

Original Research Article

Contribution of home gardening to household dietary intake among peri-urban households of Imo State, Nigeria

Abstract

Rapid urbanization, industrialization, land grabbing and dwindling land resources is fast eroding the practice of home gardening in peri-urban areas. This has altered the dietary intake pattern of peri-urban households who hitherto could augment their meals from products of gardens around their homes. The study assessed home gardening contribution to dietary intake among households in peri-urban areas of Imo State. Specifically, the study sought to ascertain the types of home gardening practiced, the contribution of home garden to the household dietary intake and identify the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening. Multistage sampling technique was adopted in the selection of sample. Okigwe, Orlu and Owerri-West Local Government Areas (LGAs) were purposively selected from each of the agricultural zones in Imo State for their peri-urban nature. Four communities were randomly selected from the three LGAs. A survey was conducted to find out households which practiced home gardening. Ten households each were then randomly selected from these communities, making a total of one hundred and twenty (120) respondents for the study. Data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics (mean, frequency and percentage). The result showed that majority (54.2%) of the respondents practiced mixed crop home gardening while 43.3% practiced mixed farm home gardening. The level of contribution of home gardening to dietary intake among households was (53.3%). A fairly high number (47.5%) of peri-urban households expressed experiencing high constraints to practicing home gardening. It is recommended that peri-urban households should be educated on other methods of home gardening where land is in limited supply to improve household dietary intake.

Keywords: Home gardening, Dietary intake, Peri-urban households.

Background of the Study

In most developing countries, agriculture is an essential sector and a great proportion of agricultural activities take place in the rural areas more than in urban and peri-urban areas. Since agriculture has been said to be the backbone of the rural economies, many countries rely upon it for survival (Todaro and Smith, 2000). For many generations, small plots of land near the homestead have been used as home gardens while livestock keeping has also been practiced in Africa (Sigot, 2001). This has been done to facilitate direct access by households to a diversity of nutritionally rich foods by households, which include roots, tubers, green leafy vegetables, condiments, nuts, legumes, fruits and livestock products (FAO, 2001, Shrestha *et al.*, 2001). In recent years, governments and other development organizations in both developed and developing countries are emphasizing the importance of building local food production systems in peri-urban areas as a means to ensure consumption of mostly fresh vegetables and as an integrated strategy and a safety net for food security. Today the world faces a fundamental challenge of ensuring that millions of households living in hunger have access to enough food to maintain a healthy life. This can be achieved by home gardening.

43 There are different definitions of home garden however, Kumar and Nair (2004)
44 defined home gardens as the intimate, multi-storey combination of various trees and crops in
45 association with domestic animals around homestead. Furthermore, Odebode (2006) referred
46 to home gardening as a cultivation of small portion of land which may be at the back of a
47 home or within a walking distance from home. This is especially important in peri-urban
48 areas where people have limited land for cultivation and poor access to markets. A peri-urban
49 area is not only a zone of direct impact experiencing the immediate impacts of land demands
50 from urban growth and pollution, but is also a wider market-related zone of influence that is
51 recognizable in terms of the handling of agricultural and natural resource products (Simon,
52 McGregor and Thompson 2006). A well-developed home garden has the potential, when
53 access to land and water is not a major limitation, to supply most of the non-staple foods that
54 a family needs every day of the year, including roots and tubers, vegetables and fruits,
55 legumes, herbs and spices, animals and fish. Landon-Lane (2011) stated that home gardening
56 offers immense benefits to peri-urban households which include improved food security,
57 increased availability of food and better nutrition through food diversity, income and
58 enhanced rural employment through additional or off-season production, decreased risk
59 through diversification, environmental benefits from recycling water and waste nutrients,
60 controlling shade, dust and erosion, and maintaining or increasing local biodiversity.

61 The broad objective of the study is was to assess home gardening contribution to
62 dietary intake among households in peri-urban areas of Imo State. The specific objectives
63 were include to:

Formatted: Highlight

- 64 i. ascertain the type of home gardening practiced
- 65 ii. determine the contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake;
- 66 iii. identify the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.

