



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50996
Title of the Manuscript:	The lipid profile of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy at Mpilo Central Hospital Opportunistic Infections Clinic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The study was influenced by selection bias with more females than males due to differences in the health-seeking behaviors of men and women at the clinic. There was lack of HIV infected patients who are treatment naïve to compare with as all diagnosed patients are put on treatment as per government regulation as advised by the local clinicians. No study had been done to determine the reference ranges of lipid profiles on the local population as it is affected by environmental factors. There is need to determine levels of lipid profiles that defines dyslipidaemia as this study used literature determined on subjects in United States of America which are subjected to different environmental factors. Due to limited resources the sample size was small with most patients being on NNRTI regimen compared to PI regimen.</p> <p>In my opinion, the paper is well written and organized. The work of the paper is correct. However, there are some comments to improve the quality of the paper which are given as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the introduction part, the author should give more background works in detail about advantages of the proposed method over the existing methods • Some remarks on the computation complexity of the obtained results should be given. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grienggrai Rajchakit
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand