

**ASSESSMENT OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN FRESH VEGETABLES FROM THREE MAJOR MARKETS IN LAGOS USING QuEChERS METHOD AND GC-MS**

**ABSTRACT**

The study evaluates pesticide residues in fresh vegetables from three major markets in Lagos and verified compliance of these fresh vegetables with the maximum residue levels (MRLs) as specified by Codex Alimentarius Commission. The residues were extracted by means of multi residue method based on the Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) method, Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) was used to determine two organophosphates (dichlorvos and chlorpyrifos), two organochlorines (endosulfanII and chlorothalonil), two carbamates(carbaryl and carbofuran) and two pyrethroids(alpha-cypermethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin). Fifteen samples of five common vegetables (cabbage, lettuce, fluted pumpkin, bitter leaf and African spinach) were analyzed. The linear ranged used were 0.005, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0mg/l, resulting to  $r^2$  of  $\geq 0.996$ . While the mean recoveries obtained for two fortification levels (0.1 and 0.25 ppm) in three replicates for lettuce control sample and spinach control sample were 96.7 to 104.3 % , 96.3 to 101.3 %,and 92.0 to 114.3 % ,92.1 to102.1 % respectively. A satisfactory precision of  $RSD < 20\%$  was recorded. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of Quantitation (LOQ) were between 0.005 -0.050mg/kg and 0.015-0.150 mg/kg respectively. Pesticide residues detected ranges from 0.025-0.529 mg/kg. EndosulfanII, Chlorothalonil, carbaryl and Carbofuran were not detected during the entire study. However, pesticide residues detected were below the MRLs specified by Codex Alimentarius Commission.

Keyword: Pesticide residues, Vegetables, QuEChERS, GC-MS

**INTRODUCTION**

Vegetables are important components of the human diet since they provide essential nutrients and antioxidants that are required for most of the reactions occurring in the body. A high intake of vegetables has been encouraged not only to prevent consequences due to vitamin deficiency but also to reduce the incidence of major diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases and obesity. Like other crops, vegetables are attacked by pests and diseases during production and storage leading to damages that reduce the quality and the yield. In order to reduce the loss and maintain the quality vegetables harvest, pesticides are used to destroy pests and prevent diseases. The use of pesticides has increased because they have rapid action; decrease toxins produced by food infecting organisms and are less labour intensive than other pest control methods. However, the use of pesticides during production often leads to the presence of pesticide residues in vegetables after harvest. Shrestha *et al*,(2010) reported that commercial vegetable growers opt for the application of a variety of pesticides that belong to diverse chemical class, pesticides such as Parathion-Methyl, Dichlorvos, Carbofuran , Chlorpyrifos, Cypermethrin, Deltamethrin, Dimethoate, Endosulfan, Lambda-Cyhalothrin, Profenofos, and fungicides such as Carbendazim, Mancozeb and Metalaxyl in order to overcome pest resistance and pest resurgence[1]. According

41 to Petsas A.S *et al.*,(2017), vegetables are capable of retaining large quantities of pesticides[2].  
42 The presence of pesticide residues is a concern for consumers because pesticides are known to  
43 have potential harmful effects to other non-targeted organisms. The major concerns are their  
44 toxic effects such as interfering with the reproductive systems and fetal development as well as  
45 their capacity to cause cancer and asthma [3]. Some of the pesticides are persistent and therefore  
46 remain in the body causing long term exposure. Use of pesticides in Nigeria is not well  
47 controlled as compare to the developed countries due to ineffective legislation, lack of awareness  
48 and inappropriate pesticide management. Global scientific concerns have been raised regarding  
49 the potential toxicity of pesticides that have promoted their strict regulation in order to protect  
50 consumers, environment and also the users of pesticides. To ensure the safety of food for  
51 consumers, numerous legislations such as codex directives (CODEX Committee on Pesticide  
52 Residues, 2003) have established maximum residue limits (MRLs) for pesticides in foodstuffs  
53 [4]. Maximum residue limits (MRLs) values defined as the highest levels of pesticide residues  
54 that are legally tolerated in or on food or feed when pesticides are applied correctly (adoption of  
55 Good Agricultural Practices, GAPs) were established internationally by Codex Alimentarius  
56 Commission. Different extraction and quantification methods are used by various researchers for  
57 estimation of pesticide residues in several vegetables. The main criteria for opting any  
58 methodology is that analytical method should be fast, easy, inexpensive and applicable to  
59 different matrices. In recent years, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and gas  
60 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) have been a versatile tool use in  
61 pesticide analysis. The ability to perform multi-analysis of analytes using GC-MS is another  
62 attribute that makes the technique unique in its applications. Analysis of several pesticides in  
63 vegetable samples from countries like Kenya, India, Pakistan, Kuwait by GC-MS in one run has  
64 been reported[5, 6, 7, 8]. The aim of this study was to evaluate presence of some pesticide  
65 residues in fresh vegetable from three major markets in Lagos and to verify compliance of these  
66 fresh vegetables with the maximum residue levels' (MRLs) as specified by Codex Alimentarius  
67 regulations for products present in the market.

