

2  
3 **Influence of the nutritive composition on the**  
4 **organoleptic characters of cakes enriched with**  
5 **fruits almond of *Terminalia catappa***  
6

7  
8  
9  
10 **ABSTRACT**  
11

**Aims:** The study focuses the organoleptic trend according to the nutritive composition of cakes processed from wheat flour enriched with the almond flour of *T. catappa*, a plant growing in some regions of Côte d'Ivoire.

**Study design:** Nine formulations of cakes processed from addition of almond flour of *Terminalia catappa* to wheat flour and then submitted to nutrients and descriptive sensory analyses.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Laboratory of Biochemistry and Food Sciences, Biochemistry department of Biosciences Unit, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University, running 2015.

**Methodology:** The contents in nutriments, namely macronutrients, minerals (macroelements and oligoelements), vitamins, and polyphenol antioxidants of the enriched cakes were determined using standard methods and their sensory description achieved. Then, the influence between both types of characteristics was assessed through the Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) at  $\pm 0.5$  significance using statistical software SPSS.

**Results:** The cakes investigated recorded unvarious content in total carbohydrates, the major nutritive compound of the flours, whereas the other nutrients increased accordingly to the ratio incorporated for the almond flour of *T. catappa*. Oppositely, the sensory descriptors were responded with quasi-similar scores over the cake formulations. The correlation analysis mainly showed reduction of the cakes aroma during the growth of the nutrients, with  $r$  coefficients of  $-0.65$  to  $-0.54$ . Thus, the study shows no rather nutritional influence of the nutritive enrichment of cakes on the sensory profile.

**Conclusion:** The valorization of the cakes enriched with almonds of *T. catappa* could be sustained on the basis of their acceptance by consumers.

12  
13 *Keywords: Fruit almond - descriptive sensory analysis - nutrients - correlations - Terminalia*  
14 *catappa*  
15  
16  
17

18 **1. INTRODUCTION**  
19

20 Originally, cakes are mainly processed using wheat flour, to which eggs, sugar, milk, and  
21 sodium bicarbonate are often added. These products are widely enjoyed by the consumers  
22 of overall ages over the world [1]. However, numerous constraints in the provision of cakes  
23 are arising dealing with the demographic growth, nutrients requirements for healthy and  
24 good quality life, industrial technologies, inconstancy of the supply and access to the wheat  
25 flour, as well as the research of new attractive flavours for consumers. Such imperatives  
26 enhance the researches and uses of new flour resources from starchy raw products  
27 recording higher nutritious profile. Thus, an increasing interest focusses the non- traditional

28 plants displaying some assets for both local development of populations and processing  
29 industries [2]. Successfully, numerous technologies implemented to substitute the wheat  
30 flour by local starchy food resources, namely cassava, maize, taro, and sweet potato are  
31 known henceforth [3, 4]. In this investigation field, several reports reveal fruit almond of  
32 *Terminalia catappa* L. as significant nutritious raw product with important contents in  
33 proteins, lipids, fibres, vitamins and essential minerals [5, 6, 7]. In addition, Matos *et al.* [8]  
34 mentioned the great presence of unsaturated fatty acids in these almonds, especially the  
35 oleic acid and linoleic acid (omega 6). These almonds are often consumed as appetizers [9]  
36 and can be used to strengthen the quality of starchy products displaying nutritional  
37 deficiencies. For this valorization, the use of the almond flour or the ground almond resulting  
38 from fruits of *T. catappa* for fortification of the wheat flour in the cakes processing has been  
39 successfully achieved by Douati *et al.* [10]. According to these authors, the cakes enriched  
40 with the flour of *T. catappa* is richer in nutrients compared to the cakes prepared with the  
41 only wheat flour basis. The nutrients enriched cakes are generally enjoyed by consumers,  
42 whatever the ratio of the *T. catappa* flour added [11]. However, the influence of the  
43 nutritional characteristics upon the sensory parameters dealing with the acceptance of these  
44 cakes by populations is not highlighted yet. The main objective of the current study is to  
45 assess the correlations between sensory descriptors and nutrients contents resulting from  
46 the cakes processed by fortifying the wheat flour with the *T. catappa*.

## 48 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 50 2.1 Material

51 The biological material was constituted of mature dried fruits of *T. catappa*. The flour deriving  
52 from the grinding of these fruits' almonds was used for fortification of cakes processed on  
53 wheat flour basis.

### 54 2.2 Methods

#### 55 2.2.1 Sampling

56 The dried fruits of *T. catappa* were collected from farmers in the Tonkpi and Guemon  
57 regions, western Côte d'Ivoire, between October and December 2015. The sampling was  
58 implemented in the cities of Man and Danane (Tonkpi) and Duekoue (Guemon). Per  
59 location, three producers were considered, at the rate of 60 kg of dried fruits. At all 540 kg of  
60 dried fruits of *T. catappa* were purchased and conveyed to the laboratory for the works.

#### 61 2.2.2 Grinding of the dried fruits almonds of *T. catappa*

62 The dry fruits of *T. catappa* have been broken and their almonds extracted and dried again  
63 at 50 °C for 48 h in an oven (MEMMERT, Germany). Thereafter, the dried almonds were  
64 cooled at room temperature, ground with Magimix grinder, and processed on a range of 5  
65 successive sifters, namely 0.4, 0.36, 0.25, 0.14 and 0.1 mm diameters, leading to 5 sets of  
66 flours with various grain sizes. These flour sets were sealed into polyethylene bags and kept  
67 in desiccator until the cakes preparation.

#### 68 2.2.3 Formulations of the composite flours

69 A central composite design (CCD) was used accounting two quantitative grinding  
70 descriptors, namely the ratio of the *T. catappa* ground product added to the wheat flour (5%  
71 to 10%) and the size of the ground product particles (0.1 mm to 0.4 mm), each trait engaging  
72 five levels (- $\alpha$ , -1, 0, +1, and + $\alpha$ ) [10, 11]. Considering Plackett and Burman instructions  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80

81 (1946), the combination of the levels of both factors studied led to the implementation of 11  
 82 essays that really corresponded to 9 formulas, since three essays (essays 9, 10, and 11)  
 83 presented the same proportions and sizes of the ground product used (table I).

84

#### 85 **2.2.4 Preparation of the cakes**

86

87 For the cakes processing, only the rate of *T. catappa* flour added was considered as  
 88 quantitative variable, the particles size being planned for the qualitative appreciation of the  
 89 final product. So, the nine formulas allowed preparation of 5 cakes with various rates of *T.*  
 90 *catappa* flour, after gathering the formulas engaging similar ratios of flour (table II). Each  
 91 cake was prepared using 100 g of total flour (baker wheat flour added with almond flour),  
 92 64.4 g sugar, 75 fresh eggs, 60 g butter, and 1.25 g baker yeast [12]. The weights added for  
 93 the almond flour processed from *T. catappa* fruits have accounted the cakes formulations  
 94 expected (table III).

95 Using a Kenwood tool (Kenwood Chief - Model A910D), the sugar was whitened in the egg  
 96 content at a rate of 240 rpm for one min. Then, the baker wheat flour and yeast were added  
 97 and the mixture was homogenized at 300 rpm for five min. Thereafter, the *T. catappa* flour  
 98 samples were added to this mixture and treated at 240 rpm for one min. Finally, the butter  
 99 was added and the full mixture homogenized at 300 rpm for four min. The resulting doughs  
 100 were carefully run into oiled cake moulds, and then cooked for 45 min into an oven  
 101 previously heated at 150 °C. After cooking, the cakes were cooled at room temperature,  
 102 moulded, wrapped in tinfoil and kept in dry place till analyses

103

104

105

106 **Table I.** Formulation matrix deriving from the combination between the ratio and the particles  
 107 size of the flour produced from *T. catappa* using the composite central design

| Essay N° | Ratio of <i>T. catappa</i> flour /100 g total flour (%) | Particles sizes from <i>T. catappa</i> flour (mm) | Resulting formulations |
|----------|---|---|------------------------|
| 1        | 5   | 0.1   | F1                     |
| 2        | 9.25  | 0.14  | F2                     |
| 3        | 5.75  | 0.36  | F3                     |
| 4        | 9.25  | 0.36  | F4                     |
| 5        | 5   | 0.25  | F5                     |
| 6        | 10  | 0.25  | F6                     |
| 7        | 7.5   | 0.1   | F7                     |
| 8        | 7.5   | 0.4   | F8                     |
| 9        | 7.5   | 0.25  |                        |
| 10       | 7.5   | 0.25  | F9                     |
| 11       | 7.5   | 0.25  |                        |

108

109

110 **Table II.** Gathering of cakes formulations according to the ratio of *T. catappa* flour added

| Formulations | Sizes of particles (mm) | Ratio <i>T. catappa</i> flour/100 g total flour (%) | Number of Cakes |
|--------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|
| F1           | 0.1                     | 5   | Cake 1          |
| F5           | 0.25                    | 5   |                 |
| F2           | 0.14                    | 9.25  | Cake 2          |

|           |      |      |               |
|-----------|------|------|---------------|
| <b>F4</b> | 0.36 | 9.25 |               |
| <b>F3</b> | 0.36 | 5.75 | <b>Cake 3</b> |
| <b>F6</b> | 0.25 | 10   | <b>Cake 4</b> |
| <b>F7</b> | 0.1  | 7.5  |               |
| <b>F8</b> | 0.4  | 7.5  | <b>Cake 5</b> |
| <b>F9</b> | 0.25 | 7.5  |               |

111  
112  
113  
114

**Table III.** Ingredients composition for formulations of cakes enriched with *T. catappa* almonds

| <b>Ingredients</b>       | <b>Cake 1</b> | <b>Cake 2</b> | <b>Cake 3</b> | <b>Cake 4</b> | <b>Cake 5</b> | <b>Control</b> |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| <b>Wheat flour (g)</b>   | 95            | 90.75         | 94.25         | 90            | 92.5          | 100            |
| <b>Almond powder (g)</b> | 5             | 9.25          | 5.75          | 10            | 7.5           | 0              |
| <b>Total flour (g)</b>   | <b>100</b>    | <b>100</b>    | <b>100</b>    | <b>100</b>    | <b>100</b>    | <b>100</b>     |
| <b>Sugar (g)</b>         | 64.4          | 64.4          | 64.4          | 64.4          | 64.4          | 64.4           |
| <b>Fresh eggs (g)</b>    | 75            | 75            | 75            | 75            | 75            | 75             |
| <b>Butter (g)</b>        | 60            | 60            | 60            | 60            | 60            | 60             |
| <b>Baking powder (g)</b> | 1.25          | 1.25          | 1.25          | 1.25          | 1.25          | 1.25           |

115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125

### **2.2.5 Assessment of the nutritive components of the cakes enriched with *T. catappa***

The enriched cakes prepared were investigated for the nutritive traits. Thus, the residual moisture rate was determined as well as the contents in glucides (total carbohydrates, soluble carbohydrates, reducing sugars, fibres), proteins, fat, ash, polyphenol compounds (total polyphenols and flavonoids), energy, vitamins, and essential mineral elements.

#### ***2.2.5.1 Determination of the contents in biochemical compounds***

The moisture and ash rates were measured with thermo-gravimetric methods [13]. Proteins and lipids contents were determined using respective methods for total nitrogen with Kjeldhal technique and solvent extraction with Soxhlet device. The determination of fibres content was achieved according to Wolf [14] using sulfuric acid 0.25 N. The moisture, proteins, fat, and fibres contents allowed the calculation of total carbohydrates according to FAO [14]. The soluble carbohydrates were measured using phenol-sulfuric method [16], whereas the reducing sugars content were determined with 2, 4 - dinitro salicylic acid [17]. The theoretical energy value of the studied cakes was then calculated accounting the energy coefficient of energizing macronutrients (proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates) mentioned by FAO [18].

135

#### ***2.2.5.2 Quantification of polyphenol compounds***

136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142

Regarding phenolics antioxidants, the total polyphenols were assessed in cakes using folin-ciocalteu reagent [19, 20]. The flavonoid content was thus deduced from total polyphenols according to Marinova *et al.* [21].

#### ***2.2.5.3 Assessment of essential mineral elements and vitamins***

143

144 The main essential minerals, namely macroelements (K, Ca, Mg, Na, P, and Na) and oligo  
145 elements (Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn), were assessed from the cakes ash samples, using  
146 electronic microscope apparatus coupled to an energy dispersion spectrophotometer (SDE).  
147 Regarding vitamins, the measures were separately performed for lipo-soluble compounds  
148 (vitamins A and E) and hydro-soluble compounds (vitamins B1, B3, B6, and B9) using high  
149 performance liquid chromatography method (Water Alliance, USA) constituted of a Waters  
150 pump, an automatic injector, an UV/PDA visible detector, a Servotrace recording; in  
151 operative conditions relating to the sounded vitamin.

