

Short Research Article

Assessment of factors affecting poultry production in Imo State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The study is on assessment of the factors affecting poultry production in Imo State, Nigeria. Multistage sampling technique was used in selecting the respondents. A total of eighty four (84) poultry producers were randomly selected with the aid of well-structured questionnaire. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple regression models. The result showed that majority (59.5%) of the producers were male, mean age was 45years, mean household size was 6 persons, 67.86% of the producers attended tertiary education, mean years of farming experience was 9.3years. The multiple regression analysis showed that farm experience, drug costs, farm size and disease occurrence were statistically significant at 10% level of probability implying that these are the key factors affecting poultry production. The major constraints militating against poultry production were high feed cost, lack of fund, outbreak of disease and high transportation cost. The study recommended that the government should provide credit facilities to poultry producers to abate lack of fund and provision of appropriate vaccines in the study area.

Keywords: Assessment, Factors, Poultry production, Imo State

Introduction

Poultry production plays an important economic and nutritional role as well as socio cultural role in the livelihood of both urban and poor rural households in Nigeria and many other developing countries (Adesiji and baba, 2013). Poultry are domestic fowls raised for food either for meat or for egg production. They include chicken, turkey, duck, goose, quail, guinea fowl etc. Poultry products (egg and meat) are highly nutritious and give good economic returns to man. According to Okunola & Olofinsawe (2007), Poultry meat is a good source of animal protein which is highly preferred to beef and pork, based on its adaptability, taste, ease of preparation, health consideration, nutrient composition and contribution to food security. Agricultural sector provides food and nutrition while poultry production accounts for 19% of the meat supply (SAGTAP, 2012). In Nigeria, poultry offers about 15% of the total annual protein intake with approximately 1.3kg of poultry products consumed annually per head (Ologbon and Ambali 2012).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

33 | There **is has been** an increase in poultry production in Nigeria as a result of an increased rate of
34 demand for poultry products across the globe lately. This tends to the fact that poultry has a lot
35 of advantage over other livestock. This is because of its ease of production and short payback
36 period when compared to other livestock. According to Effiong *et al.*, (2014) Poultry farming
37 contributes to household food security and enhances sustainable farming in many developing
38 economies mostly in Nigeria. Poultry wastes supplies inputs (organic manure) to crop farmers
39 for crop production, supplies raw material (egg and meat) to confectionary industries. Also it
40 improves food quality and is highly a renewable asset in over 80% of rural household. Despite its
41 importance and contributions, poultry production is yet to experience a sufficient growth due to
42 major problems like risk, uncertainties and some other factors as stated by (Effiong *et al.*, 2014).

Formatted: Highlight

43 | Many programs have been developed in **other order** to ensure that the demand for animal
44 protein **is was?** met. Some of these programs include farm settlement scheme, agricultural
45 development project(ADP), better life program, micro credit scheme for livestock production
46 and the most recent program **is was** the united nation development programme (UNDP) which
47 entails **sed** rendering sponsorship in establishing livestock parent/foundation stock at community
48 level in Nigeria with the aim of training farmers on improved livestock breeds for gradual
49 upgrading local breeds and also train farmers on improved modern rearing and production
50 methods of livestock and increase the production of livestock products and also farmers
51 income(Aladejebi *et al.*, 2014). In spite the development of these programs, the aim of poultry
52 industry which is to ensure self-sufficiency in animal production and consumption has not been
53 reached. This is because the 5gm/caput consumption per day of poultry products is far less than
54 the 35gm/caput consumption per day as recommended by food and agriculture (FAO), Ojo
55 (2003) as reported by Bamiro *et al* (2017). This is due to fact that poultry production is
56 constrained by a number of factors which are not limited to inputs used in production only.
57 According to Ogolla (2016), factors influencing poultry production is not only based on physical
58 inputs such as land area, labour, quantity of feed used, quantity of vaccine applied and quantity
59 of energy used, but also socio-economic, demographic, institutional and non-physical factors.
60 Socioeconomic factors like; age, level of education, number of years of poultry farming,
61 experience, engagement in other income generating activities other than poultry farming, access
62 to credit etc. However, studies that have been carried out on factors affecting poultry production
63 in Imo State are insufficient and calls for attention, therefore the need to assess and pin point