## 68 **EXPERIMENTAL**

### 69 **Sampling Collection and Storage**

70 A total number of 75 vegetables were sampled from the 3 major vegetable markets. Five samples  
71 of each vegetable in each market were collected. The vegetable species are Lettuce, Cabbage,  
72 Fluted pumpkin, Bitter leave and spinach. Samples were packed in separated polythene bags,  
73 sealed and labeled with a unique sample identity and placed in an ice chest box. All samples  
74 were stored at 4°C. The composite samples were prepared by systematic mixing of the five  
75 samples of each vegetable in each market. After the vegetable samples were mixed and blended  
76 using Stephan blender, the composite sample were then extracted within 24 hours from the time  
77 of their collection. Control sample of lettuce and spinach were also collected.

### 78 **Sample Extraction and Cleanup**

79 The QuEChERS sample preparation method for pesticides was applied to all the samples [9]. A  
80 10 g portion of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 ml polytetrafluoroethylene  
81 (PTFE) tube added 10 ml of acetonitrile. Then, 4 g magnesiumsulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), 1 g sodium

82 chloride (NaCl), 1g sodium citrate tribasic dehydrate( $\text{Na}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and 0.5g sodium citrate  
83 dibasic sesquihydrate ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Na}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) were added, and the sample was shaken vigorously  
84 for 1 min on a vortex. The samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant  
85 (6 ml) were transferred to a 15 ml PTFE tube to which 900 mg  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , 150 mg PSA and 150  
86 mg GCB were added. The extract was shaken using a vortex mixer for 30 second and centrifuged  
87 at 3000 rpm again for 5 min. 2ml of the supernatant were taken into a graduated test tube and 20  
88 microliter of formic acid ( $\text{HCOOH}$ ) was added to adjust the  $\text{pH}$ . These extracts were evaporated  
89 to dryness under a stream of nitrogen and reconstituted with 2ml of Hexane: Acetone (4:1) and  
90 transferred to 2 ml vial and sealed for quantification using gas chromatograph equipped with  
91 mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

## 92 **Preparation of Pesticide Standard Solution**

93 The pesticide reference standards were sourced from Dr Ehrenstorfer, Augsburg, Germany and  
94 the purity of standards ranged from 96% to 99%. Individual stock standard solution of the studied  
95 pesticide were prepared by weighing accurately 10mg of each pesticide into 10ml volumetric  
96 flask and dissolved with acetone, yielding a concentration of 1mg/ml. A mixed stock standard  
97 solution of pesticides was prepared 5ug/ml in hexane: acetone (4:1). The standard mixture of  
98 pesticides was prepared at 0.005, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 ug/ml concentrations by serial dilution  
99 technique for preparing the calibration curve. The entire standard was stored at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ .

