



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45472
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMICS OF PLANTAIN PRODUCTION IN CALABAR AGRICULTURAL ZONE, CROSS RIVER STATE NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles/ Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do not number the references include in the text 2. Objective i,ii,iii and iv were not stated in the text which appears in the sampling technique 3. Results and discussion is not complete. There is no discussion part in the text. Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. Results for different parameters should be described under subheadings or in separate paragraph. Table or figure numbers should be mentioned in parentheses for better understanding. The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings. The results and discussion part can also be described as separate, if appropriate. 4. Tables were not discussed. Tables should be placed inside the text. All six (6) Tables should be presented as per their appearance in the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text. 5. Different fonts and font sizes in the text 6. Reference number is not matching with the reference list. Reference list was not arranged according to a system eg. Harvard, Oxford, APA citation style, Vancouver style, IEEE style. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].follow the authors guide. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Poor writing skills.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayath P. Kirthisinghe
Department, University & Country	University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka