



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50741
Title of the Manuscript:	Role expectations of Agricultural extension professionals – A study in Odisha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It would be very useful to add in "Abstract" section the purpose, objectives and results of the research. The paper has not JEL Classification.</p> <p>It would be very useful to add in the "Introduction" section the purpose, objectives and hypothesis of the research. The authors must present the research hypotheses in the methodology section. The equations should be written using the equation software. The authors must present econometric models with two or three independent variables. The authors must provide the software they have processed and the database they have accessed. I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research. The paper is too theoretical one. The authors must present what are the differences between fixed costs and semifixed costs, and the variable costs. The authors must develop the conclusions (only one sentence is not enough!!!.) Authors should cite papers published in journals indexed in Web of Science <b>The authors must extend the references list. Only 4 references is not enough.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Batrancea Ioan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Babes-Bolyai University, Romania</b>