152

### 153 **2.2.6 Assessment of sensory descriptors from the cakes enriched with *T. catappa***

154

155 The sensory assessment of the cakes was achieved from 10 volunteer tasters previously  
156 taught for the sensory analysis and appreciation methodology regarding selected descriptors  
157 (aroma, aspect, flavour, and texture), trained about the taste areas of the tongue areas and  
158 accustomed to the cakes [22]. Panelists were then requested to taste different cakes  
159 samples displaying three digits codes representing the studied formulations (F1, F2, F3, F4,  
160 F5, F6, F7, F8, and F9) and provided in random order. The practice consisted in scoring the  
161 perceptive intensity of each sensory descriptor on a 10 points rating scale where 1  
162 expressed the lack of perception and 10 the full presence of the descriptor [23].

163

164

### 165 **2.2.7 Statistical analysis**

166

167 The data were submitted to statistical treatment using Statistical Program for Social  
168 Sciences software (SPSS 22.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc.). Each descriptor was given mean,  
169 standard deviation, and variance factors (F-value and P-value) at 5% significance. Then, the  
170 bilateral statistical correlations were revealed through Pearson r indexes between sensory  
171 descriptors and nutrients in formulated cakes.

172

173

## 174 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

175

### 176 **3.1 Changes in the nutritive composition of the cakes**

177

178 The biochemical and polyphenol characteristics of the studied cakes are reported in table IV.  
179 Total carbohydrates and the reducing sugars display unvarious contents (P-value > 0.05)  
180 from overall cakes, with respective general average of 55.43 and 0.015 g/100 g. The other  
181 parameters show statistically different contents (P-value <0.05) according to the cakes. On  
182 average, the cakes contains 6.45% residual moisture, with respective contents in total  
183 soluble carbohydrates, proteins, and fat of 0.33 g/100 g, 11.56 g/100 g, and 27.95 g/100 g,  
184 and could allow the production of 528.44 kcal energy. In addition, averages of 5.30 g fibres,  
185 6.55 g ash, and 342.23 mg Gallic acid equivalent as polyphenol compounds accounting  
186 14.32 mg flavonoid in quercetin equivalent are recovered from 100 g cakes (table IV).

187

188 **Table IV:** Nutritive composition of the cakes enriched with *T. catappa* almonds

| Characteristics           | Cake 1      | Cake 2      | Cake 3      | Cake 4      | Cake 5      | General mean       | Control     | F-value  | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| <b>MOI</b> (%)            | 6.40±0.01   | 6.53±0.02   | 6.40±0.01   | 6.55±0.02   | 6.50±0.01   | <b>6.45±0.25</b>   | 6.20±0.01   | 201.121  | <0.001  |
| <b>TGC</b> (g/100 g)      | 55.36±0.07  | 55.51±0.23  | 55.38±0.27  | 55.54±0.21  | 55.44±0.32  | <b>55.43±0.20</b>  | 55.16±0.07  | 0.22     | 0.95    |
| <b>TSC</b> (g/100 g)      | 0.26±0.01   | 0.40±0.01   | 0.28±0.01   | 0.42±0.01   | 0.34±0.01   | <b>0.33±0.16</b>   | 0.1±0.01    | 167.19   | <0.001  |
| <b>RSC</b> (g/100 g)      | 0.01±0.01   | 0.02±0.01   | 0.01±0.01   | 0.02±0.01   | 0.02±0.01   | <b>0.015±0.01</b>  | 0.01±0.01   | 1.318    | 0.32    |
| <b>PRC</b> (g/100 g)      | 10.70±0.09  | 12.02±0.02  | 10.92±0.03  | 12.25±0.02  | 11.47±0.02  | <b>11.56±1.12</b>  | 9.13±0.02   | 343.93   | <0.001  |
| <b>FAC</b> (g/100 g)      | 26.77±0.01  | 29.10±0.01  | 27.17±0.01  | 29.50±0.01  | 28.14±0.02  | <b>27.95±1.05</b>  | 24.03±0.01  | 15518.03 | <0.001  |
| <b>SFC</b> (g/100g)       | 5.14±0.01   | 5.35±0.02   | 5.17±0.01   | 5.40±0.01   | 5.30±0.01   | <b>5.30±0.84</b>   | 4.88±0.02   | 120.3    | <0.001  |
| <b>ASC</b> (g/100g)       | 6.35±0.07   | 6.62±0.01   | 6.40±0.02   | 6.62±0.03   | 6.50±0.02   | <b>6.55±0.36</b>   | 6.08±0.02   | 140.51   | <0.001  |
| <b>TEV</b> (Kcal/100 g)   | 505.17±0.01 | 532.02±0.03 | 509.73±0.01 | 536.66±0.01 | 520.90±0.01 | <b>528.44±9.63</b> | 473.43±0.02 | 4328.14  | <0.001  |
| <b>TPC</b> (mg EAG/100 g) | 316.4±0.01  | 347.34±0.01 | 321.86±0.02 | 352.8±0.01  | 334.6±0.01  | <b>342.23±9.05</b> | 280±0.01    | 9.94     | <0.001  |
| <b>FLC</b> (mg EQ/100 g)  | 12.5±0.01   | 14.62±0.01  | 12.87±0.01  | 15±0.01     | 13.75±0.01  | <b>14.32±0.54</b>  | 10±0.01     | 5.87     | <0.001  |

189 **ake 1** (F1 and F5): enriched with 5% almond powder; **Cake 2** (F2 and F4): enriched with 9.25% almond powder; **Cake 3** (F3): enriched with 5.75% almond powder; **Cake 4** (F6):  
 190 enriched with 10% almond powder; **Cake 5** (F7, F8 and F9): enriched with 7.5% almond powder. **F-value**, statistical Fisher value of the ANOVA; **P-value**, statistical value of  
 191 probability test of the ANOVA. **MOI**, moisture content; **TGC**, total glucides content; **TSC**, total soluble carbohydrates content; **RSC**, reducing sugar content; **PRC**, protein  
 192 content; **FAC**, fat content; **SFC**, soluble fibre content; **ASC**, ash content; **TEN**, total energy value; **TPC**, total polyphenols content; **FLC**, flavonoids content.  
 193

194 With the essential minerals, the cakes record macroelements contents between 41.08 mg/100 g (Na)  
195 to 588.18 mg/100 g (K) and oligoelements contents from 4 mg/100 g (Zn) to 13.23 mg/100 g (Cu).  
196 Except for Na, each mineral content varies significantly (P-value < 0.05) according to the cakes  
197 formulation (table V). Vitamins contents also fluctuate (P-value <0.05) according to the types of cakes.  
198 Table V displays general average of 159.88 Retinol Equivalent/100 g (vitamin A), 1.05 mg/100 g  
199 (vitamin E), 0.46 mg/100 g (vitamin B1), 7.95 mg/100 g (vitamin B3), 0.38 mg/100 g (vitamin B6) and  
200 0.05 mg/100 g (vitamin B9).

