



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_50351
Title of the Manuscript:	Concentrations of toxic heavy metals in coffee
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The Ms AJACR 50351 proposed by the authors reports data of low scientific relevance, presenting the results of the simple chemical analysis of the powdered metal content of selected types of coffee. The investigation can give more information if the analysis is intact with the quantification of the content of metals that go into solution in the drink. Furthermore, the assimilation of micronutrients varies in different individuals depending on the quantity of drink taken daily for periods of time, so a survey on the accumulation of metals in the plasma of a large sample of the population can confirm the proposed study. There are many form and typing errors. Graph 2 is to be modified, the legend is superimposed on the bars of the graph, moreover the choice of a different type of graph in shape and color could make it easier to read the data.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Franco Cervellati
Department, University & Country	University of Ferrara, Italy