67 **METHODOLOGY**

68 **Study Area**

69 The study was carried out in Imo State which is one of the 36 states of Nigeria. It lies to the
70 southeastern area of Nigeria and shares common boundaries with Abia State on the East and
71 Northeast, Rivers State on the South, and Anambra state on the West and Northwest, with
72 Owerri as its capital. Imo state is made up of three (3) Agricultural Zones, which are Orlu,
73 Owerri and Okigwe Agricultural Zones. The state is made up of twenty-seven (27) Local
74 Government Areas. Multistage sampling technique was employed in the selection of sample.
75 The first stage was a purposive selection of the three Local Government Areas (Owerri-West,
76 Okigwe and Orlu), one from each of the three agricultural zones because of their peri-urban
77 nature. The second stage was a purposive selection of four (4) communities that practice
78 home gardening from each of the selected Local Government Areas. At this stage also, a
79 survey was carried out to know the communities that practice home gardening. A list of
80 households that practice home gardening was collected from each of the selected
81 communities. The third stage is was a random selection of ten (10) households that practice
82 home gardening from each of the selected communities, making a total of one hundred and
83 twenty (120) respondents for the study. Data was collected using structured questionnaire and
84 was analysed using descriptive statistics.

Formatted: Highlight

85 In order to ascertain the types of home gardening practiced, the respondents were presented
86 with a list of four possible home gardening types. Respondents were to indicate (a) Yes if
87 he/she practices that particular type of home gardening and (b) No if not. It was analysed
88 using simple percentage.

Formatted: Highlight

89 The contributions of home gardening to the household dietary intake was measured using an
90 array of eleven (16) possible questions that can help determine the contributions of home
91 garden to the household dietary intake. Respondents were asked to express their disposition
92 on a four point Likert scale. The highest possible score was 64.0 and the lowest possible
93 score was 16.0.

94 The result for the 120 questionnaire was computed, using the summary of scores, the mean
95 was calculated. Based on the mean score of 52.6, respondents were categorized into two.
96 Respondents with scores equal to or above the mean score were categorized as agreeing that
97 home garden has made a high contribution to household dietary intake while the respondents
98 with scores below the mean score were categorized as agreeing that home gardening had low
99 contribution to household dietary intake.

100
101 In order to ascertain the constraints encountered by peri-urban households in practicing home
102 gardening, the respondents were presented with a list of fourteen (14) possible constraints.
103 Respondents were asked to express their disposition on a four point Likert scale. The highest
104 possible score was 56.0 and the lowest possible score was 14.0.

105 The result for the 120 questionnaire was computed, using the summary of scores, the mean
106 was calculated. Based on the mean score of 42.4, respondents were categorized into two.
107 Respondents with scores equal to or above the mean score were categorized as indicating
108 high constraints while the respondents with scores below the mean score were categorized
109 as indicating low constraints to home gardening.

110 **Results and discussions**

111 **Types of home gardening practiced**

112
113 The result on Table 1 shows that a large percentage (54.2%) of the respondents
114 practiced mixed crop home gardening followed by 43.3% that practiced mixed farm home
115 gardening. This is in line with the statement of Kumar and Nair (2004) who referred to
116 home gardening as an intimate, multistory combinations of various trees and crops,
117 sometimes in association with domestic animals, around homesteads.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

118 **Table 1 Types of Home Gardening (HG) Practiced**

GH Type	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Single crop HG	1	0.8
Mixed crop HG	65	54.2
Livestock garden	2	1.7
Mixed farm HG	52	43.3

119

120 **Contributions of Home Garden to the Household Dietary Intake**

121 Table 2a shows contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake. A
122 large percentage (87.5%) of the respondents strongly agreed that home garden has
123 contributed to their household dietary intake by being an easy source of fresh food. This is in
124 line with the statement of Marsh (1998) that home garden provide easy day-to-day access to
125 an assortment of fresh and nutritious foods for the household.

Formatted: Highlight

126 From the result also, 65.0% of the respondent strongly agreed to having better
127 nutrition as a result of home gardening. This is in line with the statement of Talukder *et al*
128 (2000) that through home gardening, households can have better access to a diversity of plant

129 and animal food items that lead to an overall increase in dietary intake and boost the
 130 bioavailability and absorption of essential nutrients.

131 Majority (78.3%) strongly agreed that they generate income from sales of produce
 132 from their farm (home garden). This implies that practicing home gardening can help
 133 improve livelihood. This corroborates the findings of Calvet-Mir *et al* (2012) that
 134 bibliographic evidence suggests that home gardens contribute to income generation,
 135 improved livelihoods, and household economic welfare.

136 **Table 2a: Contributions of home garden to the household dietary intake**

Contributions Options	SA		A		D		SD	
	F	%	F	%	f	%	F	%
Easy source of fresh food	105	87.5	15	12.5	0	0	0	0
Ease emotional stress	47	39.2	35	29.2	17	14.2	20	16.7
Better nutrition	78	65.0	40	33.3	1	0.8	0	0
Reduction in family food budget	77	64.2	42	35.0	1	0.8	0	0
Conservation of medicinal plants	57	47.5	52	43.3	3	2.5	7	5.8
I generate income from sales of produce from my farm	94	78.3	15	12.5	2	1.7	9	7.5
Pleasure/hobby	41	34.2	14	11.7	25	20.8	39	32.5
Environmental beautification/keeps business away	53	44.2	34	28.3	7	5.8	24	20.0
Relieve mental fatigue	44	36.7	32	26.7	19	15.8	21	17.5
Growing cures own food as much as possible makes best economic sense	75	62.5	41	34.2	2	1.7	1	0.8
Home gardening helps in attaining the MGDs of food security, nutrition, health	51	42.5	57	47.5	2	1.7	8	5.7
Source of nearly all the food crops we need	52	43.3	43	35.8	2	1.7	23	19.2
Home gardening contribute significantly to daily family food need	80	66.7	33	27.5	0	0	5	4.2
Home gardening	69	57.5	43	35.8	2	1.7	4	3.3

produces food almost at all seasons of the year									
Reduce weeds and bush around the home	72	60.0	35	29.2	6	5.0	5	4.2	
Food reserves for emergencies and special occasions	63	52.5	52	43.3	1	0.8	3	2.5	

137

138 **Categorization of contribution level of home gardening to household dietary intake**

139 The result on Table 2b shows that the level of contribution of home gardening
 140 (53.3%) to dietary intake among households was relatively high. This implies that house hold
 141 gardening has highly contributed to dietary intake of households in the area. This is in line
 142 with the findings of Michell and Hanstad (2004) that multiple social benefits of home
 143 gardening include enhancing food and nutritional security in many socio-economic and
 144 political situations, improving family health and human capacity. This also corroborates the
 145 statement of Landon-Lane (2011) that home gardening offers immense benefits to peri-urban
 146 households which include improved dietary intake, increased availability of food and better
 147 nutrition through food diversity.

148 **Table 2b Categorization of contribution level of home gardening to household**
 149 **dietary intake**

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Low	56	46.7
High	64	53.3
Total	120	100

150

151 **Constraints of Peri-Urban Households in Practicing Home Gardening**

152 Table 3 shows **sing that the constraints** peri-urban dwellers encounter in keeping home
 153 gardens. Majority (71.7%) of the respondents strongly agreed to prevalence of pests as a
 154 constraint. This implies **d that the major constraints to home gardening in the areas is**
 155 infestation by pests. Likewise, 55.8% agreed strongly that disease infestation is a constraint.
 156 This is in concord with the findings of Pandey *et al* (2007) that damage due to insect pests,
 157 diseases are constraints to home gardening.

Formatted: Highlight
 Formatted: Highlight
 Formatted: Highlight
 Formatted: Highlight

158 Some of the respondents (42.5%) agreed strongly to lack of improved planting stock
 159 as an impediment to home gardening. Likewise, 39.2% of the respondents strongly agreed to
 160 lack of ready market for produce as an impediment. Some (35.8%) strongly agreed that
 161 inadequate fund is a constraint to home gardening. This **is in agreement with some of the**
 162 constraints encountered in home gardening as identified by Mitchell and Hanstad (2004) as
 163 access to capital or credit, access to water, seeds and planting materials, weak extension and
 164 advisory services, access to labour, and access to markets.

Formatted: Highlight

165 **Table 3a Constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.**

Constraints Options	SA		A		D		SD	
	F	%	F	%	f	%	F	%

Inadequate funds	43	35.8	33	27.5	5	4.2	38	31.7
Destruction of animals	74	61.7	28	23.3	2	1.7	16	13.3
Land scarcity	61	50.8	28	23.3	12	10.0	18	15.0
Prevalence of pests	86	71.7	21	17.5	7	5.8	5	4.2
Inadequate storage facilities	43	35.8	27	22.5	11	9.2	39	32.5
Lack of ready market for produce	47	39.2	33	27.5	7	5.8	29	24.2
Weed infestation	77	64.2	28	23.3	7	5.8	5	4.2
Disease infestation	67	55.8	40	33.3	7	5.8	5	4.2
Inadequate fertilizer/chemicals	53	44.2	19	15.8	22	18.3	24	20.0
Damage by weather	80	66.7	27	22.5	5	4.2	7	5.8
Lack of improved planting stocks.	51	42.5	28	23.3	26	13.3	24	20.0
Drought	41	34.2	28	23.3	16	13.3	34	28.3
Wrong panting time	41	34.2	22	18.3	18	15.0	36	30.0
Pilfering (Theft)	57	47.5	46	38.3	4	3.3	13	10.8

166

167 **Categorization of constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home gardening.**

168 The result on Table 3b shows that a fairly high number of respondents considered the
 169 constraints to home gardening in peri-urban areas as high. Abugu *et al* (2013), ascertain there
 170 are quite a number of constraints in production of vegetables in areas where urbanization is
 171 creeping in. Despite these constraints, home gardening still has high contribution to dietary
 172 intake in the study area (see Table 2b). This implies that addressing constraints in the practice
 173 of home gardening will improve dietary intake in peri-urban households.

