



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48255
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and Nutritional Evaluation of Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) Seed Proteins
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Table 1 has std included in the presentation of results while Table 2 does not - In section 2.1.1, please mention in a line or two how the hexane residues were removed from the sample. - In the reference section, please be consistent (check my comments in the reviewed article. 	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the paper is well written and has highlighted new chemical and nutritional perspectives of pumpkin seeds. Please publish it!	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dr. Vincent Nyau</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Zambia, Zambia</i>