

**COMPARING THE NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF SOME EDIBLE INSECTS  
AND SOME ANIMAL MEATS IN NORTH-WESTERN STATE OF NIGERIA**

**ABSTRACT**

Five different edible insects from Kaduna, Kebbi, Niger, Sokoto and Zamfara States of North-Western Nigeria, namely; Red Locust (*Nomadacris setemfasciata*), Desert Locust (*Schistocerca gregaria*), Variegated Grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegatus*), Termite (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) and Cricket (*Gryllotapha Africana*), were collected alongside with meats from camel (*Camellus dromedaries*), Cow (*Bos indicus*), Ram (*Ovis aries*), Chicken (*Gallus gallus*), and Fish (*Clarias lazera*). The insects were oven dried separately at 60<sup>o</sup>c for 3-5hrs while the meats were dried at 60<sup>o</sup>c for 48hrs. The dried contents of both the insects and the meats were grounded separately and each was subjected to proximate analysis. The results indicated the nutrient to have Carbohydrates ranging between 0.7g-12.1g in the insects and 0.4g-10.2g in the meats, Proteins ranging from 12.6g -57.3g in the insects and 18.1g – 70.4g in the meats, Fats, Fibre, Ash and Moisture having ranges between 5g-17.9g, 5g-20g, 4g -10g, 11.5g-53.6g, respectively in insects and 18.4g-70.4g Fats, 5g Fibre, 2.4g-10.4g Ash and 13.4g-59g moisture in the meats. Equally, the minerals showed varied in both the insects and the meats. Statistical comparison of the means of the results showed that the Carbohydrates, the Proteins and the Fibre do not differ significantly in both the insects and the meats. Similarly, Copper, Iron, Sodium, Calcium, Magnesium and Potassium do not differ significantly in the two groups. This suggested that insects as diet when taken in required amount can meet up with protein requirements of human body and can therefore supplement animal meats in our diets.

Key words: Edible insects, Animal meat and nutrient composition.

**INTRODUCTION**

Insects have been used as food by man ever since his creation when he converted many available resources in the universe into food (Richard, 1993). Insects are the cheapest source of animal protein, and their consumption has been encouraged due to the inability of low income people to afford fish or animal protein (Paul and Sudipta, 2011). Insects have been reported to be an important source of protein, vitamins, minerals and fats in many countries (Bailey, 1999 and Defoliart, 1975). Insects are also described by Michael (1978) as tasty, nutritional and high protein source.

37 Ordinarily, insects are not only used as emergency food but are included as part of the diet  
38 throughout the year or when seasonally available (Banjo, *et al.*, 2006). Different types of insects  
39 are being eaten in different parts of the world, sometimes only the adults were eaten or larval  
40 stages or just some parts of the insect's body (Ene, 1963 and Gene, 1988).

41 In many parts of the world today, insects are considered as stable food possibly because they are  
42 good source of protein, easy to find, occupy a little space and have great nutritional value  
43 (Bailey, 1999). Food supplies in many African countries are inadequate in quantity and quality  
44 contributing to wide spread of malnutrition (Allotey, 2003). Therefore insects could be used in  
45 solving the problems of malnutrition in Africa.

46 In Nigeria, reports have shown that eating insects has contributed significantly to the reduction in  
47 protein deficiencies (Ashiru, 1988). Locusts, Grasshoppers, Termites, Beetles and Crickets are  
48 variously reported as being consumed by different communities, ranging from stable food  
49 sources to highly sought delicacies in different parts of Nigeria (Banjo, *et al.*, 2006). More than  
50 1000 species of insects are safe to eat, some insects are distasteful or harmful and in some cases  
51 people can even develop allergies to insect's materials (Mpunchare *et al.*, 1975).

52 The present work compares proximate composition of five different edible insects with meats  
53 from five different animals commonly consumed in North – Western Nigeria.