Formatted: Highlight

174 **Table 3b Categorization of constraints of peri-urban households in practicing home**
 175 **gardening.**

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Low	63	52.5
High	57	47.5
Total	120	100

176

177

178

179 **Conclusion and Recommendation**

180 Evident from the study is that the contribution of home garden to the household dietary
 181 intake was relatively high. Some of the constraints of peri-urban households in practicing
 182 home gardening as noted in the study were, prevalence of pests and weed infestation. The
 183 study therefore recommends that: Households be introduced to alternative methods of

184 | home gardening as land is in limited availability to improve dietary intake and also
185 | improve food security.

Formatted: Highlight

186 | **REFERENCES**

187 |
188 | Abugu, R.O., Chah, J.M., Nwobodo, C., Asadu, A.N and Igbokwe E.M (2013): Agricultural
189 | extension needs of farmers in Telfairia production and marketing in Enugu State,
190 | Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension* Vol.17(1) June, 2013:49-57

191 |
192 | Calvet-Mir, L., Gomez-Bagetthun, E and Reyes-Garcia (2012): Energy and economic flow in
193 | homegardens in subtropical Yunnan, SW China: a case study in Vall Fosca, catalan
194 | Pyrenees, Northeastern Spain. *Ecol Econ* 74: 153-160

195 |
196 | Food and Agriculture Organization, F.A.O. (2001). Food and Agriculture Organization of the
197 | United Nations. The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture FAO, Rome Italy.

198 |
199 | Kumar, B.M and Nair, P.K.R (2004). The enigma of tropical home gardens.
200 | *AgroforSyst*, 61:35–152. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.

201 |
202 | Landon-Lane, C., (2011). Livelihoods Grow in Gardens – Diversity Rural Income
203 | Through Home Garden. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the
204 | United Nations.

205 | Marsh R. (1998): Building on traditional gardening to improve household food security.
206 | *Food Nutr Agr*, 22:4-14

207 | Mitchell, R. and Hanstad, T. (2004). Small Home Garden Plots and Sustainable
208 | Livelihoods for the Poor. Rome, Italy: LSP Working Paper 11.

209 |
210 | Odebode, O.S (2006): Assessment of home gardening as a potential source of household
211 | income in Akinyele Local Government Area of Oyo State. *Nig J Horticulture Sci*,
212 | 2:47–55.

213 |
214 | Pandey, C. B., Rai, R. B, Singh, L. and Singh, A. k. (2007). Home gardens of Andaman and
215 | Nicobar, India. *Agricultural Systems* 92:1-22

216 |
217 | Shrestha P, Guatam R, Rana B. R and B Sthapit (2001). Home Gardens in Nepal, status and
218 | scope for research and development. In WJ. Watson & PB. Eyzaguirre (Eds). Home
219 | Gardens and in situ conservation of plant genetic resources in farming systems.
220 | Proceedings of the Second International Home Gardens Workshop. Rome, 105-117.

221 |
222 | Sigot, A.J (2001). Indigenous Food System: Creating and Promoting Sustainable Livelihood
223 | Proceedings of the International Conference on Indigenous Knowledge Systems:
224 | African Perspectives. Thohoyandou, South Africa, 1-6.

225 |
226 | Simon, D., McGregor, D., and Thompson, D. (2006). Contemporary perspectives on the peri-
227 | urban zones of cities in development areas, In Peri-Urban Interface: Approaches to
228 | Sustainable Natural and Human Resource Use, edited by D. McGregor, D. Simon,
229 | and D. Thompson, pp. 3-17. London, UK: Earthscan Publications Ltd.

230 |

231 Talukder, A., Kiess, L., Huq, N., De Pee, S., Darton-Hill, I., and Bloem, M.W (2000):
232 Increasing the production and consumption of vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables:
233 lessons learned in taking the Bangladesh homestead gardening programme to a
234 national scale. *Food Nutrition Bulletin*, 21(2):165-172
235
236 Todaro, M.P., and Smith, S.C. (2000). *Intermediate Microeconomics* (9thed.). Alan, USA.
237 PLC.
238
239
240
241

UNDER PEER REVIEW