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

64 those factors in order to devise a means to solve them and maximize output of poultry production
65 in the study area and this is the knowledge gap that this study hopes to filled. The specific
66 objectives of this the study are were to; examine the socio-economic characteristics of poultry
67 producers, determine the factors affecting poultry production and examine the constraints
68 militating against poultry production in Imo State.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

69 **Materials and Methods**

70 The study was carried out in Imo State and it lies on the South east geopolitical zone of Nigeria.
71 The state is bordered on the east by Abia State, in the west river Niger and Delta State to the
72 north by Anambra State and to the south by Rivers State. It is divided into three Agricultural
73 zones namely; Owerri, Orlu and Okigwe and comprises of 27 Local Government Area. The
74 population of the state stands at 4.5million people (federal Republic of Nigeria Official Gazette,
75 2007).

76 Imo State lies within the latitude $4^{\circ}45^1N$ and $7^{\circ}15^1N$ and longitude $6^{\circ}50^1E$ and $7^{\circ}25^1E$ with
77 land area of about $5,100km^2$ (National Bureau of Statistics, 2014). The rainfall distribution is
78 bi-modal peaks in August and September. Variation in annual rainfall is between 1900 and
79 2200mm. Temperature is uniform in annual temperature of about $20^{\circ}C$. The annual relative
80 humidity is 75 percent and the state lies within the rainforest agro-ecological zone. About 80
81 percent of the people are involved in Agriculture. 70 percent engaged in Agriculture, producing
82 food crops like cassava, cocoyam, yam, maize, melon vegetable etc., and livestock such as
83 poultry, sheep, goat, and rabbits at subsistence levels. A small percent of population also engages
84 in commercial agriculture.

85 The study made use of primary data which was were collected with the aid of well-structured
86 questionnaire, personal interview and observation while the secondary information was gotten
87 from journals and relevant literatures. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics such as
88 mean, frequency distribution tables and percentages, and Ordinary least squares regression
89 model. Ordinary Least Squares Regression Analysis is a statistical tool used for evaluating the
90 relationship between one or more independent variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_8 , to a single continuous
91 variable Y. According to Iheke and Igbechina (2016), he used ordinary least square regression to

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

92 analyze the effect of risks on poultry production. The ordinary least squares model is expressed
93 as shown below:

94 $Y = f(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_7 X_8 + e)$

95 Where, Y = dependent variables (output)

96 X_1 = age of the producers (number in years)

97 X_2 = Educational level (number in years)

98 X_3 = experience (number in years)

99 X_4 = feed cost (₦)

100 X_5 = cost of labour (₦)

101 X_6 = capital (₦)

102 X_7 = farm size (ha)

103 X_8 = diseases

104 e = stochastic error term

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121 **Results and Discussion**

122 **Socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents**

123 **Table 1 shows socioeconomic characteristics of poultry farmers in the study area.**

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
24-33	20	23.81
34-43	19	22.62
44-53	21	25.00
54-63	14	16.67
64-73	10	11.90
Mean age=45.2years		
Gender		
Female	34	40.48
Male	50	59.52
Years spent in school		
0	2	2.38
1-6	8	9.52
7-12	17	20.24
13-18	57	67.86
Mean=13.7years		
Household size		
1-5	44	52.38
6-10	31	36.90
11-15	6	7.14
16-20	3	3.57
Mean=6 persons		
Marital status		
Single	23	27.38
Married	41	48.81
Divorced	8	9.52
Widow	12	14.29
Experience in poultry enterprise		
1-7	40	47.62
8-14	25	29.76
15-21	14	16.67
22-27	3	3.57
28-34	2	2.38
Mean=9.3years		

