



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50822
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNOPTIC ANALYSIS OF A PERIOD WITH ABOVE-NORMAL PRECIPITATION DURING THE DRY SEASON IN SOUTHEASTERN BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p><u>Introduction</u></p> <p>L24variety of climates..... give an example L27 the term “of the country”, this part of expression is not necessary and must be deleted L28” The disorderly population growth” this expression is too strong and not adequate , and I propose “ The rapid population growth”</p> <p><u>Section Methodology</u></p> <p>L65 “Section 2: Methodology” must be written to Section 2: Study area, material and method 2.1 Study area 2.2. Data or Material 2.3 Methods In the case of the Study area subsection (L66), authors must give the climatic structure of the study area. What are the particular climatic aspects of the Southeastern part of Brazil that differ or not to the entire region or neighbouring regions? Where is the subsection Methods? Give methodology of the work. L80 Data subsection. The authors must explain how the observed precipitation data have been measured. How many meteorological stations have been used to construct these observed precipitation data</p> <p><u>Results</u></p> <p>L116 Section 3: Results, this section must be written as Results and Discussion</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors must explain at the end of the introduction how the work is organized. example: “The work is structured as follow; Section 2 is dedicated to..... Section 3andetc etc.” There are no links between figures while authors describe them. Example: L125 to L130; L136 to L141; L143 to L150; L160 to L166; L179 to L191 and L207 to L211</p>	

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yoroba Fidèle
Department, University & Country	University Felix-Houphouet Boigny of Cocody-Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire