



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48255
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and Nutritional Evaluation of Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) Seed Proteins
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This article consists of 13 total pages.3 pages reference, 2 page Materials and methods, 1 page abstract and introduction, 3,5 page results 0,5 page conclusion. <b>TITLE:</b> It is suitable for working <b>Key Words:</b> It is suitable for working <b>Abstract:</b> study summary well-presented <b>Introduction:</b> information about the botanical properties of the pumpkin <b>Materials and methods:</b> information about the location of the plant's agriculture. No information about the chemical fertilizers and chemical pests applied. These applications directly affect the plant's examined properties. There should also be pumpkins from other places or from different regions for statistical analysis. Statistics are not necessary for this study.. <b>Results Dicussion:</b> The tables are presented in a clear manner. <b>Conclusions:</b> recommendations were made about the study. <b>REFERENCES.</b> References is enough.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Eray Tulukcu
Department, University & Country	University of Selcuk, Turkey