## 54 MATERIALS AND METHODS

55 Insects for this study were collected from four Local Government Areas each, from Kaduna,  
56 Kebbi, Niger, Sokoto and Zamfara states of North-Western Nigeria located between longitude  
57 10°N and 15°N and latitude 5°E and 10°E.

58 From initial survey of edible insects within the study area conducted at the onset of the study, the  
59 following insects were identified as the most preferred edible insects; Red Locust (*Nomadacris*  
60 *septemfasciata*) Desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria*) Variegated grasshopper (*Zenocerus*  
61 *variegates*), Termite reproductive forms (*Macrotermes bellicosus*), Brown Cricket (*Gryllotapha*  
62 *Africana*) and Beetle (*Melolantha spp.*), out of which five were selected based on preference.

63 The selected insects were; Red Locust (*Nomadacris setemfasciata*), Desert Locust (*Schistocerca*  
64 *gregaria*), Variegated Grasshopper (*Zenacerus variegates*), Termite reproductive formes

65 (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) and Brown Cricket (*Gryllotapha Africana*). These insects were then  
66 oven-dried for 3-5hrs at 60<sup>o</sup>c and grounded separately and placed in separate petri-dishes.

67 100g of the materials obtained were subjected to proximate analysis separately according to  
68 official methods of analysis recommended by AOAC (2000). Moisture content, fibre, free  
69 nitrogen extract, fats and mineral salts were determined and crude protein was obtained using the  
70 Kjeldahl technique.

71 The results obtained were tasted for significances between the composition in the insect's body  
72 and the animal meats using paired t-test with SPSS 16.0 for Windows statistical package.

### 73 **RESULTS**

74 The mean nutrient composition of the insects and the meats were shown in Table 1. The highest  
75 amount of carbohydrates was observed among the insects with *S. gregaria* having 14.17g per  
76 100g, followed by *Z. variegatus* with 11.13g while *G. Africana* had the lowest content of 1.17g  
77 per 100g. Generally, carbohydrates were observed to be in all the animal meats studied ranging  
78 between 1.73g on *G. gallus* and 6.73g on *O. Aries*. Crude protein was higher on meats from the  
79 animals than in the insect. The highest amount of the protein per 100g of the sample was  
80 observed in *C. dromedarius* (70.57g) followed by *G.gallus* (65.77g). Fats were higher among  
81 insects than the meats with *M. bellicosus* having the highest with 17.63g per 100g the *N.*  
82 *setemfasciata* (13.33g). Among animal's meat, the meat from *B. indicus* with 11.3g had the  
83 highest then *O. Aries* with 10g. The fibre content was almost the same in the animal meats but  
84 was relatively higher in the insects, particularly, in *S. gregaria* with 18.33g and *N.*  
85 *Septemfasciata* with 16.67g per 100g. *C. lazera* was observed to have the lowest values of the  
86 components except for moisture content where it ranked the highest with 58.07g per 100g. The  
87 ash was considerably low in all the organisms.

88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95

96 **TABLE1: NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF SOME EDIBLE INSECTS AND SOME ANIMAL**  
 97 **MEATS**

98 (Each observation was based on three replicates)

Insects/Meat	Carbohydrates (g)	Crude Protein (g)	Fat (g)	Fibre (g)	Moisture (g)	Ash (g)
<i>N. septemfasciata</i>	9.23	18.80	13.33	16.67	36.97	5.00
<i>S. gregaria</i>	14.17	16.40	6.67	18.33	39.53	5.00
<i>Z. variegates</i>	11.13	25.13	6.67	11.67	42.07	5.00
<i>M. bellicosus</i>	6.27	12.93	17.63	6.67	51.63	5.00
<i>G. Africana</i>	1.17	57.07	13.30	5.00	11.77	10.00
<i>C. dromedaries</i>	3.90	70.57	8.33	5.00	14.50	2.70
<i>B. indicus</i>	5.87	62.30	11.33	5.00	16.00	5.00
<i>O. Aries</i>	6.73	61.47	10.00	5.00	16.90	5.00
<i>G. Gallus</i>	1.73	65.77	8.30	5.00	14.50	9.70
<i>C. Lazar</i>	6.10	18.87	8.33	5.00	58.07	8.40

