. Reestablishment of ecological functioning by mulching and termite  
141 invasion in a degraded soil in an Australian savanna. *Soil Biol.Biochem.*, 42: 1825-  
142 1834. DOI:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.06.023
- 143 3. Ahmed S., Qasim M., 2011 - Foraging and chemical control of subterranean termites  
144 in a farm building at Faisalabad,Pakistan. - *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.* 9: 58-62.
- 145 4. Ahmed M.A.I, Eraky S.A., Fakeer M., Soliman A.S. 2014. Toxicity assessment of  
146 selected neonicotinoid pesticides against the sand termite, *Psammotermes hypostoma*  
147 *Desneux* workers (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) under laboratory conditions. *Australian*  
148 *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 8 (9): 238–240.
- 149 5. Saran, R. K., and M. K. Rust. 2007. Toxicity, uptake, and transfer efficiency of  
150 fipronil in western subterranean termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *J. Econ.*  
151 *Entomol.*100: 495-508.
- 152 6. Manzoor, F., Chaudhary, M., Sheikh, N., Khan, I.A. And Khan, T., 2011. Diversity  
153 and proportion of termite species in garden trees and wheat crop in District Bhakkar,  
154 Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 43: 537-541.
- 155 7. Gautam BK, Henderson G, Davis RW, 2012 Toxicity and horizontal transfer of  
156 30.5%fipronil dust against Formosan subterranean termites. *Journal of econom.;*  
157 *105(5):1766-1772.*
- 158 8. Rust MK, Su NY. 2012. Managing social insects of urban importance. *Annu. Rev.*  
159 *Entomol.*57:355-375.
- 160 9. Gahlgoff, J.E.J. & Koehler, P.G. (2001) 'Penetration of the eastern subterranean  
161 termite into soil treated at various thicknesses and concentrations of Dursban TC and  
162 Prmis 75'. *J Econ Entomol* 94 pp. 486-491.