## 100 **Instrumental method**

101 Chromatographic instrumentation and quantification were carried out by Gas chromatograph-  
102 mass spectrometer GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010 Ultra) with a GC column HP-5MS 5 % phenyl-  
103 95 % methyl siloxane, 30x0.25 mm id x 0.25 film thickness. The GC operating conditions: split  
104 less injection, injector temperature  $250^\circ\text{C}$ , helium carrier gas (99.9999 purity) at flow rate 1.20  
105 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  with column head pressure 89.4 kpa, oven temperature from  $100^\circ\text{C}$  (1 min hold), then  
106 raised to  $200^\circ\text{C}$  at the rate of  $10^\circ\text{C}$  (2min hold) afterwards raised to  $300^\circ\text{C}$  at the rate of  $10^\circ\text{C}$   
107 (5 min hold). The sample was injected in split less modes. The MS system was routinely set in  
108 selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode and each compound was quantitated based on peak area  
109 using one target and one or two qualifier ion. Mass spectrometer parameter was set as follows:  
110 electron impact ionization mode with 70 eV electron energy. Ion source temperature  $200^\circ\text{C}$ ,  
111 MS interface temperature  $250^\circ\text{C}$ .

## 112 **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

113 Table 1 show the LOD and LOQ obtained for each pesticide. The LOD and LOQ values  
114 obtained ranged from 0.005 to 0.05mg/kg and 0.015 to 0.150mg/kg respectively. The linearity  
115 was assessed by the correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) resulted from the five-point calibration curve.  
116 The linearity was observed in the range 0.005 -1.0mg/kg and all correlation coefficient were  $R^2$   
117  $\geq 0.996$ .

118

119

120

121 Table1: List of Pesticides with retention time (Rt), LOD, LOQ and Correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>)

<b>Pesticide Name</b>	<b>Retention time (Rt)min</b>	<b>LOD(mg/kg )</b>	<b>LOQ(mg/kg)</b>	<b>Correlation Coefficient(R<sup>2</sup>)</b>
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	6.570	0.005	0.015	0.995860
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	13.429	0.010	0.030	0.997184
<b>Endosulfan II</b>	15.557	0.010	0.030	0.998576
<b>Chlorothanolin</b>	11.176	0.050	0.150	0.998796
<b>Carbaryl</b>	10.040	0.050	0.150	0.998460
<b>Carbofuran</b>	10.861	0.010	0.030	0.998063
<b>α-Cypermethrin</b>	21.919	0.005	0.015	0.999423
<b>λ-Cyhalothrin</b>	19.981	0.010	0.030	0.999671

122 Recovery study was carried out to determine the method accuracy and precision .For each blank  
123 matrix (Lettuce and spinach), two concentration levels of 0.1 ug/ml and 0.25 ug/ml at replicate  
124 (n=3) were determined. All the studied pesticides, the recoveries of these two spiking levels  
125 ranged between 92.0 to114.3 percent. The method was proved to be repeatable with RSD in  
126 range of 1.2 to 14.0 % at all spiking levels. Result obtained complied with SANCO guidelines  
127 [10]. (Document No. SANCO/12571/2013). Recovery within the range70-120 % and RSD ≤ 20  
128 %.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141 Table 2: Average recovery (n=3) and Relative standard deviation (%RSD)

Spiking level(ug/ml)	Lettuce				African Spinach			
	0.1		0.25		0.1		0.25	
	Recov ery(%)	RSD (%)	Recov ery(%)	RSD (%)	Recov ery(%)	RSD (%)	Recover y(%)	RSD (%)
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	100.7	1.4	98.7	1.6	114.3	11.8	92.7	3.4
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	102.3	6.7	99.6	1.2	95.7	7.9	100.3	14.0
<b>EndosulfanII</b>	100.7	2.1	101.1	2.0	102.5	6.4	99.9	7.1
<b>Chlorothanon il</b>	100.7	6.5	96.3	4.0	92.0	13.3	100.3	3.0
<b>Carbaryl</b>	101.3	4.1	98.9	1.5	104.7	8.3	98.0	6.0
<b>Carbofuran</b>	104.3	4,8	101.1	1.4	110.3	9.2	102.1	6.2
<b>α- Cypermethri n</b>	98.0	11.4	99.3	1.3	82.0	1.4	99.2	9.0
<b>λ- Cyhalothrin</b>	96.7	6.9	97.7	2.3	94.9	4.0	101.4	8.5

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150 Table 3: Showing Codex Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) of studied vegetables mg/kg [21]

<b>Pesticide</b>	<b>Lettuce</b>	<b>Cabbage</b>	<b>Fluted Pumpkin</b>	<b>Bitter leaf</b>	<b>African Spinach</b>
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
<b>Carbaryl</b>	10	5.0	3.0	10	10
<b>Carbofuran</b>	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2
<b>Endosulfan II</b>	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Chlorothanolin</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b><math>\alpha</math>- Cypermethrin</b>	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b><math>\lambda</math>-Cyhalothrin</b>	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166 Table 4: Pesticide Residue Concentrations (mg/kg ) in fresh vegetable samples collected from  
167 Mile12 market.