201  
202

### 203 **3.2 Changes in the sensory descriptors of the enriched cakes**

204

205 The sensory descriptors are rated with close similar values from the overall cakes formulations (table  
206 VI), except for the appearance of the crumb which intensity is more recorded in the formula F3 but  
207 lower in formulas F2 and F6. The silky texture, aroma, greasy sensory, and especially sweet flavour of  
208 the cakes crumb display more intensive perceptions (respective average of 7.24/10, 7.34/10, 7.73/10,  
209 and 8.62/10) compared to the crumbly texture (3.46/10), moisture sensory (3.75/10), appearance  
210 (5.17/10), and airy texture (6.58).

211  
212

### 213 **3.3 Main correlations related to the sensory profile of the enriched cakes**

214

215 The Pearson r indexes presented in table VII show only negative values for significant correlations  
216 between the perception intensity of aroma and the main macronutrients contents of cakes. The  
217 significant r values fluctuate between - 0.63 and - 0.55. The other sensory descriptors do not show  
218 any significant correlation with the nutrients assessed.

219 For correlations regarding sensory profile and mineral elements contents, the statistical analysis  
220 results in significant reduction of the aroma intensity according to the macroelements contents (Ca,  
221 Mg, Na, K, P), with negative r values between -0.65 and -0.60.

222 The table VIII also shows significant negative influence of Fe and Zn (-0.62 and -0.61, respectively) on  
223 the perception of aroma, whereas any increase in the copper content results in reduction of the  
224 perception for the crumb appearance of cakes ( $r = -0.60$ ).

225 Otherwise, the enrichment in vitamins A, E, B3 and B9 induces the loss of perception of the aroma,  
226 with r indexes from -0.63 to -0.54. The cake crumb gets lower appearance with increasing contents in  
227 vitamins A ( $r = -0.51$ ) and E ( $r = -0.53$ ), when the moisture is also no rather felt in cakes having more  
228 vitamin E content ( $r = -0.50$ ). The other sensory traits do not display any significant correlation with the  
229 cakes vitamins contents (table IX).

230 Some significant correlations are also recorded between the sensory descriptors assessed. Indeed,  
231 the sweet flavour is more felt in cakes presenting more intensive crumb appearance ( $r = 0.60$ ).  
232 Besides, when the crumb shows greater silky texture, the feeling of greasiness and moisture are more  
233 rated ( $r = 0.74$  and  $r = 0.52$ , respectively).

234 Oppositely, the cakes crumbs display lower greasy and moisture traits in formulations with major  
235 crumbly texture ( $r = 0.75$ ), which sensory parameter is negatively correlated to the silky texture ( $r = -$   
236  $0.58$ ). More greasy and silky crumbs are also in opposition with the airy texture ( $r = -0.56$  and  $r = -$   
237  $0.64$ , respectively), as shown in table X.

238  
239  
240

**Table V:** Essential minerals and vitamins contents recorded from the enriched cakes studied

|                    | Parameters        | Cake 1     | Cake 2      | Cake 3      | Cake 4      | Cake 5           | General mean        | Control     | F-value   | P-value |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Essential minerals | K (mg/100 g)      | 560.2±0.02 | 607.7±0.01  | 568.6±0.10  | 616.2±0.01  | 588.20±0.02      | <b>588.18±22.36</b> | 532.3±0.10  | 852701.57 | <0.001  |
|                    | P (mg/100 g)      | 524.6±0.10 | 544.5±0.10  | 528.06±0.02 | 548.1±0.01  | 536.33±0.06      | <b>536.32±9.39</b>  | 512.8±0.10  | 92806.23  | <0.001  |
|                    | Mg (mg/100 g)     | 148.1±0.01 | 155.6±0.02  | 149.36±0.02 | 156.93±0.01 | 152.48±0.01      | <b>152.49±3.53</b>  | 143.69±0.01 | 369140.2  | <0.001  |
|                    | Ca (mg/100 g)     | 69.51±0.03 | 75.48±0.01  | 70.52±0.01  | 76.58±0.01  | 73.48±0.02       | <b>73.11±2.83</b>   | 65.97±0.01  | 227333.28 | <0.001  |
|                    | S (mg/100 g)      | 48.83±0.01 | 50.10±0.01  | 49.10±0.03  | 52.81±0.02  | 49.91±0.01       | <b>49.25±1.32</b>   | 45.8±0.02   | 321.64    | <0.001  |
|                    | Na (mg/100 g)     | 40.72±0.01 | 41.8±0.02   | 40.91±0.01  | 42±0.01     | 40.91±0.01       | <b>41.08±3.23</b>   | 40±0.02     | 1         | 0.458   |
|                    | Cu (mg/100 g)     | 12.7±0.01  | 13.10±0.01  | 12.10±0.01  | 14.21±0.01  | 13.01±0.01       | <b>13.23±0.53</b>   | 9.7±0.01    | 215.12    | <0.001  |
|                    | Mn (mg/100 g)     | 8.87±0.03  | 9.21±0.01   | 8.95±0.01   | 10.21±0.02  | 9.01±0.01        | <b>9.02±1.13</b>    | 5.10±0.01   | 521.56    | <0.001  |
|                    | Fe (mg/100 g)     | 4.38±0.01  | 4.65±0.01   | 4.43±0.01   | 4.7±0.01    | 4.54±0.01        | <b>4.54±0.13</b>    | 4.22±0.01   | 964.4     | <0.001  |
| Zn (mg/100 g)      | 4±0.01            | 4±0.02     | 4±0.02      | 4.00±0.02   | 4.00±0.01   | <b>4.00±0.13</b> | 3.58±0.01           | 420.48      | <0.001    |         |
| vitamins           | Vit A (ER/100 g)  | 119.8±0.03 | 196.60±0.02 | 121.21±0.02 | 237.2±0.01  | 124.6±0.02       | <b>159.88±50.01</b> | 114.87±0.24 | 808478.16 | <0.001  |
|                    | Vit E (mg/100 g)  | 1.03±0.00  | 1.07±0.00   | 1.03±0.00   | 1.07±0.00   | 1.05±0.00        | <b>1.05±0.02</b>    | 1.00±0.00   | 1701.57   | <0.001  |
|                    | Vit B1 (mg/100 g) | 0.46±0.00  | 0.46±0.00   | 0.46±0.00   | 0.46±0.00   | 0.46±0.00        | <b>0.46±0.00</b>    | 0.46±0.00   | 3.31      | 0.042   |
|                    | Vit B3 (mg/100 g) | 7.93±0.00  | 7.97±0.00   | 7.95±0.00   | 7.97±0.00   | 7.96±0.00        | <b>7.95±0.02</b>    | 7.25±0.00   | 253154.9  | <0.001  |
|                    | Vit B6 (mg/100 g) | 0.38±0.00  | 0.38±0.00   | 0.38±0.00   | 0.38±0.00   | 0.38±0.00        | <b>0.38±0.00</b>    | 0.38±0.00   | 12.88     | <0.001  |
|                    | Vit B9 (mg/100 g) | 0.05±0.00  | 0.05±0.00   | 0.05±0.00   | 0.05±0.00   | 0.05±0.00        | <b>0.05±0.00</b>    | 0.05±0.00   | 3.53      | 0.034   |