99

100 Sample ranging between 3.00 as the lowest observed on C. lazar and the highest amount of 25.  
 101 75 mg in G. Africana. Phosphorus, sodium and potassium were considerably higher composition  
 102 of mineral present in the entire samples while magnesium was variable among in all the samples

103 **TABLE 2: MINERAL CONTENTS OF SOME EDIBLE INSECTS AND SOMEANIMALS MEATS**  
 104 (Each Observation was based on three replicates)  
 105

Insects/Meat	Copper (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Phosp (mg)	Sodium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Magnesium (mg)	Potassium (mg)
<i>N. septemfasciata</i>	1.17	9.00	0.34	80.43	271.70	30.80	65.00	1001.67
<i>S. gregaria</i>	0.67	4.92	0.41	92.80	163.00	17.07	32.58	490.27
<i>Z. variegates</i>	1.33	11.08	0.34	84.80	163.00	16.67	18.70	490.27
<i>M. bellicosus</i>	2.17	9.10	0.34	84.80	217.30	14.57	41.89	255.80
<i>G. Africana</i>	3.83	25.75	0.34	69.27	851.37	14.17	38.30	703.50
<i>C. dromedaries</i>	0.75	6.00	0.91	100.90	68.80	28.87	15.90	1278.80
<i>B. indices</i>	1.42	8.92	0.42	119.73	68.83	29.49	6.87	1278. 80
<i>O. Aries</i>	1.08	7.00	0.34	67.27	14.50	25.33	22.00	1236.10
<i>G. Gallus</i>	1.17	6.00	0.29	83.43	18.10	23.27	38.30	959.10
<i>C. Lazar</i>	0.92	3.00	0.26	71.13	32.60	17.87	29.47	383.60

106

107 Statistical comparison of the means was conducted and the results presented in table 3,

108 It indicated that there were no significant differences between the means of proximate composition of  
 109 insects and the animal meats on carbohydrates, protein, fibre, copper, iron, sodium, calcium,  
 110 magnesium and potassium while only fats, moisture, ash, zinc and phosphorus differ at 5% level  
 111 of significance.

112

113 **TABLE 3: COMPARISON BETWEEN MEANS OF PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF SOME**  
 114 **EDIBLE INSECTS AND ANIMAL MEATS**

115

Nutrients/Minerals (Means±SE)	Edible Insects (Means±SE)	Animal Meats
Carbohydrates	8.39±1.40	4.87±0.74
Proteins	26.07±4.24	35.79±5.02
Fats	11.52±1.24*	9.26±0.56
Fibre	11.67±1.52	5.00±0.00
Moisture	36.39±3.55*	23.99±4.56*
Ash	6.00±0.55*	6.16±0.69*
Copper	1.83±0.30	1.07±0.09
Iron	11.97±1.98	6.18±0.52
Zinc	0.36±0.01*	0.44±0.13*
Phosphorus	82.42±2.06*	88.49±5.27*
Sodium	3.33E2±70.5	40.57±6.91
Calcium	18.65±1.67	24.97±1.29
Magnesium	39.30±4.22	22.51±3.10
Potassium	5.88E3±67.54	1.03E3±92.02