<b>Pesticide</b>	<b>Lettuce</b>	<b>Cabbage</b>	<b>Fluted Pumpkin</b>	<b>Bitter Leaf</b>	<b>African Spinach</b>
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	0.178	0.268	0.048	0.025	ND
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	0.082	0.049	0.044	ND	0.038
<b>Carbaryl</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Carbofuran</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Endosulfan II</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Chlorothanolin</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b><math>\alpha</math>- Cypermethrin</b>	0.168	0.529	0.094	0.096	0.065
<b><math>\lambda</math>-Cyhalothrin</b>	0.118	0.171	0.113	0.075	0.064

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181 Table 5: Pesticide Residue Concentrations (mg/kg) in fresh vegetable samples collected from  
 182 Oyingbo market.

<b>Pesticide</b>	<b>Lettuce</b>	<b>Cabbage</b>	<b>Fluted Pumpkin</b>	<b>Bitter Leaf</b>	<b>African Spinach</b>
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	0.088	0.058	0.153	0.080	0.077
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	0.060	0.044	0.039	0.038	ND
<b>Carbaryl</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Carbofuran</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Endosulfan II</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Chlorothanolin</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b><math>\alpha</math>- Cypermethrin</b>	0.045	0.072	0.028	0.090	ND
<b><math>\lambda</math>-Cyhalothrin</b>	0.129	0.200	0.071	0.150	0.101

183

184

185 Table 6: Pesticide Residue Concentrations (mg/kg ) in fresh vegetable samples collected from  
 186 Oshodi market.

<b>Pesticide</b>	<b>Lettuce</b>	<b>Cabbage</b>	<b>Fluted Pumpkin</b>	<b>Bitter Leaf</b>	<b>African Spinach</b>
<b>Dichlorvos</b>	0.045	0.400	0.058	0.121	0.027
<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	0.036	0.048	0.038	0.047	0.040
<b>Carbaryl</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Carbofuran</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Endosulfan II</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>Chlorothanolin</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b><math>\alpha</math>- Cypermethrin</b>	0.240	0.045	0.072	0.044	0.032



<b>λ-Cyhalothrin</b>	0.054	0.081	0.075	ND	ND`
----------------------	-------	-------	-------	----	-----

187

188 The result of GC-MS analysis of pesticide residues in fresh vegetable samples is presented in  
 189 table 4; table 5 and table 6. Eight different pesticides were investigated and quantified with 54  
 190 detections. From table 4, residues of dichlorvos were found in 4 of 5 fresh vegetable samples in  
 191 order of cabbage (0.268mg/kg)> lettuce (0.178mg/kg) > fluted pumpkin (0.048mg/kg)>bitter leaf  
 192 (0.025mg/kg). None of the sample had dichlorvos above the Codex recommended MRL value of  
 193 0.5mg/kg. Chlorpyrifos was another pesticide detected in 4 of 5 fresh vegetable samples  
 194 analysed in mile 12 market, This pesticide was not detected in bitter leaf, however, the  
 195 concentration of chlorpyrifos was found to be in the order of lettuce(0.082mg/kg) >cabbage(  
 196 0.049mg/kg)>fluted pumpkin(0.044mg/kg) >African spinach(0.038mg/kg). None of the  
 197 vegetable samples exceeded the MRL value of 0.05mg/kg for cabbage, fluted pumpkin, African  
 198 spinach and 0.1mg/kg stipulated for lettuce. Alpha cypermethrin was detected in all the five fresh  
 199 vegetable samples from mile 12 market, detected concentration in vegetable samples were in the  
 200 range of cabbage(0.529 mg/kg) > lettuce(0.168mg/kg)>bitterleaf(0.096  
 201 mg/kg)>flutedpumpkin(0.094 mg/kg)>African spinach(0.065 mg/kg) all the vegetable samples  
 202 did not exceed the 1.0mg/kg MRL stated for cabbage, fluted pumpkin, bitter leaf ,African  
 203 spinach and 2.0mg/kg stated for lettuce. Lambda-cyhalothrin was detected in all the five  
 204 vegetable samples from mile 12 market in the order of cabbage (0.171 mg/kg)>lettuce (0.118  
 205 mg/kg)>fluted pumpkin(0.113 mg/kg)>bitter leaf(0.075 mg/kg) >African spinach(0,064mg/kg)  
 206 and were all below the Codex MRL of 0.2mg/kg. EndosufanII, chlorothanolin, carbaryl and  
 207 carbofuran were not detected in all the five fresh vegetable samples from mile 12. A similar  
 208 finding by Adyel, T.M *et al.*,(2013) did not detect any carbofuran residues in vegetable  
 209 samples[11].

