



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_50691
Title of the Manuscript:	Mamah Muda Morphogenesis in Indonesia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript presents an actual discussion with relevant notes. From the formal structure, it is essential to review the citations and references. It is also recommended to divide more the paragraphs because they are so long. At the conclusion, it is suggested to explore the contributions and limitations that research showed. Most parts of the conclusion repeat the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. There is no mention of the approval of the ethics committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcelo Simões Mendes
Department, University & Country	University of Sorocaba, Brazil