116 Values with asterisk (\*) within the same row are significantly different at (P<0.05).

117

118

119 **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

120 The proximate analysis of the edible insects conducted showed that the insects have almost all  
 121 the nutrients required by human body. The organic compound observed from the analysis of the  
 122 insects bodies were proteins, fats, and carbohydrates in varying amounts. Similar observations  
 123 were made by Omotoso (2006), who showed that the larvae of *Cirina forda* contained high levels  
 124 of protein with ash, moisture, fats and carbohydrates. However, Omotoso (2006) indicated that  
 125 fibre was not detected. Vandky (2000) reported that large grasshoppers have 14.2 percent of  
 126 protein, 3.3 percent fats, and 2.2 percent carbohydrates while termites have 14.2 percent protein,  
 127 28 percent fats, 2.7 percent fibre and 44.5 percent moisture. The showed that fibre could be  
 128 found in the nutrient content of insects despite the previous assertion by Omotoso (2006).  
 129 However, Omotoso's finding could be related to the insect he worked with, being a Lepidopteran  
 130 Larva. From the result, it was observed that meats from animals and insects both have nutritional

131 values that varied in minor respects. It was also observed that some insects have higher moisture  
132 content and protein that are relatively similar to those found in animal meats. Insects therefore  
133 can be consumed to obtain the body nutritional requirement as can be obtained from animal  
134 meats. Therefore, where meat is not readily available or cannot be afforded, insect's diet can be  
135 supplemented.

136

## 137 REFERENCES

138

139 Allotey, J. (2003). "Utilization of useful insects as food improved; Nutrition for Africa". *African*  
140 *Journal of food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*. 3(2): 1-8 Pp.

141

142 A.O. A. C. (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemist Official Method of Analysis, 17<sup>th</sup>  
143 Edition In: W. Hortizt (Ed) AOAC Washington D. C., U.S.A.

144

145 Ashiru, M. O. (1988). "The food value of the larvae of *Anaphase vendetta butler* (Lepidoptera:  
146 *Notodonitidae*)". *Ecology food and Nutrition*. 23:323-320.

147

148 Bailey, S. (1999). *Insects as food. Bug food II*. 1-6 pp.

149

150 Banjo, A .D, Lawal , O.A. and songonuga, E.A.(2006). "The Nutrition value of fourteen species  
151 of edibles Insects in south-western Nigeria". *African Journals of Biotechnology*. 5(3).  
152 298- 301.

153

154 Defoliart, G.R. (1975). *Insects as a source of protein. Bulletin of Entomological society of*  
155 *America*. 21:161-163.

156

157 Ene, J.C. (1963) *Insects and men in west Africa*. Ibadan University press. Pp, 66.

158

159 Gene, D.R. (1989). The human use of Insects as food and Animals feed. *Bulletin Entomological*  
160 *Society of Africa*. 35:22-25.

161

162 Micheal , D.A. (1978). *Insect in perspective*. Macmillan Publishing Inc. 293-311pp.

163

164 Mpuchane, S.F., Gashe, B.A., Allotey, J., Siame, A. B.Tefera, G and A. Dithogo. (2001). Quality  
165 Determination of phane, the edible Caterpillar of an Emperor moth, *Ibrosia belina*. *Food*  
166 *control*. 11:453-458.

167

168 Omotoso, O. T. (2006). "Nutrition Quality, Functional properties and anti-nutrient Composition  
169 of the larva of the *cirina forda* (West wood). (Lepidoptera:Saturiidae)." *Journal of*  
170 *Zhejiang University science*. 7(1): 51-55.

171

172 Paul, D, and Sudipta, D. (2011). "Nutrient content of sexual and worker forms of subterranean  
173 termites *Reticulitermes spp*". *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 10: 505-507

174  
175 Pemberton, R.W. (1993). Catching and Eating Dragon flies in Baliand Else where in Asia.  
176 *America Entomologist*. 41:97-102.  
177  
178 Richard, L.L. (1993). Food conservation Efficiencies of insects Herbivores. *The food insect*  
179 *Newsletter*. 6(1): 5.  
180  
181 Vandky, J. (2000). National value of various insects per 100 grams. *The food Newsletter*. 9 (2):  
182 1-2.  
183

UNDER PEER REVIEW