210 Table 5 ; Result from Oyingbo market followed similar trend with that of mile 12 market,  
 211 dichlorvos was detected in all the five fresh vegetable samples from Oyingbo market ranging  
 212 from the fluted pumpkin(0.153 mg/kg)>lettuce(0.088 mg/kg)> bitter leaf(0.080 mg/kg) >  
 213 African spinach(0.077 mg/kg) >cabbage(0.058 mg/kg). The residues of dichlorvos detected were  
 214 below the MRL of 0.5 mg/kg stipulated by Codex. Chlorpyrifos residue was detected in 4 of the  
 215 5 fresh vegetable samples from Oyingbo market. The concentrations were in the order of lettuce  
 216 (0.060mg/kg) > cabbage (0.044 mg/kg) > fluted pumpkin (0.039 mg/kg) > bitter  
 217 leaf(0.038mg/kg). Residues in these vegetable samples were not above the MRL of 0.05 mg/kg  
 218 and 0.1mg/kg for lettuce. African spinach was free of chlorpyrifos residues. This study revealed  
 219 that 4 out of the 5 fresh vegetables from Oyingbo market were contaminated with α-  
 220 cypermethrin in the order of bitter leaf (0.090 mg/kg)> cabbage(0.072 mg/kg) > lettuce(0.04  
 221 5mg/kg) > fluted pumpkin(0.028 mg/kg). None of the detected fresh vegetable samples exceeded  
 222 the MRL of 1.0mg/kg and 2.0 mg/kg for lettuce. The concentrations of λ- cyhalothrin in this  
 223 study were significantly below their MRLs of 0.2 mg/kg. Their contamination followed the order  
 224 of cabbage (0.200 mg/kg)> bitter leaf (0.150 mg/kg) > lettuce(0.129 mg/kg) > African  
 225 spinach(0.101mg/kg)> fluted pumpkin(0.071mg/kg). EndosulfanII, chlorothanolin, carbaryl and  
 226 carbofuran were not detected. The finding of this study is comparable to the findings of the study  
 227 conducted by Mahugija J.A. *et al.*,(2017) [12].

228 Table 6 illustrated the result of pesticide residues obtained from Oshodi market. Dichlorvos was  
229 detected in all the 5 vegetable samples from Oshodi market. The order of contamination were  
230 found to be cabbage (0.400mg/kg) > bitter leaf (0.121mg/kg)>fluted pumpkin (0.058mg/kg)  
231 >lettuce (0.045mg/kg)> African spinach(0.027mg/kg). The concentration of dichlorvos residues  
232 were not above the MRLs 0.5mg/kg set by codex. In same way, chlorpyrifos was detected in all  
233 the 5 vegetable samples ,the order of magnitude of chlorpyrifos residues in vegetable samples  
234 were as follows: cabbage(0.048 mg/kg) >bitter leaf(0.047 mg/kg)>African spinach (0.040  
235 mg/kg)> fluted pumpkin(0.038 mg/kg) > lettuce (0.036 mg/kg). The residues of chlorpyrifos in  
236 all the vegetable samples did not exceed the MRLs of 0.05mg/kg and 0.1mg/kg set for lettuce.  
237 Similarly,  $\alpha$ -cypermethrin was detected in all the 5 vegetable samples analysed. The result  
238 showed that lettuce (0.240 mg/kg)>fluted pumpkin(0.072 mg/kg) >cabbage (0.045  
239 mg/kg)>bitter leaf(0.044 mg/kg) > African spinach(0.032 mg/kg). $\alpha$ -cypermethrin residues in this  
240 study were below the MRLs of 1.0 mg/kg and 2.0 mg/kg set for lettuce. In the same vein,  $\lambda$ -  
241 cyhalothrin was detected in 3 of 5 of the vegetable samples analysed. The findings revealed that  
242 cabbage (0.081mg/kg) >fluted pumpkin (0.075 mg/kg)>lettuce (0.054 mg/kg). None of the  
243 sample detected exceeded the MRLs of 0.2mg/kg set by Codex. Endosulfan II, chlorothanolin,  
244 carbaryl and carbofuran were not detected in all the samples.

