

**STUDIES ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITIONS AND ORGANOLEPTIC
PROPERTIES OF FERMENTED AND EXTRUDED RIPE PLANTAIN AND
GROUNDNUT BLEND.**

Abstract

Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products. This study aimed at investigating the mineral compositions and organoleptic properties of fermented and extruded ripe plantain and groundnut blend. Ripe plantain and groundnut samples were obtained from Oja Oba market, Akure. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour, plantains were dried and milled and both were kept in an airtight container before use. The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain: groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100 Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and 20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60% ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour, Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and 50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100) = 100% groundnut flour. A batch of the flour blends were fermented using submerged state fermentation method for 96 hours. The fermentation process was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours and later extruded. The sensory evaluation was carried out on the products. The study revealed that fermentation had significant ($p < 0.05$) effects on high sodium contents (ranging from 37.90±0.00 to 44.80±0.01 mg/g) of the blends, potassium (K) content was highest in fermented blends with values ranging from 115.23±0.31 to 125.06±0.06 mg/g, extrusion and fermentation increased magnesium and calcium contents ranging from 18.00±0.57 to 150.0±0.00 and 50.01±0.24 to 220.0±0.57 mg/g respectively of the blends significantly ($p < 0.05$) while there was no significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in iron content between all the blends. Fermented blends had the highest overall acceptability. The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of developing countries.

Keywords: fermentation, extrusion, blends, mineral composition, sensory evaluation

INTRODUCTION

Plantain, (*Musa paradisiaca*) is loosely applied to any banana cultivar that is eaten when cooked. However, there is no formal botanical distinction between bananas and plantains. Cooking is also a matter of custom, rather than necessity. Ripe plantains can be eaten raw, since the starches are converted to sugars as they ripen. In some countries, there may be a clear

39 distinction between plantains and bananas, but in other countries, where many cultivars are
40 consumed, the distinction is not made in the common names used. In more formal usage, the
41 term 'plantain' is used only for 'true' plantains, while other starchy cultivars also used for
42 cooking are called 'bananas'(Cronauer *et al.*, 2012). Plantains are a major staple food in West
43 and Central Africa, the Caribbean islands, Central America and Northern Coastal parts of South
44 America. They are treated as a starchy fruit with a relatively neutral flavor and soft texture
45 when cooked. As with all bananas, part of the attractiveness of plantains as food is that they
46 fruit all year round, making them a reliable all-season staple food. (Valmayor *et al.*, 2000).

47 Mature, yellow plantains can be peeled like typical dessert bananas, the pulp is softer than in
48 immature green fruit and some of the starch has been converted to sugar (Egbebi and
49 Badamosi, 2011). The chemical compositions of plantains vary due to the following such as
50 maturity, degree of ripeness, soil type, variety and climate (Zakpaa *et al.*, 2010). Prior to its
51 consumption plantain can be roasted, boiled with beans or tomatoes, cooked, baked, sliced and
52 fried into chips, dehydrated for preservation and to serve as composite ingredients in industries
53 for making baby foods (Odenigbo *et al.*, 2013).

54 In traditional medicine, plantain is very useful in the cure of different diseases such as cardio
55 vascular and kidney problems, dehydration in infants and diabetic patients or people with
56 arthritis and gastro-intestinal ulcers (Opeke, 2006).

57 It has also been used in treatment of asthma and bronchitis, diarrhea and constipation;
58 the peel of ripe plantain has antiseptic properties and is used to prepare a poultice for wounds
59 or even applied directly to a wound in an emergency; leaves of plantain have been used
60 medicinally for a range of disorder from headache to urinary tract infections, the stem juice was
61 considered as a remedy for gonorrhoea (Skinner, 2005).

62 Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) belongs to the family Fabaceae/Leguminosae, it is commonly
63 known as the bean or pea family. It is a legume crop grown mainly for its edible seed. It is
64 widely grown in the tropics and subtropics, being important to both small and large commercial

65 producers. It is classified as both a grain legume and, because of its high oil content, an oil
66 crop. (Seijo *et al.*, 2007). It is an herbaceous plant of which there are different varieties such as
67 Boro light, Boro Red, Mokwa, Campala, Guta and Ela (Anyasor, 2009).Groundnut (*A.*
68 *hypogaea*) is the fifth most produced oil crop worldwide (USDA, 2013). Groundnut production
69 worldwide is reported to be greater than 36 million tons per year (USDA, 2013). Based on
70 current statistics, 42million tonnes of groundnuts are produced. Typically among crop plants,
71 groundnut pods develop underground rather than aboveground. The major producers are China,
72 United States, Nigeria and Sudan (FAOSTAT, 2014).

73 Most groundnut are processed into groundnut cake and edible oil and processed into animal
74 feed and as soil fertilizer while others are used for industrial purposes such as soaps, polish,
75 insecticides and nitroglycerin (Heuze *et al.*, 2017). In previous study as reported by Yu *et al.*,
76 (2007), the functional properties of defatted groundnut flour such as emulsification, bulk
77 density, viscosity, and water and oil absorption were essential in food processing and
78 formulation of food product. The regular consumption of groundnut and groundnut products
79 help to lower the blood cholesterol level (Lokko *et al.*, 2007). Kris-etherton *et al* (2008)
80 reviewed the scientific data concerning groundnut consumption and coronary heart disease and
81 concluded that regular consumption of groundnuts significantly reduces risk.

82 Fermentation in food processing is the process of converting carbohydrates to alcohol or
83 organic acids using microorganisms under anaerobic conditions. Fermentation usually implies
84 that the action of microorganisms is desired. The science of fermentation is known
85 as zymology or zymurgy (McGovern *et al.*, 2004). The term fermentation sometimes refers
86 specifically to the chemical conversion of sugars into ethanol, producing alcoholic drinks such
87 as wine, beer, and cider. However, similar processes take place in the leavening of bread
88 (CO₂ produced by yeast activity), and in the preservation of sour foods with the production
89 of lactic acid, such as in sauerkraut and yogurt.

90 Fermentation is a very important process that allows the utilization of microorganisms to
91 breakdown complex compounds to yield a unique tasting and aromatic foods, meet the
92 requirements of low-cost, prevent food spoilage and foodborne diseases (Ojokoh, 2014). Not
93 only does process of fermentation preserve foods, fermentation also improve digestibility by
94 breaking down proteins within various foods and have been known to enrich substrates with
95 nutritional essentials, such as vitamins, amino acids and fatty acids (Achi, 2005). All over the
96 world, fermented foods are known to provide an important part of human diet. Fermented foods
97 and beverages provide about 20- 40% of human food supply (Fagbemi *et al.*, 2005).

98 Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that
99 can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products such as snacks food, baby
100 foods, breakfast cereals, noodles, pastas and cereal based blends. Cereals in turn are the
101 customary, traditional snacks ingredient due to their high starch content (Perez-Navarret *et al.*,
102 2006).

103 During extrusion a set of mixed ingredients are forced through an opening in a perforated
104 plate or die with a design specific to the food, and is then cut to a specified size by blades.
105 Extrusion cooking was adopted for use in nutrition intervention projects mostly for
106 malnourished individuals in many less developed continents like Asia, Latin America and
107 Africa (Osundahunsi, 2006). The machine which forces the mix through the die is an extruder,
108 and the mix is known as the extrudate. Many food extrusion processes involve a high
109 temperature over a short time. The first extruder was designed to manufacture sausages in the
110 1870s (Karwe *et al.*, 2008). Extrusion enables mass production of food via a continuous
111 efficient system that ensures uniformity of the final product. This is achieved by controlling
112 various aspects of extrusion process. It has also enabled the production of new processed food
113 products and revolutionized many conventional snack manufacturing processes (Riaz *et al.*,
114 2000).

115 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

116 **Collection of Raw Materials**

117 Ripe plantain and groundnut sample were obtained from Oja Oba market in Akure,
118 Ondo State, Nigeria. The samples were kept in a sterile transparent polythene bag and then
119 transported to microbiology laboratory FUTA, for further analysis.

