



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50213
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Custom Hiring Centers in implementation of In-situ crop Residue Management Scheme in Ambala district (Haryana)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although this is a potentially interesting paper, it needs considerable revisions before it can be considered for publication. As a general comment, the writing is too compressed and lacks details, so it is difficult for readers to judge the significant of what is being described. Specifically:</p> <p>The introduction should make it clear what gap in knowledge exists that ca be addressed by the current research. The methodology section should explain in appropriate detail exactly what was done and why. Any problems encountered should be specified and the way in which they were overcome, if any, should be described. The discussion section must make clear what claim is being made for the necessary contribution to academic knowledge. The conclusion should include the research limitations and suggestions for future research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>John Walsh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>RMIT Vietnam, Vietnam</i>