



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48867
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of dietary toasted Lima beans, (<i>Phaseolus lunatus</i>) on growth and nutrient utilization of clariid catfish (<i>Heterobranchus bidorsalis</i>) fingerlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The experiment demonstrated replacement of soybean with lima bean meal from 25 to 100 percent. Perhaps, heading should be reconsidered. Feed formulation and proximate analysis of tested diets eg treatment 1 and 5 with was used soybean (48 CP) and lima bean (36 CP). However, the CP treatment 5 showed higher CP when replaced with relatively lower at 10 percent CP. This was contradictory. In conclusion, parameters eg weight gain vs Specific growth rate and FCR was compared for a recommended replacement of lima beam. Perhaps, estimation of diets cost to demonstrate cost-effectiveness will be valuable parameter.	
Minor REVISION comments	There were minor error of spelling, punctuation, space throughout the manuscript. Stocking density should be provided if the density used is practical. FCR found in this study should be compared or mentioned in discussion.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakpong Petkam
Department, University & Country	Khon Kaen University, Thailand