120 **Processing of Ripe Plantain Flour**

121 Ripe plantain was sorted for maturity and washed with water. The healthy ripe
122 plantain were peeled and sliced thinly into 3mm diameter and oven dried at 40°C for 72hours.
123 The dried ripe plantain was then fed into an attrition mill. The milled flour was sieved with a
124 mesh sieve into fine flour and kept in an airtight container before use.

125 **Processing of Groundnut Flour**

126 Groundnut seeds were cleaned by sorting out dirt and stones. The cleaned
127 groundnut seeds were coarsely milled to separate the coat from the cotyledon. The husk was
128 separated from the seed by blowing air into it. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to
129 give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour using an attrition mill after
130 which it was sieved through a mesh. The groundnut flour was kept in an airtight container
131 before use.

132 **Formation of Groundnut-Plantain flour**

133 The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain:
134 groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100 Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour
135 Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and 20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60%
136 ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour, Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and
137 50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100) =100% groundnut flour.

138 **Fermentation of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut Blends**

139 A batch of the flour blends were fermented using submerged state fermentation method
140 for 96 hours. The fermentation process was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours.

141 **Extrusion of the Samples**

142 The extrusion process was carried out in a Brabender 20DN single screw laboratory
143 extruder (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany) having a uniform tapered screw with a
144 nominal compression ratio of 2:1, diameter 19mm, length to diameter 20:1, die diameter 3mm
145 and screw speed at feed inlet which was kept constant at 30rpm. Electrical heating was applied
146 to the three barrel zones along the screw. The screw speed was maintained at 200rpm.

147 Two batches of samples were subjected to extrusion cooking. The first batch consists of the
148 unfermented blends while the second batch was the fermented blends. The blends were
149 hydrated and preconditioned by adding 10ml of water to 100g of the sample and manually
150 mixed in a sterile bowl to ensure even distribution of water and form a dough. The dough were
151 extruded using a Brabender 20DN single screw laboratory extruder (Brabender OHG,
152 Duisburg, Germany). All the extrudates were air dried for 12hours after which they were stored
153 at $38\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ in sterile polyethylene bags and kept in properly labelled air tight containers

154 **Mineral Compositions**

155 The mineral content were analysed from the solutions obtained by first dry-ashing the
156 sample. The ash in 10% (vol/vol) HCl was filtered and made up to the mark in a 100ml
157 volumetric flask using distilled de-ionised water. Sodium and potassium were determined by
158 flame photometry while calcium, magnesium and iron were determined by atomic absorption
159 spectrophotometer (AAS) (AOAC, 2012).

160 **Sensory Evaluation**

161 The sensory evaluation was done by the method of panel of 15 judges, samples of the raw flour
162 blend, extruded unfermented (EUF), fermented extruded (FE) flour blend and fermented
163 unextruded flour blend (FUE), and were served to the panel. The panels rated the samples
164 based on the colour, aroma, texture, taste and overall acceptability by grading them on a nine-
165 point hedonic scale.

166	Like extremely	= 9
167	Like very much	= 8
168	Like moderately	= 7

169	Like slightly	= 6
170	Neither Like nor Dislike	= 5
171	Dislike slightly	= 4
172	Dislike moderately	= 3
173	Dislike very much	= 2
174	Dislike extremely	= 1

175

176 **RESULTS**

177 **Changes in the Mineral Compositions of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut flour blends**

178 **a. Sodium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

179 The changes in the sodium content of the blends are represented in Table 1. The sodium
 180 content for the raw blends ranged from 0.50 ± 0.09 to 7.20 ± 0.05 . Fermented blends exhibited
 181 values ranging from 37.90 ± 0.00 to 44.80 ± 0.01 . Extruded unfermented blend had sodium
 182 content of 4.70 ± 0.01 to 18.00 ± 1.73 . Extruded fermented blends exhibited sodium content ranging from
 183 6.20 ± 0.00 to 22.63 ± 0.00 .