242 **Cake 1** (F1 and F5): enriched with 5% almond powder; **Cake 2** (F2 and F4): enriched with 9.25% almond powder; **Cake 3** (F3): enriched with 5.75% almond powder; **Cake 4**  
 243 (F6): enriched with 10% almond powder; **Cake 5** (F7, F8 and F9): enriched with 7.5% almond powder. **F-value**, statistical Fisher value of the ANOVA; **P-value**, statistical value  
 244 of probability test of the ANOVA.  
 245

247 **Table VI:** Quantitative profile of sensory descriptors of the cakes enriched with T. catappa almond flour (10 points rating scale)

| Cakes                | Formulations | CRUAP            | CRUAE            | CRUST            | CRUTE            | CRUSF            | CRUGF            | CRUMO            | CRUAR            |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1                    | F1           | 4.23±3.58        | 6.69±2.71        | 5.62±4.06        | 3.6±3.17         | 8.25±1.83        | 6.85±2.79        | 4.38±3.44        | 8.61±1.78        |
|                      | F5           | 5.57±3.29        | 5.77±3.11        | 8.61±1.74        | 2.96±2.64        | 8.22±2.71        | 8.38±2.27        | 4.07±3.36        | 7.47±3.21        |
| 2                    | F2           | 3.3±2.96         | 7.55±3.24        | 5.79±3.35        | 4.66±3.45        | 7.91±3.27        | 6.34±3.61        | 2.04±1.85        | 7.41±3.38        |
|                      | F4           | 4.91±3.29        | 6.5±3.41         | 6.68±3.10        | 4.62±3.47        | 8.75±1.39        | 7.9±2.54         | 2.81±2.40        | 5.35±3.90        |
| 3                    | F3           | 8.11±1.98        | 6.52±3.27        | 7.24±3.00        | 3.95±3.50        | 9.1±1.17         | 6.88±2.66        | 3.89±3.01        | 7.89±3.13        |
| 4                    | F6           | 3.94±3.57        | 6.64±3.15        | 8.44±1.93        | 2.76±3.90        | 8.7±2.10         | 8.21±2.76        | 4.64±3.16        | 6.4±4.13         |
| 5                    | F7           | 3.65±3.80        | 5.99±2.84        | 7.98±2.13        | 3.3±2.64         | 8.89±1.54        | 8.07±2.17        | 3.73±3.13        | 8.44±2.04        |
|                      | F8           | 6.33±2.46        | 7.11±3.16        | 7.53±3.27        | 3.36±3.41        | 8.9±1.64         | 8.17±3.26        | 4.09±3.48        | 8.15±2.53        |
|                      | F9           | 6.22±3.21        | 6.48±2.94        | 7.27±2.65        | 1.9±2.93         | 8.85±1.75        | 8.78±1.94        | 4.08±4.27        | 6.3±3.76         |
| <b>General Means</b> |              | <b>5.17±3.37</b> | <b>6.58±2.99</b> | <b>7.24±2.94</b> | <b>3.46±3.22</b> | <b>8.62±1.98</b> | <b>7.73±2.71</b> | <b>3.75±3.14</b> | <b>7.34±3.22</b> |
| <b>F-value</b>       |              | 02.42            | 0.29             | 1.34             | 0.74             | 0.38             | 0.94             | 0.66             | 1.18             |
| <b>P-value</b>       |              | 0.02             | 0.97             | 0.23             | 0.66             | 0.93             | 0.49             | 0.73             | 0.32             |

248 **CRUAP**, crumb appearance; **CRUAE**, crumb aeration; **CRUST**, crumb silky texture; **CRUTE**, crumbly texture; **CRUSF**, crumb sweet flavor; **CRUGF**, crumb greasy feeling;  
 249 **CRUMO**, crumb moisture; **CRUAR**, crumb aroma. **F-value**, statistical Fischer value of the ANOVA; **P-value**, statistical value of probability test of the ANOVA.