245 This study shows the evidence of the presence of pesticide residues in vegetable samples in  
246 Lagos market. The samples analyzed contained pesticide residues below the MRLs. All the five  
247 fresh vegetable samples investigated in this study were free from contamination of endosulfan II  
248 and chlorothanolin. These results were not surprising since most of organochlorine pesticides  
249 were banned or their use is severely restricted in Nigeria. Carbaryl and carbofuran were not  
250 detected in all the vegetable samples analyzed in this study. This suggests that they were not  
251 used for the vegetables studied or there was no significant contamination due to these  
252 compounds. The occurrences of multiple residues in all the samples analyzed were likely to be a  
253 consequence of the application of different types of pesticides to protect vegetables against insect  
254 pests and diseases [1]. The incidence of multi-residue pesticide contamination in different  
255 vegetable samples has also been reported in other studies [1,13]. Pesticide residues detected in  
256 this study were similar to those detected in other studies [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

257 From the results in this study, it is plausible to state that vegetable growers from studied area  
258 applied good agricultural practice (GAP) and being cautious of with-hold period (WHP). A  
259 withholding period is the minimum time for vegetables treated with pesticide must wait before  
260 harvesting, such a period allows enough time for the pesticide to degrade to an acceptable  
261 level.[1,20]The results of this study were interpreted in relation to maximum residue  
262 limits(MRLs) requirements set by Codex Alimentarius Commission for vegetables.

## 263 CONCLUSION

264 The results indicated that all the five vegetable samples were contaminated with two or more  
265 pesticide residues with concentrations below the maximum residues limits(MRLs).From a health  
266 perspective, the observed levels of pesticide residues did not pose a potential health risk to  
267 consumers. Hence, the consumption of vegetables is safe.