184 **b. Potassium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

185 The potassium contents of the blends were shown in Table 1. There was a significant
 186 difference ($p\leq 0.05$) in all the samples. Potassium (K) content was highest in Fermented blends
 187 with values ranging from 115.23 ± 0.31 to 125.06 ± 0.06 . Raw flour blends exhibited lowest
 188 potassium content with values ranging from 60.31 ± 0.03 to 73.51 ± 0.16 .

189 **c. Magnesium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

190 Magnesium content of the samples is shown in Table 1. There was no significant
 191 difference ($p\leq 0.05$) in the raw blends. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from
 192 12.03 ± 0.03 to 120.00 ± 0.00 . Extruded unfermented blend exhibited values ranging from 4.14 ± 0.00 to
 193 5.00 ± 0.57 . Extruded fermented blends had values ranging from 18.00 ± 0.57 to 150.0 ± 0.00 .

194 **d. Calcium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

195 The calcium content of plantain-groundnut blends are shown in Table 1. The raw blends
 196 recorded values ranging from 33.80 ± 0.05 to 41.47 ± 0.15 . Fermented blend exhibited values

197 ranging from 40.03±0.03 to 200.00±0.00. Extruded unfermented blend had calcium content ranging
 198 from 36.58±0.55 to 45.31±0.06. Extruded fermented blends ranged from 50.01±0.24 to 220.0±0.57

199 **e. Iron content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

200 The iron content of ripe-plantain-groundnut blends are shown in Table 1. There was no
 201 significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) between all the blends. The raw blends had values ranging
 202 from 1.82±0.02 to 2.61±0.06. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from 1.02±0.01 to 5.61±0.03.
 203 Extruded unfermented blends had iron content ranging from 0.66±0.06 to 1.24±0.14. Extruded
 204 fermented samples had values ranging from 0.87±0.03 to 2.90±0.01.

205 **Table 1: Mineral composition of ripe plantain and defatted groundnut blends**

SAMPLE	Na(mg/g)	K(mg/g)	Mg(mg/g)	Ca(mg/g)	Fe(mg/g)
RA	2.78±0.01 ^c	67.90±0.01 ^c	4.53±0.05 ^{ab}	33.80±0.05 ^d	2.61±0.06 ^a
RB	2.40±0.01 ^b	69.00±0.00 ^d	4.60±0.08 ^{ab}	29.47±0.14 ^c	2.11±0.06 ^a
RC	6.00±0.00 ^d	62.70±0.03 ^b	5.09±0.03 ^b	41.47±0.15 ⁱ	1.97±0.00 ^a
RD	0.50±0.00 ^a	60.31±0.03 ^a	4.51±0.05 ^{ab}	27.60±0.00 ^b	1.82±0.02 ^a
RE	7.20±0.01 ^e	73.51±0.16 ^f	4.15±0.08 ^a	23.40±0.00 ^a	2.52±0.01 ^a
FA	39.53±0.00 ^b	125.06±0.06 ^q	120.00±0.00 ^k	200.00±0.00 ^p	2.93±0.04 ^a
FB	40.70±0.00 ^c	118.11±0.28 ⁿ	72.00±0.00 ⁱ	140.02±0.41 ^o	1.02±0.01 ^a
FC	37.90±0.00 ^a	115.23±0.31 ^m	48.06±0.18 ^g	140.00±0.57 ^o	5.61±0.03 ^b
FD	42.24±0.01 ^d	120.53±0.31 ^o	12.03±0.03 ^c	120.01±0.22 ^m	1.08±0.00 ^a
FE	44.80±0.01 ^e	123.33±0.20 ^p	24.00±0.00 ^e	40.03±0.03 ^g	2.21±0.66 ^a
EUA	18.00±1.73 ^c	84.14±0.43 ^k	5.00±0.57 ^b	45.31±0.06 ^j	1.24±0.14 ^a
EUB	6.90±0.00 ^a	80.70±0.00 ^j	4.60±0.10 ^{ab}	40.22±0.06 ^{gh}	1.16±0.09 ^a
EUC	4.70±0.01 ^a	72.07±0.20 ^e	3.88±0.00 ^a	38.70±0.06 ^f	0.66±0.06 ^a
EUD	14.54±0.00 ^c	79.00±0.00 ⁱ	4.45±0.01 ^{ab}	36.58±0.55 ^e	0.91±0.00 ^a
EUE	10.90±0.00 ^b	76.00±0.57 ^h	4.14±0.00 ^a	40.92±0.01 ^{hi}	0.70±0.00 ^a
EFA	22.63±0.00 ^e	88.28±0.31 ^l	150.0±0.00 ^l	220.0±0.57 ^q	2.90±0.01 ^a
EFB	9.70±0.01 ^b	81.00±0.11 ^j	77.10±0.00 ^j	130.00±0.00 ⁿ	1.59±0.00 ^a
EFC	6.20±0.00 ^a	74.33±0.08 ^g	50.00±0.00 ^h	120.03±0.31 ^m	1.82±0.01 ^a
EFD	19.09±0.01 ^d	84.30±0.65 ^k	18.00±0.57 ^d	90.03±0.31 ^l	0.87±0.03 ^a
EFE	11.50±0.00 ^c	76.54±0.08 ^h	27.00±0.57 ^f	50.01±0.24 ^k	1.76±0.00 ^a