250

251

252 **Table VII:** Pearson r indexes showing correlations between sensory descriptors and  
 253 macronutrients and polyphenols contents of the cakes enriched with almond flour of *T.*  
 254 *catappa*

|              | TGC          | TSC          | RSC   | PRC          | FAC          | SFC          | ASC          | MOI          | TEV          | TPC          | FLC          |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>CRUAP</b> | -0.48        | -0.47        | -0.40 | -0.46        | -0.46        | -0.44        | -0.45        | -0.48        | -0.46        | -0.46        | -0.46        |
| <b>CRUAE</b> | 0.45         | 0.45         | 0.36  | 0.45         | 0.45         | 0.41         | 0.48         | 0.41         | 0.45         | 0.45         | 0.45         |
| <b>CRUST</b> | 0.00         | -0.01        | 0.06  | 0.00         | 0.01         | 0.07         | -0.06        | 0.05         | 0.00         | 0.01         | 0.01         |
| <b>CRUTE</b> | 0.20         | 0.20         | -0.04 | 0.18         | 0.18         | 0.06         | 0.26         | 0.05         | 0.18         | 0.18         | 0.18         |
| <b>CRUSF</b> | 0.00         | 0.02         | 0.18  | 0.04         | 0.04         | 0.13         | 0.02         | 0.08         | 0.04         | 0.05         | 0.05         |
| <b>CRUGF</b> | 0.07         | 0.09         | 0.33  | 0.09         | 0.09         | 0.21         | 0.04         | 0.23         | 0.09         | 0.09         | 0.09         |
| <b>CRUMO</b> | -0.41        | -0.43        | -0.34 | -0.41        | -0.41        | -0.34        | <b>-0.51</b> | -0.36        | -0.41        | -0.41        | -0.41        |
| <b>CRUAR</b> | <b>-0.63</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | -0.45 | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.57</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.55</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.62</b> |

255 **Table VIII:** Pearson r indexes showing correlations between sensory descriptors and  
 256 minerals elements contents of the cakes enriched with almond flour of *T. catappa*  
 257

|              | Ca           | Mg           | Na           | K            | P            | S     | Fe           | Zn           | Mn    | Cu           |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| <b>CRUAP</b> | -0.44        | -0.46        | -0.47        | -0.46        | -0.46        | -0.39 | -0.45        | -0.48        | -0.38 | <b>-0.60</b> |
| <b>CRUAE</b> | 0.44         | 0.45         | 0.44         | 0.45         | 0.45         | 0.23  | 0.45         | 0.49         | 0.20  | 0.18         |
| <b>CRUST</b> | 0.03         | 0.01         | -0.10        | 0.01         | 0.01         | 0.33  | 0.01         | -0.38        | 0.30  | 0.28         |
| <b>CRUTE</b> | 0.13         | 0.18         | 0.39         | 0.18         | 0.18         | -0.19 | 0.18         | 0.35         | -0.12 | -0.24        |
| <b>CRUSF</b> | 0.09         | 0.04         | -0.17        | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.15  | 0.05         | -0.38        | 0.05  | -0.09        |
| <b>CRUGF</b> | 0.14         | 0.09         | -0.15        | 0.09         | 0.09         | 0.26  | 0.09         | -0.34        | 0.15  | 0.35         |
| <b>CRUMO</b> | -0.39        | -0.41        | -0.46        | -0.41        | -0.41        | 0.12  | -0.41        | -0.46        | 0.15  | 0.11         |
| <b>CRUAR</b> | <b>-0.60</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.65</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.62</b> | -0.48 | <b>-0.62</b> | <b>-0.61</b> | -0.47 | -0.46        |

258 **Table IX:** Pearson r indexes showing correlations between sensory descriptors and vitamins  
 259 contents of the cakes enriched with almond flour of *T. catappa*  
 260

|              | vit A        | vit E        | vit B1 | vit B3       | vit B6 | vit B9       |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| <b>CRUAP</b> | <b>-0.51</b> | <b>-0.53</b> | -0.24  | -0.24        | -0.17  | -0.48        |
| <b>CRUAE</b> | 0.38         | 0.46         | 0.40   | 0.46         | 0.36   | 0.49         |
| <b>CRUST</b> | -0.02        | -0.08        | -0.42  | 0.00         | -0.49  | -0.38        |
| <b>CRUTE</b> | 0.29         | 0.25         | 0.47   | 0.20         | 0.41   | 0.45         |
| <b>CRUSF</b> | -0.21        | -0.08        | -0.39  | 0.26         | -0.14  | -0.38        |
| <b>CRUGF</b> | -0.10        | 0.06         | -0.44  | 0.08         | -0.16  | -0.34        |
| <b>CRUMO</b> | -0.32        | <b>-0.50</b> | -0.45  | -0.46        | -0.45  | -0.46        |
| <b>CRUAR</b> | <b>-0.63</b> | <b>-0.63</b> | -0.33  | <b>-0.54</b> | -0.23  | <b>-0.61</b> |

261 *Bold values are significant correlations. CRUAP, crumb appearance; CRUAE, crumb aeration;*  
 262 *CRUST, crumb silky texture; CRUTE, crumbly texture; CRUSF, crumb sweet flavor; CRUGF, crumb*  
 263 *greasy feeling; CRUMO, crumb moisture; CRUAR, crumb aroma. TGC, total glucides content ; TSC,*  
 264 *total soluble carbohydrates content ; RSC, reducing sugar content ; PRC, protein content ; FAC, fat*  
 265 *content ; SFC, soluble fibre content ; ASC, ash content ; TEN, total energy value ; TPC, total*  
 266 *polyphenols content ; FLC, flavonoids content, MOI, moisture content. Vit, vitamins.*  
 267

268  
269  
270

**Table X:** Pearson r indexes showing correlations between sensory descriptors of the cakes enriched with almond flour of *T. catappa*

|       | CRUAP       | CRUAE        | CRUST        | CRUTE        | CRUSF | CRUGF | CRUMO | CRUAR |
|-------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| CRUAP | 1           |              |              |              |       |       |       |       |
| CRUAE | -0.16       | 1            |              |              |       |       |       |       |
| CRUST | 0.20        | <b>-0.64</b> | 1            |              |       |       |       |       |
| CRUTE | -0.19       | 0.43         | <b>-0.58</b> | 1            |       |       |       |       |
| CRUSF | <b>0.60</b> | -0.28        | 0.41         | -0.29        | 1     |       |       |       |
| CRUGF | 0.16        | <b>-0.56</b> | <b>0.74</b>  | <b>-0.75</b> | 0.44  | 1     |       |       |
| CRUMO | 0.30        | -0.45        | <b>0.52</b>  | <b>-0.75</b> | 0.40  | 0.49  | 1     |       |
| CRUAR | 0.01        | 0.01         | -0.10        | 0.02         | -0.10 | -0.38 | 0.24  | 1     |

271 *Bold values are significant correlations. vit, vitamin; CRUAP, crumb appearance; CRUAE, crumb*  
272 *aeration; CRUST, crumb silky texture; CRUTE, crumbly texture; CRUSF, crumb sweet flavor; CRUGF,*  
273 *crumb greasy feeling; CRUMO, crumb moisture; CRUAR, crumb aroma.*

274  
275  
276

## Discussion

277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289

Searching alternatives to the wheat flour through valorization of flours deriving from commonly consumed local products as cassava, yam, maize, and sweet potato is getting ahead [24, 3]. These perspectives strengthen the hypothesis of substitution of wheat flour or significant reduction of its rate during production of pastries without any loss of their nutritional quality [25, 26]. The incorporation of ground almond of *T. catappa* stated by Douati *et al.* [11] into the wheat flour basis pastries also allows increase in nutritive potential of the formulated products. Indeed, the addition of *T. catappa* powder provided more nutritional features (biochemical compounds, vitamins, mineral, and antioxidants) to cakes compared to the use of only wheat flour. Otherwise, the increase in the ratio of the added almond flour provided more significant nutrients to cakes. This technology is advantageous in *T. catappa* fruits valorization in food industry against nutritional hazards and therefore for promoting such a plant [27, 28].