268

269 **REFERENCES**

- 270 [1] P. Shrestha, P. Koirala and A. S. Tamrakar (2010) knowledge, practice and use of pesticides  
271 among commercial vegetable growers of Dhading district, Nepal. *The Journal of Agriculture and*  
272 *Environment* Vol: 11,
- 273 [2]. Petsas A.S. and Vagi M.C. (2017) Determination of pesticides residues in food of vegetal  
274 origin: sample preparation, chromatographic techniques and applications 15th International  
275 Conference on Environmental Science and Technology Rhodes, Greece.
- 276 [3] Gilden, R. C.; Huffling, K. & Sattler B. (2010). Pesticides and Health Risks. *JOGNN*, 39, 103  
277 –110
- 278 [4] M.H. EL-Saeid, M.T. Selim, and I.M. Al-Dossari (2011) Multi-residues Analysis of  
279 Pesticides using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry: Leafy Vegetables. *Research Journal*  
280 *of Environmental Sciences* 5 (3): 248-258.
- 281 [5] Rose Inonda, Eliud Njage, Joseph Ngeranwa, and Charles Mutai (2015) Determination of  
282 Pesticide Residues in Locally Consumed Vegetables in Kenya. *African Journal of Pharmacology*  
283 *and Therapeutics* Vol. 4 No. 1, 1-6.
- 284 [6]Subhash Chandra, Anil N. Mahindrakar, L.P. Shinde (2014) Analysis of Pesticide Residue in  
285 Vegetables Local Market Nanded, India. *International Journal of ChemTech Research* Vol.6,  
286 No.5, pp. 2760-2768.
- 287 [7]Sheikh S.A., Nizamani S.M., Panhwar A.A. and Mirani B.N. (2013) Monitoring of Pesticide  
288 Residues in Vegetables Collected from Markets of Sindh, Pakistan. *Food Science and*  
289 *Technology Letters*, Volume 4, Issue 1, 41-45.
- 290 [8] Mustapha F. A. Jallow, Dawood G. Awadh, Mohammed S. Albaho, Vimala Y. Devi  
291 And Nisar Ahmad (2017) Monitoring of Pesticide Residues in Commonly Used Fruits and  
292 Vegetables in Kuwait. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14,  
293 833
- 294 [9]EN15662 Version 2.2,(2008) Foods of Plant Origin-Determination of Pesticide Residues  
295 Using GC-MS and/or LC-MS/MS Following Acetonitrile Extraction/Partitioning and Cleanup by  
296 Dispersive SPD-QuEChERS Method.
- 297 [10] Guidance document on analytical quality control and validation procedures for pesticide  
298 residues analysis in food and feed. SANCO/12571/2013
- 299 [11 ] Shakhaoat Hossain , Alamgir Hossain , Abdur Rahman ,Mainul Islam , Atiqur Rahman  
300 and Tanveer Mehedi Adyel (2013) Health Risk Assessment of Pesticide Residues via Dietary  
301 Intake of Market Vegetables from Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Foods*, 2, 350-351
- 302 [12]John A.M.Mahugija, Farhat A. Khamis, and Esther H. J. Lugwisha (2017) Determination of  
303 Levels of Organochlorine, Organophosphorus and Pyrethroid Pesticide Residues in Vegetables  
304 from Markets in Dar es Salaam by GC-MS. *International Journal of Analytical Chemistry*.

- 305 [13]Anna Stachniuk, Agnieszka Szmagara, Renata Czczko & Emilia Fornal (2017): LC-MS/MS  
306 determination of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables, Journal of Environmental Science  
307 and Health PART B VOL. 0, NO. 0, 1–12
- 308 [14] M.T. Selim, M.H. EL-Saeid and I.M. Al-Dossari (2011) Multi-residues Analysis of  
309 Pesticides using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry: 1- Leafy Vegetables  
310 Research Journal of Environmental Sciences 5 (3): 248-258.
- 311 [15]Ju-mei Chang, Tay-hwa Chen and Tony J. Fang( 2005) Pesticide Residue Monitoring in  
312 Marketed Fresh Vegetables and Fruits in Central Taiwan (1999-2004)and an Introduction to the  
313 HACCP System. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 13, No. 4, 368-376
- 314 [16]Rohan Dasika, Siddharth Tangirala and Padmaja Naishadham (2012) Pesticide residue  
315 analysis of fruits and vegetables. Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology Vol.  
316 4(2), 19-28.
- 317 [17] Liang Fu, Dong S, Liu S, Fangxu , Xu (2018) Multi-Residue Determination of Pesticides in  
318 Vegetable on Dalian Market by Gas Chromatograph,2009-10. J Food Nutri Popul Health Vol.2  
319 No.1:2
- 320 [18]B.H. Choudhury, B.K. Das, A.A. L.H. Baruah (2013) Monitoring of Pesticide Residues in  
321 Market Basket Vegetables of Jorhat District of Assam, India. International Journal of  
322 Advancements in Research & Technology, Volume 2, Issue 11
- 323 [19] S. B. Sah1, R. N. Gupta, M. Kumar, S. K. Mandal, T. Saha and S. P. Singh (2018)  
324 Pesticide Residues from Farm Gate Vegetable Samples of Vegetables in Bihar  
325 *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* (2018) Special Issue-7: 4090-4096  
326
- 327 [20]Sajjad Ahmad Baig, Niaz Ahmad Akhtera, Muhammad Ashfaq and Muhammad Rafique Asi  
328 (2009) Determination of the Organophosphorus Pesticide in Vegetables by High-Performance  
329 Liquid Chromatography. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 6 (5): 513-519
- 330 [21]Harmonization of Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) of Pesticides for Vegetables