206 **Values are means of triplicate determinations ± SD. Means in the same column with**
 207 **different superscripts are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)**

208 Keys:

209 RA= Plantain 100g, RB= Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, RC= Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, RD= Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g,
 210 RE= Groundnut 100g,FA=Fermented Plantain 100g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, FC= Fermented Plantain
 211 60g Groundnut 40g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%. EUA=Extruded
 212 Unfermented Plantain 100g, EUB=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EUC=Extruded Unfermented Plantain
 213 60g Groundnut 40g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50gGroundnut 50g, EUE= Extruded unfermented Groundnut 100g,
 214 EFA-Extruded Fermented Plantain 100g, EFB-Extruded Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EFC-Extruded Fermented
 215 Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EFD-Extruded Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g EFE- Extruded Fermented 100g.

216

217 Organoleptic Analysis (Sensory Evaluation) of Plantain-Groundnut Blends

218 The result obtained in the evaluation demonstrated that there was no significant
 219 difference in the blends for colour, texture, aroma, taste and overall acceptability. Fermented
 220 blends and extruded unfermented blend recorded low values for colour. Raw blends, fermented
 221 blends and extruded fermented blends recorded highest values for texture. Fermented blends
 222 had the highest value for taste. Fermented blends recorded highest value for aroma. Raw
 223 blends, fermented blends and extruded fermented for overall acceptability. This result is
 224 represented in Table 2.

225 **Table 2: Sensory evaluation of ripe plantain- groundnut blends.**

Samples	Colour	Texture	Taste	Aroma	Overall acceptability
RA	8.00±0.19 ^{fg}	8.06±0.15 ^{efgh}	8.00±0.16 ^{fg}	8.33±0.12 ^{ab}	8.53±0.13 ^{fg}
RB	7.06±0.22 ^{bcd}	7.00±0.19 ^{bc}	7.20±0.22 ^{cde}	7.40±0.13 ^{ab}	7.66±0.15 ^{cd}
RC	8.13±0.23 ^{ghi}	7.66±0.21 ^{def}	7.60±0.19 ^{efg}	7.93±0.22 ^{ab}	8.26±0.18 ^{efg}
RD	8.40±0.16 ^{hi}	8.46±0.13 ^h	8.20±0.20 ^{ghi}	8.60±0.13 ^{ab}	8.86±0.09 ^h
RE	7.20±0.20 ^{bcde}	6.86±0.23 ^{bc}	6.80±0.22 ^{bc}	7.06±0.20 ^a	7.20±0.20 ^{abc}
FA	7.93±0.20 ^{efghi}	8.00±0.19 ^{efgh}	8.53±0.16 ^{hi}	8.80±0.10 ^{ab}	8.93±0.66 ^h
FB	7.80±0.20 ^{defgh}	7.73±0.15 ^{defg}	8.06±0.18 ^{fg}	8.06±0.11 ^{ab}	8.46±0.13 ^{fg}
FC	7.00±0.25 ^{bc}	7.13±0.27 ^{cd}	7.66±0.21 ^{efg}	8.80±0.22 ^{ab}	8.20±0.17 ^{def}
FD	8.33±0.12 ^{hi}	8.26±0.15 ^{fg}	8.66±0.12 ⁱ	8.80±0.10 ^{ab}	8.86±0.09 ^h
FE	6.00±0.23 ^a	6.00±0.19 ^d	6.13±0.19 ^a	7.40±0.19 ^a	6.80±0.20 ^a
EUA	7.33±0.42 ^{bcdef}	7.46±0.30 ^{cde}	7.06±0.33 ^{cde}	8.06±0.30 ^{ab}	7.66±0.31 ^{cd}
EUB	6.66±0.34 ^{ab}	6.86±0.27 ^{bc}	6.93±0.22 ^{cd}	6.60±0.19 ^a	7.53±0.21 ^{bc}
EUC	6.93±0.40 ^{bc}	6.26±0.22 ^a	6.26±0.28 ^{ab}	10.9±4.79 ^b	7.21±0.35 ^{abc}
EUD	8.40±0.21 ^{hi}	8.20±0.17 ^{fg}	8.40±0.23 ^{hi}	8.26±0.24 ^{hi}	8.53±0.16 ^{fg}
EUE	6.66±0.27 ^{ab}	6.20±0.17 ^a	6.26±0.18 ^{ab}	6.26±0.18 ^a	6.80±0.14 ^a
EFA	8.60±0.13 ⁱ	8.33±0.15 ^{hi}	8.33±0.18 ^{hi}	8.26±0.15 ^{ab}	8.60±0.13 ^{fg}
EFB	7.53±0.19 ^{cdefg}	7.66±0.15 ^{def}	7.53±0.13 ^{def}	7.46±0.16 ^{def}	7.73±0.18 ^{cde}

EFC	7.20±0.20 ^{bcd}	7.13±0.19 ^{cd}	7.13±0.21 ^{cde}	7.20±0.20 ^{cde}	7.73±0.15 ^{cde}
EFD	8.26±0.11 ^{ghi}	8.26±0.11 ^{fgh}	8.60±0.13 ^{hi}	8.73±0.11 ^{ab}	8.80±0.10 ^{gh}
EFE	6.85±0.17 ^{bc}	6.50±0.13 ^{ab}	6.64±0.13 ^{abc}	6.78±0.15 ^a	7.07±0.16 ^{ab}

226 **Values are means of triplicate determinations ± SD. Means in the same column with**
 227 **different superscripts are significantly different (p≤0.05)**

228 Keys: RA= Plantain 100g, RB= Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, RC= Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, RD= Plantain 50g
 229 Groundnut 50g, RE= Groundnut 100g, FA= Fermented Plantain 100g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, FC=
 230 Fermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%.
 231 EUA= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 100g, EUB= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EUC= Extruded
 232 Unfermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, EUE= Extruded
 233 unfermented Groundnut 100g, EFA= Extruded Fermented Plantain 100g, EFB= Extruded Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut
 234 20g, EFC= Extruded Fermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EFD= Extruded Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, EFE=
 235 Extruded Fermented 100g.

