



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44142
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF BOILING AND FERMENTATION ON CALABASH GOURD MELON (LAGENARIA SICERARIA) SEEDS
Type of the Article	Full Length Research

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 52: Heiser <i>et al.</i>, 1979 is not corresponding to line 498 in reference                      Line 68: what is antibilous                      Lines 71-73: should be supported with reference (citation)                      Line 74: Duke <i>et al.</i>, 1985 is not corresponding to line 483 (Duke and Ayensu, 1985) in reference section                      Line 120: Replaced can be with 'was'                      Line 168: Uematsu 2000 is not reflecting in reference section                      Line 232: El-Olemy <i>et al.</i>, 1994 is missing in reference section                      Line 307: separate of from 0.5%                      Line 324: Separate about from 0.98                      Line 332: Insert (McDonald <i>et al.</i>, 2001)                      Line 337: remove N in Soni N <i>et al.</i>, 2014                      Line 391: Ikechukwu <i>et al.</i>, 2015 is missing in reference section                      Line 398: Ikenebomeh 2008 is missing in reference section.                      Line 539: Sani <i>et al.</i>, 2014 is not cited in text.                      Lines 353, 355, 358 of Tables 2, 3 and 4 should have unit of measurements                      Line 365, 365 should explain the differences in results obtained in Crude protein</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Table 3 and 4 should be introduced in the result section as explained in table 1 result.                      Wang <i>et al.</i>, 2000 is not cited in text but reflects in reference section</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Line 88: delete 'further'                      Line 403: be deleted                      Line 412: Delete 'also'                      Line 423-424 be backed up with reference citation.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Obun Cletus Otu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Wukari, Nigeria</b>