



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45827
Title of the Manuscript:	The study of urban furniture at efficient urban space creation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is not well written. The use of the personal pronoun "we" and "us" should be avoided at all cost. It contains many grammatical errors and misspellings. It should be re-written by a person with a complete knowledge in the proper use of the technical English language. For instance, the Abstract should read as follows: Abstract: Lack of attention to efficiency in the space and its qualitative elements has led to side effects such as lack of environmental visual qualities, disorder and also of space efficiencies. These matters affect the safety and security of urban spaces. In order to maintain the efficiency of urban spaces, it should be logical to have compatibility among the qualitative elements and the social and cultural specifications of the citizens from the physical and psychological aspects. At other side, inefficiency of urban furniture emptied them from their aesthetic values and so-called modern equipment including more welfare facilities for the citizens according to their ontological philosophy and create favorite urban spaces. However, they have been converted into inefficient and polluting elements in the urban spaces. But with the proposed urban furniture designing, efficient urban spaces and identity presentation elements can be created.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>J. Dario Aristizabal-Ochoa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National University of Colombia , Colombia</i>