236 DISCUSSION

237 Mineral such as sodium (Na) and potassium (K) are essential in food. Fermentation
 238 improved the Na content of the blends. Calcium (Ca) is good for strong bones, teeth and
 239 muscle. It has been reported that magnesium is a component of chlorophyll and it is an
 240 important content in connection with ischemic heart disease and calcium metabolism in bones
 241 (Bergman *et al.*, 2009). Zinc (Zn) and Iron (Fe) are also essential for growth. Baiyeri *et al.*,
 242 (2011) found significantly high levels of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium, and
 243 Calcium in fully ripe plantain pulp, but low levels of Fe, Cu, Zn, Na. Plantains are also reported
 244 to be a great source of vitamins A, B1, B2, B3, B6 and C (Shodehinde *et al.*, 2013). Studies
 245 have reported processing methods for ripe plantain before consumption.
 246 Sensory evaluation indicated that there was no significant difference in the colour, texture.
 247 Aroma, taste and overall acceptability of the raw flour blends, unfermented extruded blends,
 248 Fermented unextruded blends and fermented extruded blends. Extruded unfermented blends
 249 had the most pleasant aroma, raw flour blend had the best colour and texture while Fermented
 250 unextruded blends recorded the best taste. It was observed that fermentation enhanced the
 251 aroma of the blends. The judges had preference for fermented extruded blends; this may be
 252 because it had the best taste, aroma and overall acceptability. The fermented blends had better
 253 flavour than other test blends while raw blends had the best colour. Based on these they were
 254 much more acceptable. This is not surprising because it is known that appearance of food

255 evokes the initial response and flavour determines the final acceptance or rejection of the
256 product by the consumer (Onoja and Obizoba 2009). Colour changes of the fermented blends
257 may be as a result of browning which occurred during fermentation.

258 CONCLUSION

259 The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has
260 the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of
261 developing countries. From the results of this research work, it is evident that fermentation and
262 extrusion will produce acceptable products and will go a long way to increase the nutritional
263 and sensory attributes of blends.

264 REFERENCES

- 265 Achi, O. K. (2005). "Traditional Fermented Protein Condiments in Nigeria". *African Journal of*
266 *Biotechnology* **4** (13): 1612-1621.
- 267 Anyasor, G. N., Ogunwenmo, K. O., Oyelana, O. A., Ajayi, D. and Dangana, J. (2009).
268 Chemical Analyses of Groundnut (*Arachi hypogaea*) Oil. *Pakistan Journal of*
269 *Nutrition*, (3):269-272.
- 270 AOAC, (2012). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists
271 international. 19th edition. Gathersburg, Maryland, U.S.A.
- 272 Baiyeri, K. P., Aba S. C., Otitoju, G. T. and Mbah, O. B. (2011). The effects of ripening and
273 cooking methods on mineral and proximate composition of plantain (*Musa sp.* AAB
274 cv. 'Agbagba') fruit pulp. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10**(36):6979-6984
- 275 Bergman, B. C., Tsvetkova, T., Lowes, B. and Wolfel, E. E. (2009). Myocardial FFA
276 metabolism during rest and atrial pacing in humans. *American Journal of*
277 *Physiological Endocrinology Metabolism*, **296**:E358–E366.

278 Cronauer, S. S. and Krikorian, A. D. (2012). Banana (*Musa* spp.); In Y.P.S. *Journal of*
279 *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*. 233.

280 Egbebi, A. O, Bademosi T. A, (2011). Chemical composition of ripe and unripe banana and
281 plantain. *International Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, **1**(1):1-5.

282 Fagbemi, T. N., Oshodi, A. A., Ipinmoroti, K. O. (2005). Processing effects on some
283 antinutritional factors and in vitro multienzyme protein digestibility (IVPD) of three
284 tropical seeds: breadnut (*Artocarpusaltilis*), cashewnut (*Anacardiumoccidentale*) and
285 fluted pumpkin (*Telfairiaoccidentalis*). *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, **4**:250- 256.

286 *FAOSTAT, (2014) "Peanut production" Food and Agricultural Organization of the United*
287 *Nations, Statistics Division. 2014. Retrieved 23 November 2016.*

288 Heuzé, V., Thiollet, H., Tran, G. and Lebas, F., (2017). Peanut forage. Feedipedia, a programme
289 by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO.

290 Karwe, Mukund, V. (2008). "Food extrusion". *Food Engineering*. **3**. Oxford Eolss Publishers Co
291 Ltd. ISBN 978-1-84826-946-0.

