



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_50287
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical, Functional and Sensory Properties of Extruded Breakfast Strips Produced from a Blend of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato, Soybean and Plantain Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the Abstract section, the Authors should introduce the proportions of the blends (either A or B or c C), beforehand. It is difficult to guess what A is if you are reading for the first time. - A justification for investigating orange fleshed sweet potato as investigated in this research has been provided (underutilization). What about the inclusion of soybean and plantain? Provide a line or two in the introduction section to justify their inclusion in the blends. - The citations for methods used to determine Vit B₂ and Vit B₆ should be provided - Statistics should be checked for Tables 1, 2 & 3. Some means are significantly different and yet are indicated otherwise. - The Conclusion should include a line or two on functional properties and vitamins investigated - A reference, US RDA for Vitamins should be provided 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please see the revised paper attached	
Optional/General comments	The paper has provided new insights on diversifying the utilization of sweet potatoes that are worth sharing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vincent Nyau</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Zambia, Zambia</i>