124 **Source: Field Survey Data, 2019.**

125 | The study revealed that the mean age of poultry producers was 45.2 years which implies that
 126 | majority of them are relatively aged, and it might have adverse effects on their operation
 127 | activities as most activities are strenuous which requires strength and physical agility of farmers.
 128 | Also 59.52% of the respondents were male while only 40.48% were female implying that poultry
 129 | production enterprise in the area is dominated by male. The mean year of education was 13.7
 130 | years which implies that most people in the poultry enterprise are literate and thus having
 131 | positive impact on managerial capacity and acquisition of modern agricultural business
 132 | management skills and technological innovation. The mean household size was 6 persons per
 133 | household which implies that there is abundant supply of family labour in the area which would
 134 | serve as source of cheap labour for operations on the farm. The mean year of experience was 9.3
 135 | years which indicated that majority of them had been in the enterprise for quite a long time.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

136 | **Table 1: Regression results of the determinants of factors affecting poultry production**

Variables	Linear	Exponential+	Semi-log	Double-log
Constant	-90.85083 (-0.9209)	4.771397 (6.9350)	-2257.568 (-0.2291)	-1.281279 (-1.6461)
Age	1.947318 (1.2798)	0.015891 (1.4974)	-1108.597 (-0.4540)	0.273363 (1.4567)
Educational level	-0.095939 (-0.0225)	0.007207 (0.2419)	-354.4686 (-0.3090)	1.02e-05 (0.001)
Farm experience	-4.819708 (-1.6494)*	0.036583 (1.79499)*	-1244.011 (-1.4068)	-0.081089 (-1.1931)
Feed cost	-7.08e-07 (-0.0873)	2.10e-07 (3.7032)	-395.0514 (-0.9750)	0.008909 (0.2861)
Drug Cost	2.74e-05 (0.0325)	1.66e-05 (2.8299)***	-583.5236 (-1.4470)	0.030099 (0.9711)
Source of capital	-8.966528 (-0.7591)	-0.116552 (-1.4148)	-59.70732 (-0.1441)	-0.013791 (-0.4332)
Farm size	0.99953 (352.9413)***	0.000102 (5.1432)***	3407.228 (5.8245)***	0.98724 (21.9580)***
Disease occurrence	47.98433 (1.6071)*	-0.388648 (-1.8663)*	-948.8739 (-0.9012)	0.116963 (1.4454)
R-squared	0.599513	0.616818	0.407292	0.43393
Adjusted R-squared	0.499461	0.575946	0.34407	0.37355
S.E. of regression	131.2194	0.915197	4576.752	0.351761
Sum squared resid	1291390	62.81898	1.57e+09	9.280167
Log likelihood	-524.0882	-106.9873	-822.4456	-26.66747
F-statistic	8.5788	15.0912	6.442242	6.2408

137 | Source: Field Survey Data, 2018

138 *** = sign @ 1%, ** = sign @ 5% and * = sign @ 10%.

139 + = Lead equation

140

141 From the above table, farm experience, drug cost, farm size, disease occurrence was statistically
142 significant, at 10% level of probability. The coefficients of farm experience, drug costs and farm
143 size were found to have positive relationship with output of poultry production and were
144 statistically significant at 5% level of probability, this implies that increase in farm experience,
145 drug costs and farm size would increase the level of poultry farmer. The coefficient of the
146 diseases occurrence is negative hence has indirect relationship with output of poultry production.