292 Kris-etherton, P. M., Hu, F. B., Ros, E. and Sabate, J. (2008). The role of tree nuts and peanuts in
293 the prevention of coronary heart disease: multiple potential mechanisms. *The*
294 *journal of nutrition*. 138(9), 1746S-1751.

295 Lokko, P., Armar-klemesu, A. and Mattes, R. D. (2007). Regular peanut consumption improves
296 plasma lipid levels in healthy Ghanaians. *International Journal of food Sciences*
297 *and Nutrition*, **58**(3), 190-200.

298 McGovern, P. E., Zhang, J., Tang, J., Zhang, Z., Hall, G. R., Moreau, R. A., Nunez, A., Butrym,
299 E. D., Richards, M. P. and Wang, C.(2004). Fermented beverages of pre- and proto-
300 historic China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **101**(51):17593–
301 17598.

302 Odenigbo, M. A., Asumugha, V. U, Ubbor, S., Nwauzor, C., Otuonye, A. C., Offia-Olua, B. I.
303 (2013). Proximate composition and consumption pattern of plantain and cooking
304 banana. *British Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **3**(4):1035-1043

305 Ojokoh, A. O. (2014). Proximate composition and antinutrient content of pumpkin (*Cucurbita*
306 *pepo*) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) flour blends fermented with *Lactobacillus*
307 *plantarum*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Ife Journal of Science* **16**(3): 1-
308 11.

309 Onoja, U. S. and Obizoba, I. C. (2009). Nutrient composition and organoleptic attributes of grael
310 based on fermented cereal, legume, tuber and root flour. *Agro-science Journal of*
311 *Tropical Agricultural and Food Environmental Extension*. **8**(3):162-168.

312 Opeke, L. K. (2006). Essential of crop farming. Spectrum Book Limited. Spectrum house Ring
313 Road, Ibadan, 81-84.

314 Osundahunsi, O. F. (2006). Functional properties of extruded soybean with plantain flour blends.
315 *Journal of Food and Agricultural Environment*, **4**(1): 75-60.

316 Perez-Navarrete, C., Gonzalez, R., ChelGuerrero, L. and Betancur-Ancona, D. (2006). Effect of
317 extrusion on nutritional quality of maize and lima bean flours blends. *Journal of*
318 *Science, Food and Agriculture*, **86** (14): 2477-2484.

319 Riaz Mian, N. (2000). *Extruders in Food Applications*. CRC Press. 193.

320 Seijo, G., Lavia, G. I., Fernández, A., Krapovickas, A., Ducasse, D. A., Bertioli, D. J., Moscone,
321 E. A. (2007). Genomic relationships between the cultivated peanut (*Arachis*
322 *hypogaea*, Leguminosae) and its close relatives revealed by double GISH.
323 *American Journal of Botany*, **94**:1963-1971.

- 324 Shodehinde, S. A., Oboh, G. (2013). Antioxidant properties of aqueous extract of unripe *Musa*
325 *paradisica* on sodium nitroprusside induced lipid peroxidation in rat pancreas in
326 vitro. *Asian Pacific. Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. **3**(6): 449-457.
- 327 Skinner, P. (2005). *Plantain and Banana*. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
- 328 USDA, (2013). United State Department of Agriculture (USDA).
- 329 Valmayor, R. V. Jamaluddin, S. H., Silayoi, B., Kusumo, S., Danh, L. D., Pascua, O. C. and
330 Espino, R. R. C. (2000). 'Banana Cultivar Names and Synonyms in Southeast
331 Asia'. *Bioversity international*. 55.
- 332 Yu, J., Ahmedna, M. and Goktepe, I. (2007). Peanut protein concentrates: production and
333 functional properties as affected by processing, *Food Chemistry*, **103**:121-129.
- 334 Zakpaa, H. D., Al-Hassan, A., Adubofour, J. (2010). An investigation into the feasibility of
335 production and characterization of starch from "Apantu" plantain (giant horn)
336 grown in Ghana. *African Journal of Food Science*, **4**(9):571-577. 5.