290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296

Thanks to this enrichment, the cakes could contribute in nutritional needs recovery and could even be classified as functional food since they account significant polyphenols antioxidant content. Also, Van Aardt *et al.* [29] reported the presence of unsaturated fatty acids (omega 3 and omega 6) in *T. catappa* almonds, necessary for strengthening the antioxidant activity in the organism. The consumption of cakes enriched with the almond flour of this plant could therefore help in the struggle against physiological functional concerns as cancer, cardiovascular and degenerative diseases and the precocious ageing [30, 31].

297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307

Except the crumb appearance, the sensory descriptors of the formulated cakes do not show any rather change whatever the enrichment rate. Thus, the appreciation of foods enriched with *T. catappa* almond could be independent from the amount of this ingredient added. The weak influence of new flours in the preparation of cakes has also been revealed from the works of Karaoglu and Kotancilar [32]. The enriched cakes keep their organoleptic quality, compared to the total substitution of wheat by the flour processed from soya or banana [33, 34]. Besides, they are appreciated for the sweet taste and the greasiness, which characteristics are usually expected for good quality cakes [35]. In fact, the lower influence of the studied almond flour on the organoleptic traits could be a positive trend for the industrial environment because, in this case, the nutritional satisfaction of the consumers coincides with the preservation of the sensory pleasure, for lack of improving it.

308 The aroma is the main sensory descriptor significantly correlated to the biochemical and  
309 nutritional properties of the cakes. It was felt in contrary intensity with the nutrients contents.  
310 Similar correlations between the aroma feeling and the contents in common salt (food salt)  
311 and the vitamin A has been respectively reported by Gillis [36] and Causse *et al.* [37].  
312 According to these authors, the increase of salt logically confers salty taste and strengthens  
313 the appearance and the texture of cheeses but hides their aromas and flavours, when the  
314 fortification in the vitamin A ( $\beta$ - carotene) lightens the aromas from tomatoes. Yet, in  
315 previous studies, Douati *et al.* [11] revealed that overall formulated cakes enriched with *T.*  
316 *catappa* almond are enjoyed by consumers. Although decreasing with the increase of the  
317 nutrients contents resulted from the addition of almond flour, the aroma doesn't significantly  
318 impede the final appreciation of the cakes. The decrease of the cakes aroma from the  
319 increase in their nutritional value could even be considered as advantageous trend for the  
320 valorization of cakes enriched with *T. catappa* almond.

321 The study also shows that the airy and crumbly textures of the cakes crumb induces lower  
322 greasy feeling into mouth. At contrary, the more silky texture the more moisture and  
323 greasiness are felt, showing greater hydration and oily level.

324 The most sensory descriptors are not correlated with the nutritive properties, showing that  
325 the cakes can be valorized without consideration of any particular sensory trait except the  
326 aroma. The global acceptance of the cakes by the consumers, as revealed by Douati *et al.*  
327 [11] confers good perspectives for the valorization of these products enriched with the *T.*  
328 *catappa* almond.

329  
330

#### 331 **4. CONCLUSION**

332

333 Substituting wheat flour is fundamental for the valorization of the local raw starchy products  
334 (cassava, yam, potato, and almond) and to face the food hazards due to the rocketing in  
335 prices of the top consumption foodstuffs. The study showed that the addition of *Terminalia*  
336 *catappa* almond succeeds in significant enrichment of cakes for food nutrients essentials to  
337 human health. Nevertheless, there isn't any obvious influence of this nutritional enrichment  
338 on the organoleptic profile. The valorization of the cakes enriched with the fruits almonds of  
339 *T. catappa* could be considered on basis of their sensory acceptance by consumers.

340

341

342

343

344

#### 345 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

346

347 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist with this document.

348

349

350

351

#### 352 **REFERENCES**

353

354 1. Borges JTS. Utilização de farinha mista de aveia e trigo na elaboração de bolos. *Boletim*  
355 *CEPPA*. 2006; 24(1): 145-162

356 2. CSAO. Settlement, market and food security, collection, West African papers. French;  
357 2012.

- 358 3. Ugwuona FU, Ogara JI and Awogbenja MD. Chemical and sensory quality of cakes  
359 formulated with wheat, soybean and cassava flours, *Indian J. L. Sci.* 2012; 1(2): 1-6.
- 360 4. Ndangui CB, Petit J, Gaiani C, Nzikou JM, Scher J. Impact of thermal and chemical  
361 pretreatments on physicochemical, rheological, and functional properties of sweet potato  
362 (*Ipomea batatas* Lam) Flour. *Food and Bioprocess Technology.* 2014; 7(12): 3618-3628.
- 363 5. Monnet YT, Gbogouri A, Koffi PKB, Kouamé LP. Chemical characterization of seeds and  
364 seed oils from mature *Terminalia catappa* fruits harvested in Côte d'Ivoire. *International*  
365 *Journal of Biosciences.* 2012; 10(1): 110-124.
- 366 6. Ladele B, Kpoviessi S, Ahissou H, Gbenou J, Kpadonou KB, Herent MF, Bero J,  
367 Larondelle Y, Quetin L, Moudachirou M., Chemical composition and nutritional properties of  
368 *Terminalia catappa* L. oil and kernels from Benin. *Chemistry Reports.* 2016; 19(7): 876-883.
- 369 7. Douati TE, Konan NY, Coulibaly A, Sidibé D, Biego GHM., Nutritive components in the  
370 *Terminalia catappa* L. (Combretaceae) almonds cultivated in Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of*  
371 *Applied Life Sciences International.* 2017; 12(1): 1-10.
- 372 8. Matos L, Nzikou JM, Kimbonguila A, Ndangui CB, Pambou-Tobi NPG, Abenan AA, Silou  
373 TH, Scher J, Desobry S. Composition and nutritional properties of seeds and oil from  
374 *Terminalia catappa* L. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology.* 2009; 1(1): 72-77.
- 375 9. Biego GHM, Konan AG, Douati TE and Kouadio LP. Physicochemical Quality of kernels  
376 from *Terminalia catappa* L. and sensory evaluation of the concocted kernels. *Sustainable*  
377 *Agriculture Research.* 2012; 1(2): 1-6.
- 378 10. Douati et al. (2017 b) [10]
- 379 11. Douati TE, Assi O, Aka B, Konan NY, Coulibaly A, Sidibe D, Biego GHM. Sensory  
380 analysis of cakes enriched with almonds powders of *Terminalia catappa* from Cote d'Ivoire.  
381 *Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology.* 2017; 2(1): 1-9.
- 382 12. Bennion and Bamford, 1997) [12] Bennion EB, Bamford GST. The technology of cake  
383 making 6th Ed. Blackie Academic & Professional. London, UK; 1997
- 384 13. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Official methods of analysis.  
385 Washington DC, USA. 1990; 684 p.
- 386 14. Wolff JP. Manuel d'analyse des corps gras. 1ère Edition. Azoulay, Paris, France. 1968;  
387 524p. French
- 388 15. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Food energy methods of analysis and  
389 conversion factors. FAO Ed, Rome, Italy, 2002, 97 p.
- 390 16. Dubois M, Gilles K, Hamilton JD, Rebers A, Smith M. Colorimetric methods for  
391 determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry.* 1965; 28: 350-356.
- 392 17. Bernfeld P. Amylase  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in method in enzymology, Colowick and Kaplan, Ed.  
393 Academic press, New-York, USA, 1955; pp 149-154.
- 394 18. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). FAO Corporate Document Repository.  
395 Calculation of the Energy Content of Foods- Energy Conversion Factors; 2006.  
396 Website: [<http://www.fao.org/ag>].
- 397 19. Singleton VL, Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-  
398 phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture.* 1965; 16: 144-  
399 158.
- 400 20. Wood JE, Senthilmohan ST, Peskin AV. Antioxidant activity of procyanidin containing  
401 plant extracts at different pHs. *Food Chemistry.* 2002; 77(2): 155-161.

- 402 21. Marinova D, Ribavora F, Antanassova M. Total phenolics in Bulgarian fruits and  
403 vegetables. *Journal of the University of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy*. 2005; 40(3):  
404 255-260.
- 405 22. Association Française de Normalisation (AFNOR). Recueil des normes françaises  
406 d'agro-alimentaire: analyse sensorielle. Paris, la défense, France. 1984; 159 p. French
- 407 23. Aka BAA, Konan NY, Coulibaly A, Biego GHM. Nutritional and sensory analysis of milk  
408 processed from seeds of sweet pea (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) consumed in Côte d'Ivoire.  
409 *Journal of Applied Life Sciences International*. 2016; 8(2): 1-12.
- 410 24. Onweluzo JC, Onuoha KC, Obanu ZA. A comparative study of some functional  
411 properties of Afzelia African and Glycine max flours. *Food Chemistry*. 1995; 54: 55-59.
- 412 25. Gularte et al., 2008 [24] Gularte MA, De La Hera E, Gomez M, Rosell CM. Effect of  
413 different fibers on batter and gluten-free layer cake properties. *Lebensmittel-  
414 and Technology*. 2012; 48(2): 209-214.
- 415 26. Matos ME, Sanz T, Rosell CM. Establishing the function of proteins on the rheological  
416 and quality properties of rice based gluten free muffins. *Food Hydrocolloids*. 2014; 35: 150-  
417 158.
- 418 27. Dimeglio DP, Mattes RD, 2000. Liquid versus solid carbohydrate: Effects on food intake  
419 and body weight. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*. 2000;  
420 24: 794-800.
- 421 28. Saidu AN, Jideobi NG. The proximate and elemental analysis of some leafy vegetables  
422 grown in Minna and environs. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*.  
423 2009; 13:21-22.
- 424 29. Van Aardt M, Duncan SE, Long TE, O'Keefe SF, Marcy E, Sims SR. Effect of  
425 antioxidants on oxidative stability of edible fats and oils: thermogravimetric analysis. *J Agric  
426 Food Chem*. 2004; 52: 587-591.
- 427 30. Xia Q, Li R, Zhao S, Chen W, Chen H, Xin B, Huang Y, Tang M. Chemical composition  
428 changes of postharvest coconut inflorescence sap during natural fermentation. *African  
429 Journal of Biotechnology*. 2011; 10(66):14999-15005.
- 430 31. Sugiura M, Nakamura M, Ogawa K, Ikoma Y, Ando F, Yano M. Bone mineral density in  
431 post-menopausal female subjects is associated with serum antioxidant carotenoids.  
432 *Osteoporosis International Journal*. 2008; 19: 211-219.
- 433 32. Karaoglu MM, Kotancilar HG. Quality of butter and sponge cake prepared from wheat  
434 tapioca flour blends. *Kasetsart J (Nat. Sci.)*, 2011; 45: 305-313.
- 435 33. Sanful RE., Sadik A, Darko S. Nutritional and Sensory Analysis of Soya Bean and Wheat  
436 Flour Composite Cake. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2010; 9(8): 794-796
- 437 34. Yao AK, Koffi DM, Irié ZB, Niamke SL. Effet de la substitution partielle de la farine de blé  
438 par la purée de banane plantain (*Musa AAB*) bien mûre sur la qualité des produits de  
439 pâtisserie. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*. 2014; 82: 7436-7448. French.
- 440 35. Esteller MS, Yoshimoto RMO, Amaral RL, Lannes SCS. Uso de acucares en produtos  
441 panificados. *Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos*. 2004; 24(4): 602-607.  
442 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0101-20612004000400021>
- 443 36. Gillis JC. Manuel du salage en fromagerie - Théorie & pratiques. Ed: Arilait Recherches,  
444 Paris, France. 2004. French.

445 37. Causse M, Buret M, Robini K, Verschave P. Inheritance of nutritional and sensory quality  
446 traits in fresh market tomato and relation to consumer preferences. *Journal of Food Science*,  
447 2003; 68(7): 2342-250.  
448

449

UNDER PEER REVIEW