Formatted: Highlight

147 The coefficient of farm experience is was positive and significant implies that the experience
148 farmers has higher farm output than the less experienced farmers as they have better
149 understanding of the production techniques that could increase their production. The coefficient
150 of farm size is positive and significant implies that the larger the farm size, measure in numbers
151 of birds reared, the more the poultry farmers demand for microfinance credit to purchase other
152 factor inputs necessary to run a profitable farm. The coefficient of drug cost is positive and this
153 implies that expenses on costs have positive significant relationship on their output. It is
154 understandable that poultry management requires significant amount of drugs and medication in
155 terms of routine vaccination to produce high yield. The coefficient of disease occurrences is
156 negative and significantly affect poultry output, it implies that higher disease occurrence
157 increases birds mortality and adversely affect the production output.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

158

159 **Table 2: Constraints militating against poultry production**

Constraints	Frequency*	Percentages*	Rank
Pilfering	44	52.38	7 th
Outbreak of Pest and disease	67	79.76	3 rd
High Feed cost	70	83.33	1 st
Lack of fund to expand	68	80.95	2 nd
High mortality rate	35	41.67	8 th
Unavailability of foreign feeds	25	29.76	11 th
Shortage of water	24	28.57	12 th
Lack of start-up capital	54	64.29	5 th
Poor market demand	51	60.71	6 th
Lack of skill to manage climate issues	29	34.52	10 th
Lack of water	31	36.90	9 th
High transport cost	65	77.38	4 th

160 **Source: Field Survey Data, 2018**

161 ***Multiple response data**

162 From the table above, the major constraints militating against poultry production are high feed
163 cost (83.33%), lack of fund to expand (80.95%), outbreak of disease (79.76%), High transport
164 cost (77.38%), and lack of start-up capital (64.29%), poor market demand (60.71%) and pilfering
165 (52.38%). These implies that farmers are facing challenges that limit poultry production in the
166 area coupled with adverse effects of climate change due to the ever increasing average annual
167 temperature. Farmers lamented that inadequate credit facilities is a was/were the major
168 constraint in their quest towards adapting to the effect of climate change on poultry production.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

169 **Conclusion**

170 From the study, we conclude that poultry production in the study area is male dominated and the
171 major factors affecting poultry production in the study area were farm experience, drug costs,
172 farm size and disease occurrences. Findings also revealed high feed cost, inadequate funds,
173 outbreak of diseases and high transportation cost as the major constraints militating against
174 poultry production in the study area.

Formatted: Highlight

175 **Recommendation**

176 The need to reduce high feed cost is absolutely imperative, the study therefore recommend that
177 the government should provide credit facilities to poultry producers to abate lack of fund and
178 provision of appropriate vaccines in the study area.

Formatted: Highlight

179

180 **References**

181 Sheep and goats transformation action plan (SAGTAP), (2012). *Implementation Plan for*
182 *Livestock Transformation Action Plan*. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural
183 Development, Abuja, Nigeria. Pp 20.

184 Ologbon, O.A.C and O.I. Ambali, 2012. Poultry enterprise combination among small scale
185 farmers in Ogun State, Nigeria: A technical efficiency approach. *J. Agric. Vet. Sci.*, 4:7-
186 15.

187 National Bureau of Statistics. (2014). Imo State Information. Retrieved from
188 <http://nigerianstat.gov.ng/information/details/Imo>.

189 Okunola JO & Olofinsawe A (2007). Effect of extension activities on poultry
190 production in Ondo State, South Western Nigeria. *Agricultural Journal*, 2(5):
191 559-563.

192

193 Effiong, *E. O.*; Enyenihi, *E. A.* and *A. A George*, (2014). Analysis of farming risk among small
194 scale poultry farmers in etim Ekpo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.
195 *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment* 10(1): 59-64.

196 Aladejebi *O.J*, Afolami *C.A* and Okojie *L.O* (2014). Comperative profitability of poultry
197 farming under Battery Cage and Deep Litter System in Ogun State

198 Bamiro *O.M*, Ajiboye *B.O* and Adeyonu *A.G* (2017). Technical Efficiency of Battery Cage and
199 Deep Litter System of Production in South West Nigeria

200 Adesiji *I.S* and Baba *S.T* (2013). Effect of climate change on poultry production in Ondo
201 State.*Russian journal of agricultural and socioeconomic sciences*, 2(14)

202

203

204

205 -.

206

207